

DEGREE AND THE BRAUER-MANIN OBSTRUCTION

BRENDAN CREUTZ, BIANCA VIRAY, AND AN APPENDIX BY ALEXEI N. SKOROBOGATOV

ABSTRACT. Let $X \subset \mathbb{P}_k^n$ is a smooth projective variety of degree d over a number field k and suppose that X is a counterexample to the Hasse principle explained by the Brauer-Manin obstruction. We consider the question of whether the obstruction is given by the d -primary subgroup of the Brauer group, which would have both theoretic and algorithmic implications. We prove that this question has a positive answer in the case of torsors under abelian varieties, Kummer varieties and (conditional on finiteness of Tate-Shafarevich groups) bielliptic surfaces. In the case of Kummer varieties we show, more specifically, that the obstruction is already given by the 2-primary torsion. We construct a conic bundle over an elliptic curve that shows that, in general, the answer is no.

1. INTRODUCTION

Let X be a smooth projective and geometrically integral variety over a number field k . Manin observed that any adelic point $(P_v) \in X(\mathbb{A}_k)$ that is approximated by a k -rational point must satisfy relations imposed by elements of $\mathrm{Br} X$, the Brauer group of X [Man71]. Indeed, for any element $\alpha \in \mathrm{Br} X := H_{\mathrm{et}}^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m)$, the set of adelic points on X that are orthogonal to α , denoted $X(\mathbb{A}_k)^\alpha$, is a closed set containing the k -rational points of X . In particular,

$$X(\mathbb{A}_k)^{\mathrm{Br}} := \bigcap_{\alpha \in \mathrm{Br} X} X(\mathbb{A}_k)^\alpha = \emptyset \implies X(k) = \emptyset.$$

In this paper, we investigate whether it is necessary to consider the full Brauer group or whether one can determine *a priori* a proper subgroup $B \subset \mathrm{Br} X$ that captures the Brauer-Manin obstruction to the existence of rational points, in the sense that the following implication holds:

$$X(\mathbb{A}_k)^{\mathrm{Br}} = \emptyset \implies X(\mathbb{A}_k)^B := \bigcap_{\alpha \in B} X(\mathbb{A}_k)^\alpha = \emptyset.$$

This is of interest from both theoretical and practical perspectives. On the one hand, identifying the subgroups for which this holds may shed considerable light on the nature of the Brauer-Manin obstruction, and, on the other hand, knowledge of such subgroups can facilitate computation Brauer-Manin obstructions in practice.

We pose the following motivating question.

Question 1.1. *Suppose that $X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^n$ is embedded as a subvariety of degree d in projective space. Does the d -primary subgroup of $\mathrm{Br} X$ capture the Brauer-Manin obstruction to rational points on X ?*

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* 14G05; 11G35, 14F22.

Key words and phrases. Brauer-Manin obstruction, period, rational points.

The second author was partially supported by NSA Young Investigator's Award #H98230-15-1-0054, NSF CAREER grant DMS-1553459, and a University of Canterbury Visiting Erskine Fellowship.

More intrinsically, let us say that **degrees capture the Brauer-Manin obstruction on X** if the d -primary subgroup of $\mathrm{Br} X$ captures the Brauer-Manin obstruction to rational points on X for all integers d that are the degree of some k -rational globally generated ample line bundle on X . Since any such line bundle determines a degree d morphism to projective space and conversely, it is clear that the answer to Question 1.1 is affirmative when degrees capture the Brauer-Manin obstruction.

1.1. Summary of results. In general, the answer to Question 1.1 can be no (see the discussion in §1.2). However, there are many interesting classes of varieties for which the answer is yes. We prove that degrees capture the Brauer-Manin obstruction for torsors under abelian varieties, for Kummer varieties, and, assuming finiteness of Tate-Shafarevich groups of elliptic curves, for bielliptic surfaces. We also deduce (from various results appearing in the literature) that degrees capture the Brauer-Manin obstruction for all geometrically rational minimal surfaces.

Assuming finiteness of Tate-Shafarevich groups, one can deduce the result for torsors under abelian varieties rather easily from a theorem of Manin (see Remark 4.4 and Proposition 4.9). In §4 we unconditionally prove the following much stronger result.

Theorem 1.2. *Let X be a k -torsor under an abelian variety, let $B \subset \mathrm{Br} X$ be any subgroup, and let d be any multiple of the period of X . In particular, d could be taken to be the degree of a k -rational globally generated ample line bundle. If $X(\mathbb{A})^B = \emptyset$, then $X(\mathbb{A})^{B[d^\infty]} = \emptyset$, where $B[d^\infty] \subset B$ is the d -primary subgroup of B .*

This not only shows that degrees capture the Brauer-Manin obstruction (apply the theorem with $B = \mathrm{Br} X$), but also that the Brauer classes with order relatively prime to d cannot provide *any* obstructions to the existence of rational points.

Remark 1.3. As one ranges over all torsors of period d under all abelian varieties over number fields, elements of arbitrarily large order in $(\mathrm{Br} V)[d^\infty]$ are required to produce the obstruction (see Proposition 4.10).

We use Theorem 1.2 to deduce that degrees capture the Brauer-Manin obstruction on certain quotients of torsors under abelian varieties. This method is formalized in Theorem 5.1 and applied in §5.1 to prove the following.

Theorem 1.4. *Let X be a bielliptic surface and assume that the Albanese torsor Alb_X^1 is not a nontrivial divisible element in the Tate-Shafarevich group, $\mathrm{III}(k, \mathrm{Alb}_X^0)$. Then degrees capture the Brauer-Manin obstruction to rational points on X .*

Remark 1.5. As shown by Skorobogatov [Sko99], the Brauer-Manin obstruction is insufficient to explain all failures of the Hasse principle on bielliptic surfaces. Therefore, if X is a bielliptic surface of degree d , then it is possible that $X(\mathbb{A}_k)^{\mathrm{Br}_d} \neq \emptyset$ and $X(k) = \emptyset$. However, Theorem 1.4 shows that in this case one also has that $X(\mathbb{A}_k)^{\mathrm{Br}} \neq \emptyset$.

Before stating our results on Kummer varieties we fix some notation. We say that X satisfies BM_d if the d -primary subgroup of $\mathrm{Br} X$ captures the Brauer-Manin obstruction, i.e., if the following implication holds:

$$X(\mathbb{A}_k)^{\mathrm{Br}} = \emptyset \quad \implies \quad X(\mathbb{A}_k)^{\mathrm{Br}_d} := \bigcap_{\alpha \in (\mathrm{Br} X)[d^\infty]} X(\mathbb{A}_k)^\alpha = \emptyset.$$

We say that X satisfies BM_d^\perp if there is no prime-to- d Brauer-Manin obstruction, i.e., if the following implication holds

$$X(\mathbb{A}_k) \neq \emptyset \quad \implies \quad X(\mathbb{A}_k)^{\text{Br}_{d^\perp}} := \bigcap_{\alpha \in (\text{Br } X)[d^\perp]} X(\mathbb{A}_k)^\alpha \neq \emptyset,$$

where $(\text{Br } X)[d^\perp]$ denotes the subgroup of elements of order prime to d . These properties are birational invariants of smooth projective varieties (see Lemma 2.5).

Remark 1.6. Note that BM_1 and BM_1^\perp are equivalent; they hold if and only if

$$X(\mathbb{A}_k) \neq \emptyset \iff X(\mathbb{A}_k)^{\text{Br}} \neq \emptyset.$$

More generally, global reciprocity shows that the same is true of BM_d and BM_d^\perp whenever $(\text{Br } X)[d^\infty] = (\text{Br } k)[d^\infty]$. In general, however, BM_d and BM_d^\perp are logically independent.

The following theorem and its corollary are proved in §5.2. We refer to that section for the definition of a Kummer variety.

Theorem 1.7. *Kummer varieties satisfy BM_2 .*

Corollary 1.8. *Degrees capture the Brauer-Manin obstruction on Kummer varieties.*

Theorem 1.7 complements the recent result of Skorobogatov and Zarhin that Kummer varieties satisfy BM_2^\perp [SZ, Theorem 3.3]. As remarked above, this is logically independent from Theorem 1.7 except when $(\text{Br } X)[2^\infty]$ consists solely of constant algebras. In [SZ, Theorem 4.3] it is shown that $(\text{Br } X)[2^\infty] = (\text{Br}_0 X)[2^\infty]$ for Kummer varieties attached to 2-coverings of products of hyperelliptic Jacobians with large Galois action on 2-torsion. This is the case of interest in [HS16] where it is shown that (conditionally on finiteness of Tate-Shafarevich groups) some Kummer varieties of this kind satisfy the Hasse principle. Skorobogatov and Zarhin have shown that these Kummer varieties have no nontrivial 2-primary Brauer classes [SZ, §§4 & 5]. Given this, both Theorem 1.7 and [SZ, Theorem 3.3] provide an explanation for the absence of any condition on the Brauer group in [HS16, Thms. A and B].

After seeing a draft of this paper, Skorobogatov noted that it is possible to use our results (specifically Lemma 4.6) to extend the proof of [SZ, Theorem 3.3] to obtain the following common generalization.

Theorem 1.9. *Let X be a Kummer variety over a number field k and suppose $B \subset \text{Br } X$ is a subgroup. If $X(\mathbb{A})^B = \emptyset$, then $X(\mathbb{A})^{B[2^\infty]} = \emptyset$.*

This is the analog for Kummer varieties of Theorem 1.2 above. The proof is given in the appendix by Skorobogatov.

1.2. Discussion. Question 1.1 trivially has a positive answer when $X(\mathbb{A}_k)^{\text{Br}} \neq \emptyset$ and, in particular when $X(k) \neq \emptyset$. At the other extreme, the answer is also yes when either $X(\mathbb{A}_k) = \emptyset$ or $\text{Alb}_X^1(\mathbb{A}_k)^{\text{Br}} = \emptyset$ (see Corollary 4.5). In particular, the answer is yes for varieties satisfying the Hasse principle.

Theorem 1.2 shows that the answer is yes for curves of genus 1. For curves of genus at least 2 it has been conjectured that the Brauer-Manin obstruction is the only obstruction to the existence of rational points [Poo06, Sto07]. This had previously been posed as a question [Sko01, Page 133]. We have little evidence to suggest that degrees capture the Brauer-Manin

obstruction on higher genus curves, but we are also unaware of any higher genus curve X and prime p for which it can be shown that X does not satisfy BM_p .

In higher dimensions it is not generally true that degrees capture the Brauer-Manin obstruction. One reason for this is that while the set $\{d \in \mathbb{N} : X \text{ satisfies } \text{BM}_d\}$ is a birational invariant, the set of integers that arise as degrees of globally generated ample line bundles on X is not. Exploiting this one can construct examples (even among geometrically rational surfaces) for which degrees do not capture the Brauer-Manin obstruction (See Lemma 2.6 and Example 2.7). At least in the case of surfaces, this discrepancy can be dealt with by considering only minimal surfaces, i.e., surfaces which do not contain a Galois-invariant collection of pairwise disjoint (-1) -curves.

Different and more serious issues are encountered in the case of nonrational surfaces of negative Kodaira dimension. In §6 we give an example of a minimal conic bundle over an elliptic curve for which degrees do not capture the Brauer-Manin obstruction. This is unsurprising (and somewhat less disappointing) given the known pathologies of the Brauer-Manin obstruction on quadric fibrations [CTPS16]. In §6 we note that degrees do capture the Brauer-Manin obstruction on minimal rational conic bundles and on Severi-Brauer fibrations over elliptic curves with finite Tate-Shafarevich group (See Theorem 6.1).

For a minimal del Pezzo surface of degree d , degrees capture the Brauer-Manin obstruction as soon as BM_d holds. This is because the canonical class generates the Picard group, except when the surface is \mathbb{P}^2 , a quadric or a rational conic bundle [Has09, Theorem 3.9], in which cases it follows from results mentioned above. Moreover, BM_d holds trivially when the degree, d , is not equal to 2 or 3. Indeed, when $d = 1$ there must be a rational point and when $d > 3$ the exponent of $\text{Br } X / \text{Br}_0 X$ divides d [Cor07, Theorem 4.1]¹. Swinnerton-Dyer has shown that any cubic surface such that $\exp(\text{Br } X / \text{Br}_0 X) \neq 3$ must satisfy the Hasse principle, implying that the answer is yes for $d = 3$ [SD93, Cor. 1]. Whether the analogous property holds for del Pezzo surfaces of degree 2 was considered by Corn [Cor07, Question 4.5], but remained open. Recently this has been settled by Nakahara who shows that the odd torsion Brauer classes on a del Pezzo surface of degree 2 cannot obstruct the Hasse principle [Nak17].

Taken together, the results mentioned in the previous two paragraphs combine to yield the following.

Theorem 1.10. *Degrees capture the Brauer-Manin obstruction on geometrically rational minimal surfaces over number fields.*

Our results above give an affirmative answer for two of the four classes of surfaces of Kodaira dimension 0, the other two being K3 and Enriques surfaces. For these, all known examples of Brauer-Manin obstructions to rational points come from the 2-torsion subgroup of the Brauer group and the question thus has an affirmative answer, as the Néron-Severi lattice is even. However, concrete examples of K3 surfaces exhibiting nonconstant elements of odd order in their Brauer group are scarcely represented in the literature, so this alone should not be taken as serious evidence of a positive answer in general. That being said, we note the work of Ieronymou-Skorobogatov [IS15] showing that there are quite often nonconstant elements of odd order in the Brauer groups of diagonal quartic surfaces over \mathbb{Q} but that these

¹In fact, it is well-known that del Pezzo surfaces of degree at least 5 have trivial Brauer group and satisfy the Hasse principle (see, e.g., [Cor07, Thm. 4.1] and [VA13, Thm. 2.1]).

nevertheless satisfy BM_2^\perp . This has been extended to some diagonal quartics over general number fields by Nakahara [Nak17]. These are K3 surfaces that are geometrically Kummer, but not necessarily Kummer over their base field.

1.3. The analog for 0-cycles of degree 1. One further motivation for studying the question of whether degrees capture the Brauer-Manin obstruction to rational points is that the analogue for 0-cycles of degree 1 is expected to hold. Conjecture (E) on the sufficiency of the Brauer-Manin obstruction for 0-cycles implies that the $\deg(\mathcal{L})$ -primary subgroup *does* capture the Brauer-Manin obstruction to 0-cycles of degree 1 for any ample globally generated line bundle \mathcal{L} . See §3 for more details.

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

This article was sparked by a discussion at the *Rational Points 2015*; we thank Michael Stoll for organizing a stimulating workshop. We also thank Sándor Kovács for discussions regarding the proof of Lemma 5.4 and Alexei Skorobogatov for the appendix and for helpful comments.

2. PRELIMINARIES

2.1. Notation. For an integer n , we define $\text{Supp}(n)$ to be the set of prime numbers dividing n . For an abelian group G and integer d we use $G[d]$ to denote the subgroup of elements of order dividing d , $G[d^\infty]$ for the subgroup of elements of order dividing a power of d and $G[d^\perp]$ for the subgroup of elements order prime to d .

Throughout k denotes a number field, Ω_k denotes the set of places of k , and \mathbb{A}_k denotes the adèle ring of k . For any $v \in \Omega_k$, k_v denotes the completion of k at v . We use K to denote an arbitrary field of characteristic 0. We fix an algebraic closure \overline{K} and write Γ_K for the absolute Galois group of K , with similar notation for k in place of K . For a commutative algebraic group G over k , we use $\text{III}(k, G)$ to denote the Tate-Shafarevich group of G , i.e., the group of torsors under G that are everywhere locally trivial.

Let X be a variety over K . We say that X is nice if it is smooth, projective, and geometrically integral. We write \overline{X} for the basechange of X to \overline{K} . If X is defined over a number field k and $v \in \Omega_k$, we write X_v for the basechange of X to k_v .

When X is integral, we use $\mathbf{k}(X)$ to denote the function field of X . The Picard group of X , denoted $\text{Pic } X$, is the group of isomorphism classes of K -rational line bundles on X . In the case that X is smooth, given a Weil divisor $D \in \text{Div } X$ we denote the corresponding line bundle by $\mathcal{O}_X(D)$. The subgroup $\text{Pic}^0 X \subset \text{Pic } X$ consists of those elements that map to the identity component of the Picard scheme. The Néron-Severi group of X , denoted $\text{NS } X$, is the quotient $\text{Pic } X / \text{Pic}^0 X$. The Brauer group of X , denoted $\text{Br } X$, is $H_{\text{et}}^2(X, \mathbb{G}_m)$ and the algebraic Brauer group of X is $\text{Br}_1 X := \ker(\text{Br } X \rightarrow \text{Br } \overline{X})$. The structure morphism yields a map $\text{Br } K \rightarrow \text{Br } X$, whose image is the subgroup of constant algebras denoted $\text{Br}_0 X$.

2.2. Polarized varieties, degrees and periods. In this paper a nice polarized variety over K is a pair (X, \mathcal{L}) consisting of a nice K -variety X and a globally generated ample line bundle $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic } X$. We define the **degree** of a nice polarized variety, denoted by $\deg(X, \mathcal{L})$ or $\deg(\mathcal{L})$, to be $\dim(X)!$ times the leading coefficient of the Hilbert polynomial, $h(n) := \chi(\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n})$.

Lemma 2.1. *Suppose (X, \mathcal{L}) is a nice polarized variety of degree d over K . Then there is a K -rational 0-cycle of degree d on X .*

Proof. Since \mathcal{L} is generated by global sections it determines a morphism $\phi_{\mathcal{L}} : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^N$, for some N . The intersection of $\phi_{\mathcal{L}}(X) \subset \mathbb{P}^N$ with a general linear subvariety of codimension equal to $\dim(X)$ is a 0-cycle a on $\phi_{\mathcal{L}}(X)$ which pulls back to a 0-cycle of degree d on X . \square

For a nice variety X over K and $i \in \mathbb{Z}$ we write Alb_X^i for degree i component of the Albanese scheme parameterizing 0-cycles on X up to Albanese equivalence. Then Alb_X^0 is an abelian variety and Alb_X^i is a K -torsor under Alb_X^0 . The (Albanese) **period** of X , denoted $\text{per}(X)$, is the order of Alb_X^1 in the Weil-Châtelet group $H^1(K, \text{Alb}_X^0)$. Equivalently, the period is the smallest positive integer P such that Alb_X^P has a K -rational point. Any k -rational 0-cycle of degree d determines a k -rational point on Alb_X^d . Thus Lemma 2.1 has the following corollary.

Corollary 2.2. *If (X, \mathcal{L}) is a nice polarized variety of degree d over K , then the period of X divides d .*

2.3. Basic properties of BM_d and BM_d^\perp . The definitions of BM_d and BM_d^\perp yield the following lemma, which we will use freely throughout the paper.

Lemma 2.3. *Let X be a nice variety over a number field k , let d and e be positive integers such that $d \mid e$, and set $d_0 := \prod_{p \in \text{Supp}(d)} p$. Then*

- (1) *X satisfies BM_d (resp. BM_d^\perp) if and only if X satisfies BM_{d_0} (resp. $\text{BM}_{d_0}^\perp$), and*
- (2) *If X satisfies BM_d (resp. BM_d^\perp), then X satisfies BM_e (resp. BM_e^\perp).*

In particular, if d' is a positive integer with $\text{Supp}(d) \subset \text{Supp}(d')$ and X satisfies BM_d (resp. BM_d^\perp), then X satisfies $\text{BM}_{d'}$ (resp. $\text{BM}_{d'}^\perp$).

Lemma 2.4. *Let $\pi : Y \rightarrow X$ be a morphism of nice varieties over a number field k and let d be a positive integer.*

- (1) *If $Y(\mathbb{A}_k) \neq \emptyset$ and Y satisfies BM_d^\perp , then X satisfies BM_d^\perp .*
- (2) *If $X(\mathbb{A}_k)^{\text{Br}} = \emptyset$ and X satisfies BM_d , then Y satisfies BM_d .*

Proof. (1) Suppose that $X(\mathbb{A}_k) \neq \emptyset$, but $X(\mathbb{A}_k)^{\text{Br}_{d^\perp}} = \emptyset$. Then for any $y \in Y(\mathbb{A}_k)$ there exists $\mathcal{A} \in (\text{Br } X)[d^\infty]$ such that $0 \neq (\pi(y), \mathcal{A}) = (y, \pi^* \mathcal{A})$. Hence $Y(\mathbb{A}_k)^{\text{Br}_{d^\perp}} = \emptyset$ and it follows that Y is not BM_d^\perp .

- (2) Suppose that X is BM_d and $X(\mathbb{A}_k)^{\text{Br}} = \emptyset$. Then given $y \in Y(\mathbb{A}_k)$, we may find an $\mathcal{A} \in (\text{Br } X)[d^\infty]$ such that $0 \neq (\pi(y), \mathcal{A}) = (y, \pi^* \mathcal{A})$, which shows that $y \notin Y(\mathbb{A}_k)^{\text{Br}_d}$. \square

Lemma 2.5. *Let $\sigma : Y \dashrightarrow X$ be a birational map of nice varieties over a number field k and let d be a positive integer. Then*

- (1) *X satisfies BM_d if and only if Y satisfies BM_d ;*
- (2) *X satisfies BM_d^\perp if and only if Y satisfies BM_d^\perp ;*
- (3) *The map σ induces an isomorphism $\sigma^* : \text{Br } X \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Br } Y$ such that for any $B \subset \text{Br } X$, $Y(\mathbb{A}_k)^{\sigma^*(B)} = \emptyset$ if and only if $Y(\mathbb{A}_k)^B = \emptyset$.*

Proof. By the Lang-Nishimura Theorem [Nis55, Lan54], $X(\mathbb{A}_k) = \emptyset \Leftrightarrow Y(\mathbb{A}_k) = \emptyset$. With this in mind, the first two statements follow easily from the third. Any birational map between smooth projective varieties over a field of characteristic 0 can be factored into a sequence of blowups and blowdowns with smooth centers [AKMW02]. Hence, it suffices to prove (3)

under the assumption that $\sigma: Y \rightarrow X$ is a birational morphism obtained by blowing up a smooth center $Z \subset X$. Then $\sigma^*: \text{Br } X \rightarrow \text{Br } Y$ is an isomorphism [Gro68].

For any field L/k it is clear that $\sigma(Y(L))$ contains $(X \setminus Z)(L)$. Furthermore, since Z is smooth, the exceptional divisor E_Z is a projective bundle over Z , so $\sigma(E_Z(L)) = Z(L)$. Therefore $\sigma(Y(L)) = X(L)$. It follows that the map $\sigma: Y(\mathbb{A}_k) \rightarrow X(\mathbb{A}_k)$ is surjective, and so (3) follows from functoriality of the Brauer-Manin pairing. \square

Lemma 2.6. *Let d be a positive integer and let X be a nice k -variety of dimension at least 2 with the following properties.*

- (1) $X(\mathbb{A}_k) \neq \emptyset$;
- (2) $X(\mathbb{A}_k)^{\text{Br}_d} = \emptyset$;
- (3) $\text{Br } X / \text{Br}_0 X$ has exponent d ;
- (4) degrees capture the Brauer-Manin obstruction on X ; and
- (5) X has a closed point P with $\deg(P)$ relatively prime to d .

Let $Y := \text{Bl}_P X$. Then degrees do not capture the Brauer-Manin obstruction on Y .

Proof. Clearly X does not satisfy $\text{BM}_{d'}$ for any d' prime to d . By birational invariance, the same is true of Y . It thus suffices to exhibit a globally generated ample line bundle on Y of degree prime to d . Let $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(Y)$ be the pullback of an ample line bundle on X and let \mathcal{E} denote the line bundle corresponding to the exceptional divisor. For some integer n , $\mathcal{L}^{\otimes n} \otimes \mathcal{E}^{-1}$ is ample and has degree prime to d . An appropriate multiple of this is very ample, hence globally generated, and has degree prime to d . \square

Example 2.7. *An example of a variety satisfying the conditions of the lemma with $d = 2$ and $\deg(P) = 3$ is the del Pezzo surface of degree 2 given by the equation $w^2 = 34(x^4 + y^4 + z^4)$ [Cor07, Remark 4.3]. The blowup of X at a suitable degree 3 point gives a rational elliptic surface for which degrees do not capture the Brauer-Manin obstruction. In particular, the property “degrees capture the Brauer-Manin obstruction” is not a birational invariant of smooth projective varieties.*

3. THE ANALOG FOR 0-CYCLES

Let X be a nice variety over a number field k . The group of 0-cycles on X is the free abelian group on the closed points of X . Two 0-cycles are directly rationally equivalent if their difference is the divisor of a function $f \in \mathbf{k}(C)^\times$, for some nice curve $C \subset X$. The Chow group of 0-cycles is denoted $\text{CH}_0 X$; it is the group of 0-cycles modulo rational equivalence, which is the equivalence relation generated by direct rational equivalence. For a place $v \in \Omega$ one defines the modified Chow group

$$\text{CH}'_0 X_v = \begin{cases} \text{CH}_0 X_v & \text{if } v \text{ is finite;} \\ \text{coker} \left(N_{\bar{k}_v/k_v} : \text{CH}_0 \bar{X}_v \rightarrow \text{CH}_0 X_v \right) & \text{if } v \text{ is infinite.} \end{cases}$$

Since X is proper there is a well defined degree map $\text{CH}_0 X \rightarrow \mathbb{Z}$. We denote by $\text{CH}_0^{(i)} X$ the preimage of $i \in \mathbb{Z}$. For an infinite place v , the degree of an element in $\text{CH}'_0 X_v$ is well defined modulo 2.

There is a Brauer-Manin pairing $\prod_v \mathrm{CH}_0 X_v \times \mathrm{Br} X \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$ which, by global reciprocity, induces a complex,

$$\mathrm{CH}_0 X \rightarrow \prod_v \mathrm{CH}'_0 X_v \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Br} X / \mathrm{Br}_0 X, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}).$$

In particular, if there are no classes of degree 1 in the kernel of the map on the right, then there is no global 0-cycle of degree 1. In this case, we say that there is a Brauer-Manin obstruction to the existence of 0-cycles of degree 1.

Conjecture (E) states that the sequence

$$(3.1) \quad \varprojlim_n (\mathrm{CH}_0 X)/n \rightarrow \varprojlim_n \prod_v (\mathrm{CH}'_0 X_v)/n \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}(\mathrm{Br} X / \mathrm{Br}_0 X, \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z})$$

is exact. This conjecture has its origins in work of Colliot-Thélène and Sansuc [CTS81], Kato and Saito [KS86] and Colliot-Thélène [CT95]. It has been stated in this form by van Hamel [vH03] and Wittenberg [Wit12].

Conjecture (E) implies that there is a global 0-cycle of degree 1 if and only if there is no Brauer-Manin obstruction to such (see, e.g., [Wit12, Rem. 1.1(iii)]). For a nice polarized variety (X, \mathcal{L}) , Conjecture (E) also implies that this obstruction is captured by the $\deg(\mathcal{L})$ -primary part of the Brauer group.

Proposition 3.1. *Let (X, \mathcal{L}) be a nice polarized variety of degree d over k and assume that Conjecture (E) holds for X . Then there exists a k -rational 0-cycle of degree 1 on X if and only if there exists $(z_v) \in \prod_v \mathrm{CH}_0^{(1)} X_v$ that is orthogonal to $(\mathrm{Br} X)[d^\infty]$.*

Proof. Set $\mathbb{Q}_d := \prod \mathbb{Q}_p$ and $\mathbb{Z}_d := \prod \mathbb{Z}_p$, where in both cases the product ranges over the primes dividing d . Exactness of (3.1) implies the exactness of its d -adic part,

$$\varprojlim_m (\mathrm{CH}_0 X)/d^m \rightarrow \varprojlim_m \prod_v (\mathrm{CH}'_0 X_v)/d^m \rightarrow \mathrm{Hom}((\mathrm{Br} X / \mathrm{Br}_0 X)[d^\infty], \mathbb{Q}_d/\mathbb{Z}_d).$$

If $(z_v) \in \prod_v \mathrm{CH}_0^{(1)} X_v$ is orthogonal to $(\mathrm{Br} X)[d^\infty]$, then by exactness we can find, for every $m \geq 1$, some $z_m \in \mathrm{CH}_0 X$ such that for every v , $z_m \equiv z_v \pmod{d^m \mathrm{CH}'_0 X_v}$. In particular, the degree of z_m is prime to d , so there is a global 0-cycle of degree prime to d on X . On the other hand, there is a 0-cycle of degree d on X by Lemma 2.1, so there must also be a 0-cycle of degree 1 on X . \square

Unconditionally we can show that the $\deg(\mathcal{L})$ -primary part of the Brauer group captures the Brauer-Manin obstruction to the existence of a global 0-cycle of degree 1 when $\mathrm{Br} X / \mathrm{Br}_0 X$ has finite exponent.

Proposition 3.2. *Let (X, \mathcal{L}) be a nice polarized variety of degree d . Assume that $\mathrm{Br} X / \mathrm{Br}_0 X$ has finite exponent. Then there is no Brauer-Manin obstruction to the existence of a 0-cycle of degree 1 if and only if there exists $(z_v) \in \prod_v \mathrm{CH}_0^{(1)} X_v$ that is orthogonal to $(\mathrm{Br} X)[d^\infty]$.*

Proof. If there is no Brauer-Manin obstruction to the existence of a 0-cycles of degree 1, then by definition there exists a $(z_v) \in \prod_v \mathrm{CH}_0^{(1)} X_v$ that is orthogonal to $\mathrm{Br} X$ and hence to $(\mathrm{Br} X)[d^\infty]$. Conversely, suppose there exists $(z_v) \in \prod_v \mathrm{CH}_0^{(1)} X_v$ that is orthogonal to $(\mathrm{Br} X)[d^\infty]$ and let $m \in \mathbb{Z}$ be the maximal divisor of the exponent of $\mathrm{Br} X / \mathrm{Br}_0 X$ that is coprime to d . Since the pairing is bilinear and $m(\mathrm{Br} X / \mathrm{Br}_0 X)$ is d -primary, we have that

(mz_v) is orthogonal to $\mathrm{Br} X$. Furthermore, by Lemma 2.1, there is a k -rational 0-cycle of degree d on X ; let $(z) \in \prod_v \mathrm{CH}_0 X_v$ be its image. By global reciprocity every integral linear combination of (z) and (mz_v) is orthogonal to $\mathrm{Br} X$. Since m is relatively prime to d , some integral linear combination of (z) and (mz_v) has degree 1, as desired. \square

4. TORSORS UNDER ABELIAN VARIETIES

In this section we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 4.1. *Let k be a number field, let Y be a smooth projective variety over k that is birational to a k -torsor V under an abelian variety, and let P be a positive integer that is divisible by the period of V . For any subgroup $B \subset \mathrm{Br} Y$ the following implication holds:*

$$Y(\mathbb{A}_k)^B = \emptyset \implies Y(\mathbb{A}_k)^{B[P^\infty]} = \emptyset.$$

Remark 4.2. Theorem 4.1 is strongest when $P = \mathrm{per}(V)$. However, determining $\mathrm{per}(V)$ is likely more difficult than determining if $Y(\mathbb{A}_k)^{B[P^\infty]} \neq \emptyset$, so the theorem will often be used for an integer P that is only known to bound the period.

Proof of Theorem 1.2. This follows immediately from Theorem 4.1 and Lemma 2.1. \square

Corollary 4.3. *Every k -torsor V of period P under an abelian variety satisfies BM_P and BM_P^\perp .*

Proof. We apply the theorem with $B = \mathrm{Br} X$ and $B = (\mathrm{Br} X)[P^\perp]$. \square

Remark 4.4. When $\mathrm{III}(k, A)$ is finite (i.e., conjecturally always) one can deduce that torsors of period P under A satisfy BM_P using the well known result of Manin relating the Brauer-Manin and Cassels-Tate pairings. A generalization of Manin's result by Harari and Szamuely [HS08] can be used to prove that torsors under semiabelian varieties satisfy BM_P , conditional on finiteness of Tate-Shafarevich groups (see Proposition 4.9).

Corollary 4.5. *Suppose X is a nice k -variety such that $\mathrm{Alb}_X^1(\mathbb{A}_k)^{\mathrm{Br}} = \emptyset$. Then degrees capture the Brauer-Manin obstruction to rational points on X .*

Proof. Let P denote the Albanese period of X . By Theorem 4.1, there is a P -primary Brauer-Manin obstruction to the existence of rational points on Alb_X^1 . This pulls back to give a P -primary Brauer-Manin obstruction to the existence of rational points on X . We conclude by noting that $P \mid \deg(\mathcal{L})$ for any globally generated ample line bundle $\mathcal{L} \in \mathrm{Pic} X$ by Lemma 2.1. \square

For a nice variety X over K we define the subgroup $\mathrm{Br}_{1/2} X \subset \mathrm{Br} X$ as follows. Let S denote the image of the map $\mathrm{H}^1(K, \mathrm{Pic}^0 \overline{X}) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^1(K, \mathrm{Pic} \overline{X})$ induced by the inclusion $\mathrm{Pic}^0 \overline{X} \subset \mathrm{Pic} \overline{X}$ and let $\mathrm{Br}_{1/2} X$ denote the preimage of S under the map $\mathrm{Br}_1 X \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^1(K, \mathrm{Pic} \overline{X})$ that is given by the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence.

Lemma 4.6. *Let V be a K -torsor under an abelian variety A over K . Let m and d be relatively prime integers such that m is relatively prime to the period of V . If $\mathrm{Br}_{1/2} V$ has finite index in $\mathrm{Br} V$, then there exists an étale morphism $\rho: V \rightarrow V$ such that the induced map,*

$$\rho^*: \frac{\mathrm{Br} V}{\mathrm{Br}_0 V} \longrightarrow \frac{\mathrm{Br} V}{\mathrm{Br}_0 V},$$

annihilates the m -torsion subgroup and is the identity on the d -torsion subgroup. Moreover, one may choose ρ so that it agrees, geometrically, with $[m^r]: A \rightarrow A$ for some integer r .

Proof. Since V is a torsor under A , there is an isomorphism $\psi: \bar{V} \rightarrow \bar{A}$ of varieties over \bar{K} , such that the torsor structure of V is given by $a \cdot v = \psi^{-1}(a + \psi(v))$, for $a \in A(\bar{K})$ and $v \in V(\bar{K})$. Moreover, ψ induces group isomorphisms $\text{Pic}^0 \bar{A} \simeq \text{Pic}^0 \bar{V}$, $\text{NS} \bar{A} \simeq \text{NS} \bar{V}$, and $\text{Br} \bar{A} \simeq \text{Br} \bar{V}$.

Let P be the period of V and let n be a power of m such that $n \equiv 1 \pmod{Pd}$. Then $nV = V$ in $H^1(K, A)$, so by [Sko01, Prop. 3.3.5] V can be made into an n -covering of itself. This means that there is an étale morphism $\pi: V \rightarrow V$ such that $\psi \circ \pi = [n] \circ \psi$ where $[n]$ denotes multiplication by n on A . We will show that an iterate of π has the desired properties.

Since $[n]$ induces multiplication by n on $\text{Pic}^0 \bar{A}$, the morphism π induces multiplication by n on $\text{Pic}^0 \bar{V}$. Indeed, $\pi^* = \psi^*[n]^*(\psi^{-1})^* = \psi^*n(\psi^{-1})^* = n\psi^*(\psi^{-1})^* = n$, where the penultimate equality follows since ψ^* is a homomorphism. Similarly, π induces multiplication by n^2 on $\text{NS} \bar{V}$, since $[n]$ induces multiplication by n^2 on $\text{NS} \bar{A}$. Thus we have a commutative diagram with exact rows

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} H^1(K, \text{Pic}^0 \bar{V}) & \xrightarrow{i} & H^1(K, \text{Pic} \bar{V}) & \xrightarrow{j} & H^1(k, \text{NS} \bar{V}) \\ \downarrow n & & \downarrow \pi^* & & \downarrow n^2 \\ H^1(K, \text{Pic}^0 \bar{V}) & \xrightarrow{i} & H^1(K, \text{Pic} \bar{V}) & \xrightarrow{j} & H^1(k, \text{NS} \bar{V}) \end{array}$$

We claim that $(\pi^2)^*$ annihilates the n -torsion of $H^1(K, \text{Pic} \bar{V})$. Since $\text{Br}_1 V / \text{Br}_0 V$ embeds into $H^1(K, \text{Pic} \bar{V})$, this would imply that $(\pi^2)^*$ annihilates the n -torsion in $\text{Br}_1 V / \text{Br}_0 V$. Let us prove the claim. For any $x \in H^1(K, \text{Pic} \bar{V})[n]$, we have that $j(\pi^*(x)) = n^2 j(x) = j(n^2 x) = 0$, so $\pi^*(x) = i(y)$ for some $y \in H^1(K, \text{Pic}^0 \bar{V})$ such that $ny \in \ker(i)$. Then $(\pi^2)^*(x) = \pi^*(i(y)) = i(ny) = 0$, as desired.

Multiplication by n on A induces multiplication by n^2 on $\text{Br} \bar{A}$ (see [Ber72, Middle of page 182]). Thus π^* acts as multiplication by n^2 on $\text{Br} \bar{V}$, and we have a commutative diagram with exact rows,

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Br}_1 V / \text{Br}_0 V & \xrightarrow{i'} & \text{Br} V / \text{Br}_0 V & \xrightarrow{j'} & \text{Br} V / \text{Br}_1 V \\ & & \downarrow \pi^* & & \downarrow \pi^* & & \downarrow n^2 \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Br}_1 V / \text{Br}_0 V & \xrightarrow{i'} & \text{Br} V / \text{Br}_0 V & \xrightarrow{j'} & \text{Br} V / \text{Br}_1 V \end{array}$$

The n -torsion in $\text{Br}_1 V / \text{Br}_0 V$ is killed by $(\pi^2)^*$ and i' is injective, so a similar diagram chase as above shows that $(\pi^3)^*$ kills the n -torsion, and hence the m -torsion, in $\text{Br} V / \text{Br}_0 V$.

It thus suffices to show that some power of $(\pi^3)^*$ is the identity map on the d -torsion subgroup of $\text{Br} V / \text{Br}_0 V$. By definition, the image of the composition $(\text{Br}_{1/2} V \rightarrow \text{Br}_1 V \rightarrow H^1(K, \text{Pic} \bar{V}))$ is contained in $H^1(K, \text{Pic}^0 \bar{V})$, so π^* acts as multiplication by n on $\text{Br}_{1/2} V / \text{Br}_0 V$. In particular, since $n \equiv 1 \pmod{d}$, π^* acts as the identity on the d -torsion subgroup of $\text{Br}_{1/2} V / \text{Br}_0 V$. Additionally, since the degree of π^3 is relatively prime to d , the induced map $(\pi^3)^*: (\text{Br} V)[d^\infty] \rightarrow (\text{Br} V)[d^\infty]$ is injective (see [ISZ11, Prop. 1.1]). Together this shows that $(\pi^3)^*$ is injective on $(\text{Br} V / \text{Br}_{1/2} V)[d]$. Since $(\text{Br} V / \text{Br}_{1/2} V)[d]$ is finite, some

power $\sigma = \pi^{3s}$ of π^3 acts as the identity on it. Thus, for every $\mathcal{A} \in \text{Br } V$ such that $d\mathcal{A} \in \text{Br}_0 V$ there is some $\mathcal{A}' \in \text{Br}_{1/2} V$ such that

$$\sigma^*(\mathcal{A}) = \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}' \quad \text{and} \quad d\mathcal{A}' \in \text{Br}_0 V.$$

Again σ^* is the identity on $(\text{Br}_{1/2} V / \text{Br}_0 V)[d]$, so by induction we get $(\sigma^d)^*(\mathcal{A}) \equiv \mathcal{A} + d\mathcal{A}' \equiv \mathcal{A} \pmod{\text{Br}_0 V}$. Therefore $\rho = \sigma^d$ has the desired properties. \square

Lemma 4.7. *Let k be a number field. If V is a k -torsor under an abelian variety, then $\text{Br}_{1/2} V$ has finite index in $\text{Br } V$.*

Proof. We have a filtration

$$\text{Br}_{1/2} V \subset \text{Br}_1 V \subset \text{Br } V.$$

The second inclusion has finite index by [SZ08, Theorem 1.1]. Now we consider the first inclusion. By the definition of $\text{Br}_{1/2} V$, the quotient $\text{Br}_1 V / \text{Br}_{1/2} V$ injects into the cokernel of the map $H^1(k, \text{Pic}^0 \overline{V}) \rightarrow H^1(k, \text{Pic } \overline{V})$. Then by the long exact sequence in cohomology associated to the short exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Pic}^0 \overline{V} \rightarrow \text{Pic } \overline{V} \rightarrow \text{NS } \overline{V} \rightarrow 0,$$

the cokernel in question injects into $H^1(k, \text{NS } \overline{V})$. The result now follows since $\text{NS } \overline{V}$ is finitely generated and torsion-free. \square

Lemma 4.8. *Let X be a smooth proper variety over a number field k and let $B \subset \text{Br } X$ be a subgroup. If $X(\mathbb{A}_k)^B = \emptyset$, then there is a finite subgroup $\tilde{B} \subset B$ such that $X(\mathbb{A}_k)^{\tilde{B}} = \emptyset$*

Proof. By hypothesis $X(\mathbb{A}_k)$ is compact. The lemma follows from the observation that

$$X(\mathbb{A}_k)^B = \bigcap_{A \in B} X(\mathbb{A}_k)^A.$$

is an intersection of closed subsets of $X(\mathbb{A}_k)$. If the intersection of these subsets is empty, then there is some finite collection of subsets whose intersection is empty. Since $\text{Br } X$ is torsion and a finitely generated torsion abelian group is finite, this completes the proof. \square

Proof of Theorem 4.1. In light of Lemma 2.5, we may assume that $Y = V$.

If $V(\mathbb{A}_k)^B = \emptyset$, then, by Lemma 4.8, there is a finite subgroup $B' \subset B$ with $V(\mathbb{A}_k)^{B'} = \emptyset$. Since $V(\mathbb{A}_k)^{B[P^\infty]} \subset V(\mathbb{A}_k)^{B'[P^\infty]}$, the desired implication holds if

$$V(\mathbb{A}_k)^{B'} = \emptyset \implies V(\mathbb{A}_k)^{B'[P^\infty]} = \emptyset,$$

for all finite subgroups $B' \subset B$. Thus, it suffices to prove the theorem when B is finite.

Let d be the exponent of $B[P^\infty]$ and let $m := \text{exponent}(B)/d$ so that m and d are relatively prime. Since we are working over a number field, Lemma 4.7 allows us to apply Lemma 4.6. Let $\rho: V \rightarrow V$ be the morphism given by Lemma 4.6; then the functoriality of the Brauer-Manin pairing and global reciprocity give that

$$V(\mathbb{A}_k)^B \supset \rho(V(\mathbb{A}_k)^{\rho^*(B)}) = \rho(V(\mathbb{A}_k)^{\rho^*(B[P^\infty])}) = \rho(V(\mathbb{A}_k)^{B[P^\infty]}).$$

In particular, if $V(\mathbb{A}_k)^B$ is empty, then so must be $V(\mathbb{A}_k)^{B[P^\infty]}$. \square

4.1. A conditional extension to semiabelian varieties.

Proposition 4.9. *Let k be a number field and let V be a k -torsor under a semiabelian variety G with abelian quotient A . Assume that $\text{III}(k, A)$ is finite. Then V satisfies BM_d for any integer d that is a multiple of the period of V .*

Proof. It is known that the obstruction coming from the group

$$\mathcal{B}(V) := \ker \left(\text{Br}_1 V \rightarrow \bigoplus_v \text{Br}_1 V_v / \text{Br}_0 V_v \right)$$

is the only obstruction to rational points on V [HS08, Theorem 1.1]. The extreme cases where G is an abelian variety or a torus are due to Manin [Man71, Théorème 6] and Sansuc [San81, Corollaire 8.7], respectively. The proof of this fact is as follows. There is a homomorphism $\iota: \text{III}(k, G^*) \rightarrow \mathcal{B}(X)$ (where G^* denotes the 1-motive dual to G) and a bilinear pairing of torsion abelian groups $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\text{CT}}: \text{III}(k, G) \times \text{III}(k, G^*) \rightarrow \mathbb{Q}/\mathbb{Z}$. This is related to the Brauer-Manin pairing via ι in the sense that for any $\beta \in \text{III}(k, G^*)$ and $(P_v) \in V(\mathbb{A}_k)$, one has $\langle [V], \beta \rangle_{\text{CT}} = ((P_v), \iota(\beta))$. The assumption that $\text{III}(k, A)$ is finite implies that the pairing $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_{\text{CT}}$ is nondegenerate. In particular, if $V(k) \subset V(\mathbb{A}_k)^{\text{Br}} = \emptyset$, then there is some $\mathcal{A} \in \mathcal{B}$ such that $V(\mathbb{A}_k)^{\mathcal{A}} = \emptyset$. It follows from bilinearity that, if there is such an obstruction, it will already come from the $\text{per}(V)^\infty$ -torsion elements in $\mathcal{B}(X)$. \square

4.2. Unboundedness of the exponent. One might ask if we can restrict consideration to even smaller subgroups of $\text{Br } V$, for instance if there is an integer d that can be determined *a priori* such that the d -torsion (rather than the d -primary torsion) captures the Brauer-Manin obstruction. The following proposition shows that this is not possible for torsors under abelian varieties, at least if Tate-Shafarevich groups of elliptic curves are finite.

Proposition 4.10. *Suppose that Tate-Shafarevich groups of elliptic curves over number fields are finite. For any integers P and n there exists, over a number field k , a torsor V under an elliptic curve with $\text{per}(V) = P$, $V(\mathbb{A}_k)^{(\text{Br } V)^{[P^n]}} \neq \emptyset$, and $V(\mathbb{A}_k)^{(\text{Br } V)^{[P^{n+1}]}} = \emptyset$.*

The following lemma will be helpful in the proof.

Lemma 4.11. *For any integer N there exists an elliptic curve E over a number field k such that $\text{III}(k, E)$ has an element of order N .*

Remark 4.12. It would be very interesting to know if the curve E can be taken to be defined over \mathbb{Q} . To the best of our knowledge, this is unknown for P a sufficiently large prime and for P an arbitrary power of any single prime. It follows from the lemma that this does hold for abelian varieties over \mathbb{Q} , since restriction of scalars gives an element of order N in $\text{III}(\mathbb{Q}, \text{Res}_{k/\mathbb{Q}}(E))$.

Proof. Recall that the index of a variety X over a field is the gcd of the degrees of the closed points on X . By work of Clark and Sharif [CS10] we can find a torsor V under an elliptic curve E/k of period N and index N^2 . Moreover, the proof of loc. cit. shows that we can find such V with $V(k_v) = \emptyset$ for exactly two primes v of k , both of which are finite, prime to N and such that E has good reduction. Let L/k be any degree N extension of k which is totally ramified at both of these primes. By a result of Lang and Tate [LT58] we have that $V(L_w) \neq \emptyset$ for w a place lying over either of these totally ramified primes, and

hence $V_L \in \text{III}(L, E_L)$. On the other hand, the index of V_L can drop at most by a factor of $N = [L : k]$. Since V_L is locally trivial its period and index are equal. Therefore we have $N \leq \text{Index}(V_L) = \text{Period}(V_L) \leq \text{Period}(V) = N$, so V_L has order N in $\text{III}(L, E_L)$. \square

Proof of Proposition 4.10. By the lemma above, we can find an elliptic curve E such that $\text{III}(k, E)$ contains an element of order P^{n+1} . Since $\text{III}(k, E)$ is finite, we can find $W \in \text{III}(k, E)$ such that $V := P^n W$ is not divisible by P^{n+1} in $\text{III}(k, E)$. Then a theorem of Manin [Man71] shows that $V(\mathbb{A}_k)^{(\text{Br } V)[P^{n+1}]} = \emptyset$. On the other hand, $\pi: W \rightarrow V$ is a P^n -covering and, by descent theory, the adelic points in $\pi(W(\mathbb{A}_k))$ are orthogonal to $(\text{Br}_1 V)[P^n] = (\text{Br } V)[P^n]$ (here we have used the fact that V is a curve and applied Tsen's Theorem). \square

5. QUOTIENTS OF TORSORS UNDER ABELIAN VARIETIES

Theorem 5.1. *Let k be a number field. Let Y be a smooth projective variety that is k -birational to a k -torsor V under an abelian variety. Let d be a positive integer such that $\text{Supp}(\text{per}(V)) \subset \text{Supp}(d)$ and let $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$ be a finite flat cover. Assume that we are in one of the following cases:*

- (1) $d = 2$, π is a ramified double cover, and $(\text{Br } X / \text{Br}_0 X)[2^\infty]$ is finite, or
- (2) π is a torsor under an abelian k -group of exponent dividing d .

Then X satisfies BM_d .

Remark 5.2. In fact, a stronger result holds. There is a subgroup $B \subset (\text{Br } X)[d]$ such that if $X(\mathbb{A}_k)^B \neq \emptyset$, then X is also BM_d^\perp . If we are in Case (1), then this subgroup B is finite, depends only on the Galois action on the geometric irreducible components of the branch locus of π , and is generically trivial.

The idea is that the subgroup B controls whether there is a twist of Y over X that is everywhere locally soluble. If there is such a twist, then we may apply Lemma 2.4(1) and Theorem 4.1 to conclude that X inherits BM_d^\perp from the covering.

For the proof, we need a slight generalization of a result of Skorobogatov and Swinnerton-Dyer [SSD05, Theorem 3 and Lemma 6].

Proposition 5.3. *Let $\pi: Y \rightarrow X$ be a ramified double cover over k and assume that $(\text{Br } X / \text{Br}_0 X)[2^\infty]$ is finite. Then*

$$X(\mathbb{A}_k)^{\text{Br}_2} \neq \emptyset \iff \bigcup_{a \in k^\times / k^{\times 2}} \pi^a(Y^a(\mathbb{A}_k)^{(\pi^a)^*(\text{Br } X[2^\infty])}) \neq \emptyset,$$

where $\pi^a: Y^a \rightarrow X$ denotes quadratic twist of $Y \rightarrow X$ by a .

Proof. The backwards direction follows from the functoriality of the Brauer group. Thus we consider the forwards direction. This proof follows ideas from [SSD05, Section 5]. We repeat the details here for the reader's convenience.

Let $f \in \mathbf{k}(X)^\times$ be such that $\mathbf{k}(Y) = \mathbf{k}(X)(\sqrt{f})$. We define a finite dimensional k -algebra

$$L := \bigoplus_{\substack{D \in X^{(1)} \\ v_D(f) \text{ odd}}} (\bar{k} \cap \mathbf{k}(D)).$$

Note that L is independent of the choice of f , since the class of f in $\mathbf{k}(X)^\times/\mathbf{k}(X)^{\times 2}$ is unique. Let $\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_n \in (\mathrm{Br} X)[2^\infty]$ be representatives for the finitely many classes in $(\mathrm{Br} X/\mathrm{Br}_0 X)[2^\infty]$. Let S be a finite set of places such that for all $v \notin S$, for all $P_v \in X(k_v)$, and for all $1 \leq i \leq n$, $\alpha_i(P_v) = 0 \in \mathrm{Br} k_v$. (It is well known that finding such a finite set S is possible, see, e.g., [Sko01, Section 5.2].) After possibly enlarging S we may assume that S contains all archimedean places and all places that are ramified in a subfield of L . We may also assume that $Y^a(k_v) \neq \emptyset$ for all $v \notin S$ and all $a \in k^\times/k^{\times 2}$ with $v(a)$ even [Sko01, Prop. 5.3.2].

Let $(P_v) \in X(\mathbb{A}_k)^{\mathrm{Br}_2}$. For $v \in S$, let $Q_v \in X(k_v)$ be such that $a_v := f(Q_v) \in k_v^\times$ and be sufficiently close to P_v so that $\alpha_i(P_v) = \alpha_i(Q_v)$ for all i . For $v \notin S$, set $a_v := 1$. Let $c \in k^\times$ be such that $k(\sqrt{c})$ is a subfield of L . Then by [SSD05, Theorem 3], the quaternion algebra $\mathcal{A} = (c, f)_2$ lies in $(\mathrm{Br} X)[2]$. Using the aforementioned properties of S and the definition of P_v and a_v , we then conclude

$$\sum_{v \in \Omega_k} \mathrm{inv}_v((c, a_v)) = \sum_{v \in S} \mathrm{inv}_v((c, a_v)) = \sum_{v \in S} \mathrm{inv}_v(\mathcal{A}(P_v)) = \sum_{v \in \Omega_k} \mathrm{inv}_v(\mathcal{A}(P_v)) = 0.$$

Hence, by [SSD05, Lemma 6(ii)], there exists an $a \in k^\times$ with $a/a_v \in k_v^{\times 2}$ such that $Y^a(\mathbb{A}_k) \neq \emptyset$. Since $a/a_v \in k_v^{\times 2}$ for all $v \in S$ we may further assume that there exists an $(R_v) \in Y^a(\mathbb{A}_k)$ such that $\pi^a(R_v) = Q_v$ for all $v \in S$. We then have

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_v \mathrm{inv}_v(((\pi^a)^*(\alpha_i))(R_v)) &= \sum_v \mathrm{inv}_v(\alpha_i(\pi^a(R_v))) = \sum_{v \in S} \mathrm{inv}_v(\alpha_i(Q_v)) = \sum_{v \in S} \mathrm{inv}_v(\alpha_i(P_v)) \\ &= \sum_v \mathrm{inv}_v(\alpha_i(P_v)) = 0, \end{aligned}$$

so $(R_v) \in Y^a(\mathbb{A}_k)^{(\pi^a)^*(\mathrm{Br} X[2^\infty])}$. □

Proof of Theorem 5.1. If π is ramified, let $G = \mathbb{Z}/2$; otherwise let G be the finite k -group such that π is a torsor under G . For each $\tau \in H^1(k, G)$, let $\pi^\tau: Y^\tau \rightarrow X$ denote the twisted cover, and define $B^\tau := (\pi^\tau)^*(\mathrm{Br} X)$.

Assume that $X(\mathbb{A}_k)^{\mathrm{Br}_d} \neq \emptyset$. By [ISZ11, Prop. 1.1], $(\pi^\tau)^*((\mathrm{Br} X)[d^\infty]) = B^\tau[d^\infty]$. Therefore, Proposition 5.3 and descent theory show in cases (1) and (2) respectively, that there exists a $\tau \in H^1(k, G)$ such that $Y^\tau(\mathbb{A}_k)^{B^\tau[d^\infty]} \neq \emptyset$. Applying Theorem 4.1 with $B = B^\tau$ we conclude that $Y^\tau(\mathbb{A}_k)^{B^\tau}$ is nonempty. By the functoriality of the Brauer-Manin pairing, we have that $X(\mathbb{A}_k)^{\mathrm{Br}} \neq \emptyset$. □

5.1. Bielliptic Surfaces. We say that a nice variety X over K is a **bielliptic surface** if X is a minimal algebraic surface of Kodaira dimension 0 and irregularity 1.

5.1.1. Geometry of bielliptic surfaces. If X is a bielliptic surface over K then it is well known that \overline{X} is isomorphic to $(A \times B)/G$ where A and B are elliptic curves and G is a finite group acting faithfully on A and B such that A/G is an elliptic curve and $B/G \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$ (see, e.g., [Bea96, Chap. VI and VIII]). Furthermore, by the Bagnera-de Franchis classification [Bea96, List VI.20], the pair of $\gamma := |G|$ and $n := \mathrm{exponent}(G)$ must be one of the following:

$$(\gamma, n) \in \{(2, 2), (4, 2), (4, 4), (8, 4), (3, 3), (9, 3), (6, 6)\}.$$

In all cases n is the order of the canonical sheaf in $\mathrm{Pic} X$.

For A, B, G as above, the universal property of the Albanese variety and [Bea96, Ex IX.7(1)] imply that the natural k -morphism $\Psi: X \rightarrow \text{Alb}_X^1$ geometrically agrees with the projection map $(A \times B)/G \rightarrow A/G$.

Lemma 5.4. *Let A and B be elliptic curves over K and let G be a finite group acting faithfully on A and B such that A/G is an elliptic curve and $B/G \simeq \mathbb{P}^1$. Set $X := (A \times B)/G$ and let π denote the projection map $X \rightarrow B/G$. If $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic } X$ is such that $\mathcal{L} \sim_{\text{alg}} \pi^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(m))$ for some positive integer m and $H^0(X, \mathcal{L}) \neq 0$, then $\mathcal{L} \simeq \pi^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(m))$.*

Proof. Since $\mathcal{L} \sim_{\text{alg}} \pi^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(m))$ and $\text{Pic}_X^0 \simeq A/G \simeq \text{Pic}_{A/G}^0$, there exists a line bundle $\mathcal{L}' \in \text{Pic}^0(A/G)$ such that $\mathcal{L} \simeq \pi^*(\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^1}(m)) \otimes \pi_2^*(\mathcal{L}')$, where π_2 is the projection $X \rightarrow A/G$. By assumption $H^0(X, \mathcal{L}) \neq 0$, so $H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \pi_*\mathcal{L}) \neq 0$, which, by the projection formula, implies that $H^0(\mathbb{P}^1, \pi_*\pi_2^*\mathcal{L}')$, and hence $H^0(A/G, \mathcal{L}')$, are nonzero. We complete the proof by observing that $H^0(A/G, \mathcal{L}') \neq 0$ if and only if $\mathcal{L}' \simeq \mathcal{O}_{A/G}$. \square

Proposition 5.5. *Let X be a bielliptic surface over K . Then there exists a genus 0 curve C and a K -morphism $\Phi: X \rightarrow C$ that is geometrically isomorphic to the projection map $(A \times B)/G \rightarrow B/G$.*

Proof. By the geometric classification, there exist smooth elliptic curves A and B over \overline{K} such that $\overline{X} \simeq (A \times B)/G$. By abuse of notation, we will also use A and B to refer to the algebraic equivalence class of a smooth fiber of the projection maps $\overline{X} \rightarrow B/G$ and $\overline{X} \rightarrow A/G$, respectively.

Since \overline{X} has an ample divisor, the sum of the Galois conjugates of this divisor is a K -rational ample divisor D . Then by [Ser90, Lemma 1.3 and Table 2], $[D] \equiv \alpha A + \beta B \in \text{Num } \overline{X}$ for some positive $\alpha, \beta \in \frac{1}{n}\mathbb{Z}$. Since the natural map $X \rightarrow \text{Alb}_X^1$ is K -rational, taking the fiber above a closed point yields a K -rational divisor F representing $mB \in \text{Num } \overline{X}$ for some $m > 0$. By taking a suitable integral combination of D and F , we obtain a K -rational divisor D' that is algebraically equivalent to $m'A$ for some positive integer m' . Hence, $m'A \in (\text{NS } \overline{X})^{\text{Gal}(\overline{K}/K)}$.

Applying Lemma 5.4 to the Galois conjugates of $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}(m'A)$ we see that $\mathcal{O}_{\overline{X}}(m'A) \in (\text{Pic } \overline{X})^{\Gamma_K}$. By the Hochschild-Serre spectral sequence the cokernel of $\text{Pic } X \rightarrow (\text{Pic } \overline{X})^{\Gamma_K}$ injects into $\text{Br } K$, which is torsion. Therefore some multiple of A is represented by a K -rational divisor D_0 .

Since A is the class of the pull back of $\mathcal{O}_{B/G}(1)$ under the projection map $(A \times B)/G \rightarrow B/G$, it follows that $\phi_{|D_0|}$ is geometrically isomorphic to the projection map $(A \times B)/G \rightarrow B/G$ composed with an r -uple embedding. Thus, the image of $\phi_{|D_0|}$ is a K -rational genus 0 curve C and $\phi_{|D_0|}$ is the desired map. \square

5.1.2. Proof of Theorem 1.4.

Lemma 5.6. *Let X be a bielliptic surface of period d over a number field k . Then X satisfies BM_{nd} where n denotes the order of the canonical sheaf in $\text{Pic } X$.*

Proof. Since the canonical sheaf is n -torsion and is defined over k , there exists a μ_n -torsor $\pi: V \rightarrow X$ that is defined over k ; by [BS03, Proposition 1] V is a torsor under an abelian surface. Since π has degree n and X has period d , the period of V must satisfy $\text{Supp}(\text{per}(V)) \subset \text{Supp}(nd)$. Indeed, for any $i \in \mathbb{Z}$, the degree n map $V \rightarrow X$ induces a map $\text{Alb}_X^i \rightarrow \text{Alb}_V^{ni}$.

Since $\text{Alb}_X^d(k) \neq \emptyset$ we must have $\text{Alb}_V^{nd}(k) \neq \emptyset$. Then Case (2) of Theorem 5.1 shows that X satisfies BM_{nd} . \square

We now state and prove our main result on bielliptic surfaces. Theorem 1.4 follows immediately.

Theorem 5.7. *Let (X, \mathcal{L}) be a nice polarized bielliptic surface over a number field k . If the canonical sheaf of X has order 3 or 6, assume that Alb_X^1 is not a nontrivial divisible element of $\text{III}(k, \text{Alb}_X^0)$. Then X satisfies $\text{BM}_{\deg(\mathcal{L})}$*

Remark 5.8. If the canonical sheaf of X has order 3 or 6, then it follows from the Bagnera-de Franchis classification that the elliptic curve Alb_X^0 has j -invariant 0.

Proof. Let n denote the order of the canonical sheaf of X . We will show that one of the four possibilities always occurs:

- (1) X is not locally solvable;
- (2) There is a k -rational point on X ;
- (3) There is a Brauer-Manin obstruction to rational points on Alb_X^1 ; or
- (4) Every globally generated ample line bundle \mathcal{L} on X has $\text{Supp}(n) \subset \text{Supp}(\deg(\mathcal{L}))$.

In the first two cases BM_d holds trivially for every d . In the third case, the theorem follows from Corollary 4.5. In the fourth case we have $\text{Supp}(n \deg(\mathcal{L})) = \text{Supp}(\deg(\mathcal{L}))$, so we can apply Lemma 5.6 to conclude that $\text{BM}_{\deg(\mathcal{L})}$ holds.

By the adjunction formula, the Néron-Severi lattice is even, so when $n \in \{2, 4\}$ we are in case (4) above. By the Bagnera-de Franchis classification we may therefore assume (γ, n) is one of $(6, 6)$, $(3, 3)$ or $(9, 3)$. Furthermore we can assume X is locally soluble. Then Alb_X^1 represents an element in $\text{III}(k, \text{Alb}_X^0)$. If this class is nontrivial, then Manin's theorem (cf. the proof of Proposition 4.9) shows that $\text{Alb}_X^1(\mathbb{A}_k)^{\text{Br}} = \emptyset$ and we are in case (3) above.

Since X is locally solvable Proposition 5.5 gives a k -morphism $\Phi: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^1$. Since \mathbb{P}^1 and Alb_X^1 have k -points, we obtain k -rational fibers $A, B \in \text{Div}(X)$ above k -points of \mathbb{P}^1 and Alb_X^1 , respectively. All fibers of Ψ are smooth genus one curves geometrically isomorphic to B , while the general fiber of Φ is geometrically isomorphic to A , but there are 3 multiple fibers with (at least) one having multiplicity n [Ser90, Table 2]. Let $A_0 \in \text{Div}(\overline{X})$ denote the reduced component of a multiple fiber with multiplicity n . By [Ser90, Theorem 1.4], the classes of A_0 and $\frac{n}{\gamma}B$ give a \mathbb{Z} -basis for $\text{Num}(\overline{X})$ and the intersection pairing is given by $B^2 = A^2 = 0$ and $A \cdot B = \gamma$. The ample subset of $\text{Pic } \overline{X}$ maps to the set of positive integral linear combinations of A_0 and $\frac{n}{\gamma}B$ in $\text{Num}(\overline{X})$.

Let e_A and e_B be the smallest positive integers such that the classes of $e_A A_0$ and $e_B \left(\frac{n}{\gamma}B\right)$ in $\text{NS}(\overline{X})$ are represented by k -rational divisors on X . Since $nA_0 = A$ in $\text{Pic } \overline{X}$ we have $e_A \mid n$. Moreover, $e_A = 1$ when $(\gamma, n) = (6, 6)$, because in this case Φ has a unique fiber of multiplicity 6, which must lie over a k -rational point. Similarly, $\frac{n}{\gamma} \left(\frac{n}{\gamma}B\right) = B$, so $e_B \mid \frac{n}{\gamma}$. Therefore both e_A and e_B must divide 3. It follows that when $e_A e_B > 1$ every k -rational ample divisor on X has degree divisible by 6 and we are in case (2) above. Therefore we are reduced to considering the case $e_A = e_B = 1$, in which case we will complete the proof by showing that $X(k) \neq \emptyset$.

First we claim that when $e_A = 1$ the class of A_0 in $\text{NS}(\overline{X})$ is represented by an effective k -rational divisor. In the case $n = 6$, we have seen that $A_0 \in \text{Div}(X)$. In the case $n = 3$,

let $F_1 = A_0, F_2, F_3$ denote the reduced components of the multiple fibers of Φ . The class of the canonical sheaf on X is represented by $2F_1 + 2F_2 + 2F_3 - 2A$ [Ser96, Thm. 4.1]. Since the canonical sheaf is not trivial, the F_i cannot all be linearly equivalent. The assumption that $e_A = 1$ implies that $F_1 = A_0$ is linearly equivalent to each of its Galois conjugates. The Galois action must permute the F_i , but it cannot act transitively, so some F_i must be fixed by Galois. This F_i is an effective k -rational divisor representing the class of A_0 . Relabeling if necessary, we may therefore assume that A_0 is an effective k -rational divisor.

When $\gamma = n$, $\frac{n}{\gamma}B = B$ is a effective k -rational divisor intersecting A_0 transversally and $A_0 \cdot B = 1$, so $A_0 \cap B$ consists of a k -rational point. When $\gamma \neq n$ we have $(\gamma, n) = (9, 3)$. In this case, $D = A_0 + \frac{5}{3}B$ is very ample [Ser90, Theorem 2.2]. By Bertini's theorem [Har77, Lemma V.1.2] the complete linear system $|D|$ contains a curve $C \in \text{Div}(X)$ intersecting A_0 transversally. This gives a k -rational 0-cycle of degree 5 on A_0 . On the other hand, the restriction of Ψ to A_0 gives a degree 3 étale map $A_0 \rightarrow \text{Alb}_X^1$. As $\text{Alb}_X^1(k) \neq \emptyset$, this gives a k -rational 0-cycle of degree 3 on A_0 . Then A_0 is a genus one curve with a k -rational 0-cycle of degree 1, so it (and hence X) must have a k -point. \square

5.2. Kummer varieties. Let A be an abelian variety over K . Any K -torsor T under $A[2]$ gives rise to a 2-covering $\rho: V \rightarrow A$, where V is the quotient of $A \times_K T$ by the diagonal action of $A[2]$ and ρ is the projection onto the first factor. Then $T = \rho^{-1}(0_A)$ and V has the structure of a K -torsor under A . The class of T maps to the class of V under the map $H^1(K, A[2]) \rightarrow H^1(K, A)$ induced by the inclusion of group schemes $A[2] \hookrightarrow A$ and, in particular, the period of V divides 2.

Let $\sigma: \tilde{V} \rightarrow V$ be the blow up of V at $T \subset V$. The involution $[-1]: A \rightarrow A$ fixes $A[2]$ and induces involutions ι on V and $\tilde{\iota}$ on \tilde{V} whose fixed point sets are T and the exceptional divisor, respectively. The quotient $\tilde{V}/\tilde{\iota}$ is geometrically isomorphic to the Kummer variety $\text{Kum}(A)$, so in particular is smooth. We call $\text{Kum}(V) := \tilde{V}/\tilde{\iota}$ the **Kummer variety** associated to V (or T).

Theorem 5.9. *Let V be a k -torsor under an abelian variety of period 2 and let $X = \text{Kum } V$ be the Kummer variety associated to V . Then X satisfies BM_2 .*

Proof. By definition every Kummer variety admits a double cover by a smooth projective variety birational to a torsor of period dividing 2 under an abelian variety. Moreover $(\text{Br } X / \text{Br}_0 X)[2^\infty]$ is finite by [SZ, Corollary 2.8]. So the theorem follows from case (1) of Theorem 5.1. \square

The following lemma allows us to deduce the corollary that degrees capture the Brauer-Manin obstruction on Kummer varieties.

Lemma 5.10. *If (X, \mathcal{L}) is a nice polarized Kummer variety over K of dimension g , then the degree of X is divisible by 2^{g-1} .*

Proof. The statement is trivially true (and optimal!) for $g = 1$, so assume $g \geq 2$. Furthermore we may assume that K is algebraically closed and that X is the Kummer variety associated to the trivial torsor A . We claim that any ample line bundle $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic}(X)$ pulls back along the quotient map $\tilde{\pi}: \tilde{A} \rightarrow X$ to a line bundle isomorphic to $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2}$ for some $\mathcal{M} \in \text{Pic}(A)$. From this it follows that

$$2 \deg(\mathcal{L}) = \deg(\tilde{\pi}) \deg(\mathcal{L}) = \deg(\tilde{\pi}^* \mathcal{L}) = \deg(\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2}) = 2^g \deg(\mathcal{M})$$

and thus $\deg(\mathcal{L}) \equiv 0 \pmod{2^{g-1}}$.

We now prove the claim. Let $X_0 = A/\iota_A$ be the singular Kummer variety of A and let π denote the quotient map $A \rightarrow X_0$. Then X is the blow up of X_0 at $\pi(A[2])$. Since $\pi(A[2])$ has codimension $g \geq 2$, we have canonical isomorphisms $\text{Pic}(X) \simeq \mathbb{Z}[A[2]] \oplus \text{Pic}(X_0)$ and $\text{Pic}(\tilde{A}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}[A[2]] \oplus \text{Pic}(A)$. Moreover, $\tilde{\pi}^*$ induces multiplication by 2 on the first factor. Since any ample line bundle on X must restrict to an ample line bundle on X_0 , it suffices to know that $\pi^*: \text{Pic}(X_0) \rightarrow \text{Pic}(A)$ sends every ample line bundle into $2 \text{Pic}(A)$. This follows from the fact that the pullback of any line bundle on X_0 is totally symmetric [Mum66, Proposition 1 on page 305] and the fact that any totally symmetric ample line bundle on an abelian variety is of the form $\mathcal{M}^{\otimes 2}$ by [Mum66, Corollary 4 on page 315] and [Mum70, §23, Theorem 3]. \square

6. CONIC BUNDLE SURFACES

A **conic bundle surface** is a nice, minimal surface X together with a dominant morphism $\pi: X \rightarrow C$ to a nice curve C such that the generic fiber is a smooth curve of genus 0.

Theorem 6.1. *Let $\pi: X \rightarrow C$ be a conic bundle surface. Assume either*

- (1) $g(C) = 0$, so X is geometrically rational, or
- (2) $g(C) = 1$, π is a smooth morphism, and $\text{III}(k, \text{Alb}_C^0)$ is finite.

Then degrees capture the Brauer-Manin obstruction on X .

In the case that $g(C) = 0$, it is well-known that $\text{Br } X / \text{Br}_0 X$ is 2-torsion, so X trivially satisfies BM_2 . Then the result follows from the following lemma.

Lemma 6.2. *Let $\pi: X \rightarrow C$ be a conic bundle surface over K without a section. Then the degree of any globally generated ample line bundle in $\text{Pic } X$ is divisible by $4i$, where i is the odd part of the index of C .*

Proof. Since π has no section, the class of the generic fiber in $\text{Br } \mathbf{k}(C)$ has order 2. Then, since $\pi: X \rightarrow C$ is minimal, any line bundle in $\mathcal{L} \in \text{Pic } X$ is algebraically equivalent (over \bar{k}) to $\mathcal{O}_X(2aS + b \text{ind}(Y)F)$, where $a, b \in \mathbb{Z}$, S is a geometric section of π (which exists by Tsen's theorem) and F is the class of a fiber over a \bar{K} -point of C . Thus, we have

$$\deg(\mathcal{L}) = \deg(\mathcal{L}_{\bar{K}}) = 4a^2S^2 + 4ab \text{ind}(C).$$

Further, since there is a double cover $C' \rightarrow C$ such that the conic bundle $\pi': X \times_C C' \rightarrow C'$ has a section, S^2 is equal to the degree of the wedge power of a rank 2 vector bundle on $X \times_C C'$ [Bea96, p. 30 and Prop. III.18]. Since any degree n point on C yields a degree n or $2n$ point on C' , the index of C and C' can differ only by a factor of 2. Therefore, $S^2 \in \text{ind}(C')\mathbb{Z} \subset i\mathbb{Z}$ and so $\deg(\mathcal{L}) = 4a^2S^2 + 4ab \text{ind}(Y) \equiv 0 \pmod{4i}$. \square

Part (2) of Theorem 6.1 follows from a more general result about smooth fibrations of Severi-Brauer varieties, which will be proved in §6.1.

If $\pi: X \rightarrow C$ is a conic bundle surface over a positive genus base with singular fibers, then degrees do not necessarily capture the Brauer-Manin obstruction. We construct a counterexample in §6.2.

6.1. Severi-Brauer bundles. A nice variety X/K is a Severi-Brauer bundle if there is a smooth morphism $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ to a nice variety Y whose generic fiber is a positive dimensional Severi-Brauer variety.

Proposition 6.3. *Let $\pi: X \rightarrow Y$ be a Severi-Brauer bundle over a nice k -variety Y . Let $\mathcal{A} \in \text{Br } Y$ denote the class of the generic fiber of π and let d be the order of \mathcal{A} . Suppose that*

- (1) $\text{III}(k, \text{Alb}_Y^0)[d^\infty]$ is finite,
- (2) $Y(k) \neq \emptyset$,
- (3) The canonical map $Y(k) \rightarrow \text{Alb}_Y^1(k)/d \text{Alb}_Y^0(k)$ is surjective, and
- (4) For every prime v , the evaluation map $\mathcal{A}_v: \text{CH}_0^{(0)}(Y_v) \rightarrow \text{Br } k_v$ factors through $\text{Alb}_Y^0(k_v)$.

Then $X(\mathbb{A})^{\text{Br}_d} \neq \emptyset \Rightarrow X(k) \neq \emptyset$. In particular, X satisfies BM_d .

Remark 6.4. Hypotheses (3) and (4) of the proposition are satisfied if

- Y is an abelian variety;
- Y is a curve such that $\#Y(k) = \# \text{Alb}_Y^0(k)$; or
- Y is a curve such that $Y(k) \neq \emptyset$ and $\text{Alb}_Y^0(k)$ is finite of order prime to d .

This is a slight generalization of a result in [CTPS16, Proposition 6.5] which proves that the Brauer-Manin obstruction is the only one on X under the assumption that Y is an elliptic curve with $\text{III}(k, Y)$ finite.

Proof of Theorem 6.1(2). If $C(k) = \emptyset$, then the assumption on $\text{III}(k, \text{Alb}_C^0)$ implies by Manin's theorem that $C(\mathbb{A}_k)^{\text{Br}} = \emptyset$. Since $C \simeq \text{Alb}_X^1$, we may apply Corollary 4.5 to conclude that degrees capture the Brauer-Manin obstruction on X .

Assume that $C(k) \neq \emptyset$. If π has a section, then $X(k) \neq \emptyset$ so we trivially see that degrees capture the Brauer-Manin obstruction. Hence, we assume that π has no section, which is equivalent to assuming that the Brauer class of the generic fiber of π has order 2. Since Proposition 6.3 and Remark 6.4 together show that X satisfies BM_2 , we apply Lemma 6.2 to complete the proof. \square

Proof of Proposition 6.3. To ease notation set $A = \text{Alb}_Y^0$. Choose $P_0 \in Y(k)$ and use this to define a k -morphism $\iota: Y \rightarrow A$ sending P to the class of the 0-cycle $P - P_0$. Suppose that $X(\mathbb{A}_k)^{\text{Br}_d} \neq \emptyset$. Fix a point $(Q_v) \in X(\mathbb{A}_k)^{\text{Br}_d}$ and let $(P_v) := (\pi(Q_v))$. Then $(\iota(P_v)) \in A(\mathbb{A}_k)^{\text{Br}_d}$ by functoriality. Since $\iota(P_v)$ is orthogonal to $(\text{Br } A)[d^\infty]$ it follows from descent theory (e.g., [Sko01, Thm. 6.1.2]) that, for every n , $\iota(P_v)$ lifts to an adelic point on some d^n -covering of A . (For the definition of an N -covering see [Sko01, Def. 3.3.1].) Because $\text{III}(k, A)[d^\infty]$ is finite, there is some n such that every d^n -covering of A which lifts to a locally soluble d^{n+1} -covering of A is of the form $x \mapsto d^n x + Q$ for some $Q \in A(k)$. In particular, there is some $Q \in A(k)$ such that $(\iota(P_v) - Q) \in dA(\mathbb{A}_k)$. By assumption (3) there is some $P \in Y(k)$ such that $(\iota(P_v) - \iota(P)) \in dA(\mathbb{A}_k)$. Now by assumption (4) and the fact that $d\mathcal{A} = 0$ it follows that, for every prime v , $\mathcal{A}(P) \otimes k_v = \mathcal{A}(P_v)$. Note that $\mathcal{A}(P_v) = 0 \in \text{Br } k_v$ since the fiber X_{P_v} has a k_v -point. Thus, $\mathcal{A}(P) \otimes k_v = 0$ for every v . We conclude that the Severi-Brauer variety X_P must be everywhere locally soluble and thus, by the Albert-Brauer-Hasse-Noether theorem, that $X_P(k) \neq \emptyset$. \square

6.2. A counterexample.

Theorem 6.5. *There exists a conic bundle surface $\pi: X \rightarrow E$ over an elliptic curve, such that X has an ample globally generated line bundle of degree 12 and X does not satisfy BM_6 . In particular, degrees do not capture the Brauer-Manin obstruction.*

Proof. Let E/\mathbb{Q} be an elliptic curve with a \mathbb{Q} -rational cyclic subgroup Z of order 5 such that $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(Z)/\mathbb{Q}) = \mathbb{Z}/4$ and with $\text{III}(\mathbb{Q}, E)$ finite, e.g., the curve with LMFDB label 11.a1 [LMFDB, Elliptic Curve 11.a2]. Then $Z = O \cup P$, where P is a degree 4 closed point. Let p_1 and p_2 be two primes that are congruent to 1 modulo 8 and that split completely in $\mathbf{k}(P)$. Finally choose a prime $q \neq p_i$ that is 1 modulo 8 and that is a nonsquare modulo p_1 and modulo p_2 .

We will use this chosen data to construct a conic bundle X over E with the required properties. Let \mathcal{E} be the rank 3 vector bundle $\mathcal{O}_E \oplus \mathcal{O}_E \oplus \mathcal{O}_E(2O)$. Since $4O \sim P$, there exists a section $s_2 \in H^0(E, \mathcal{O}_E(2O)^{\otimes 2})$ such that $\text{div}(s_2) = P$ and such that $s_2(O) = -p_1p_2$. Let $s_0 := 1$ and $s_1 := -q$ thought of as sections of $H^0(E, \mathcal{O}_E^{\otimes 2})$. Then we define $\pi: X \rightarrow E$ to be the conic bundle given by the vanishing of the section $s := s_0 + s_1 + s_2 \in H^0(E, \text{Sym}^2 \mathcal{E})$ inside $\mathbb{P}(\mathcal{E})$; this conic bundle is smooth by [Poo09, Lemma 3.1].

Since s is invertible on $E - P$, the morphism π is smooth away from P . Because P is a single closed point, it follows that $\pi^*: \text{Br } E \rightarrow \text{Br } X$ is surjective (see [CTPS16, Proposition 2.2(i)]). Hence, $X(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})^{\text{Br}_6} \neq \emptyset$ if and only if $\pi(X(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})) \cap E(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})^{\text{Br}_6} \neq \emptyset$.

Since the p_i split completely in $\mathbf{k}(P)$, the connected components of $P \otimes k_{p_i}$ are points in $E(\mathbb{Q}_{p_i})$. Consider an adelic point $(P_v) \in E(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$ with $P_v = O$ for all $v \neq p_1, p_2$ and P_v a connected component of $P \otimes k_v$ for $v = p_1, p_2$. Then (P_v) is 5-torsion and, since 5 is relatively prime to 6, the point (P_v) is 6-divisible in $E(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})$. Since $\text{III}(\mathbb{Q}, E)$ is finite, descent theory implies that $(P_v) \in E(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})^{\text{Br}_6}$ (cf. the proof of Proposition 6.3).

Since p_i splits completely in $\mathbf{k}(P)$, each connected component of $X_P \otimes \mathbb{Q}_{p_i}$ is a pair of lines intersecting at a unique point, which must be defined over \mathbb{Q}_{p_i} . In particular, $P_{p_i} \in \pi(X(\mathbb{Q}_{p_i}))$ for $i = 1, 2$. Further, by construction, the fiber above O is given by

$$X_O: w_0^2 - qw_1^2 = p_1p_2w_2^2.$$

By the choice of p_1, p_2 and q , $X_O(\mathbb{Q}_v) \neq \emptyset$ exactly when $v \neq p_1, p_2$. Hence, $(P_v) \in \pi(X(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}}))$ and so $X(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})^{\text{Br}_6} \neq \emptyset$.

To prove that X does not satisfy BM_6 , it remains to show that $X(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})^{\text{Br}} = \emptyset$, which, by the same argument as above, is equivalent to showing that $\pi(X(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})) \cap E(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})^{\text{Br}} = \emptyset$. Since $\text{III}(k, E)$ is finite, $E(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})^{\text{Br}} = \prod_{p < \infty} \{O\} \times C_O$, where $C_O \subset E(\mathbb{R})$ is the connected component of O . However, since $s_2(P) = 0$ and $q \notin \mathbb{F}_{p_i}^{\times 2}$ for $i = 1, 2$, $X_O(\mathbb{Q}_{p_1}) = X_O(\mathbb{Q}_{p_2}) = \emptyset$, so $X(\mathbb{A}_{\mathbb{Q}})^{\text{Br}} = \emptyset$.

Now we will show that X has a globally generated k -rational ample line bundle of degree 12. Consider the morphism $f: X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^3 \times \mathbb{P}^1$ that is the composition of the following maps

$$X \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_E \oplus \mathcal{O}_E \oplus \mathcal{O}_E(2\infty)) \rightarrow \mathbb{P}(\mathcal{O}_E^{\oplus 4}) \simeq \mathbb{P}^3 \times E \xrightarrow{(\text{id}, x)} \mathbb{P}^3 \times \mathbb{P}^1,$$

where the second map (from left to right) is induced by a surjection $\mathcal{O}_E^{\oplus 2} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_E(2O)$ and the morphism g that is the composition of f with the Segre embedding $\mathbb{P}^3 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^7$. Note that f , and therefore g , is 2-to-1 onto its image, so $\mathcal{L} := g^*\mathcal{O}_{\mathbb{P}^7}(1)$ is a globally generated ample line bundle on X .

The image of f is the complete intersection of a $(2, 0)$ hypersurface, which comes from the quadric relation s , and a $(1, 1)$ hypersurface, which comes from the kernel of $\mathcal{O}_E^{\oplus 2} \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_E(2O)$.

Since a quadric surface in \mathbb{P}^3 is geometrically isomorphic to $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1$, the image of g is geometrically isomorphic to a hyperplane intersected with the image Y of $\mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \times \mathbb{P}^1 \hookrightarrow \mathbb{P}^7$. Since the Hilbert polynomial of Y is $(1+x)^3$, the degree of Y , and hence the degree of image g , is $3! = 6$. Therefore, $\deg(\mathcal{L}) = 12$ and so degrees do *not* capture the Brauer-Manin obstruction to rational points on X . \square

APPENDIX A. PROOF OF THEOREM 1.9, BY ALEXEI N. SKOROBOGATOV

The following statement equivalent to Theorem 1.9 is a common generalisation of [SZ, Thm. 3.3] of Skorobogatov and Zarhin and Theorem 1.7 of Creutz and Viray.

Let $\text{Br}(X)_{\text{odd}}$ be the subgroup of $\text{Br}(X)$ formed by the elements of odd order.

Theorem A.1. *Let A be an abelian variety of dimension > 1 over a number field k . Let X be the Kummer variety attached to a 2-covering of A . If B is a subgroup of $\text{Br}(X)$ such that $X(\mathbb{A}_k)^B \neq \emptyset$, then $X(\mathbb{A}_k)^{B+\text{Br}(X)_{\text{odd}}} \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof. By [SZ, Corollary 2.8] the group $\text{Br}(X)/\text{Br}_0(X)$ is finite. Hence we can assume without loss of generality that B is finite. Replacing B by its 2-primary torsion subgroup we can assume that $B \subset \text{Br}(X)[2^\infty]$. There exists an odd integer n such that $\text{Br}(X)[n]$ and $\text{Br}(X)_{\text{odd}}$ have the same image in $\text{Br}(X)$.

By the definition of a Kummer variety [SZ, Def. 2.1] there exists a double cover $\pi : Y' \rightarrow X$, where $\sigma : Y' \rightarrow Y$ is the blowing-up of a 2-covering $f : Y \rightarrow A$ of A in $f^{-1}(0)$, such that the branch locus of π is the exceptional divisor of σ . Since n is odd and Y is a torsor for A of period dividing 2, we have a well defined morphism $[n] : Y \rightarrow Y$ compatible with multiplication by n on A .

Let $(P_v) \in X(\mathbb{A}_k)$. For each v there is a class $\alpha_v \in H^1(k_v, \mu_2) = k_v^*/k_v^{*2}$ such that P_v lifts to a k_v -point on the quadratic twist Y'_{α_v} , which is a variety defined over k_v . By weak approximation we can assume that α_v comes from k^*/k^{*2} and hence Y'_{α_v} is actually defined over k . Let $\pi_{\alpha_v} : Y'_{\alpha_v} \rightarrow X$ be the natural double cover.

Let $D = \pi_{\alpha_v}^*(B)$ be the image of B in $\text{Br}(Y_{\alpha_v})$. We need the following corollary of Lemma 4.6.

Lemma A.2. *There exists a positive integer s such that $[n^s]^* : \text{Br}(Y_{\alpha_v}) \rightarrow \text{Br}(Y_{\alpha_v})$ restricted to D is the identity map on D .*

Proof. By Lemma 4.6 for $d = 2$ there is a positive integer a such that $[n^a]$ induces the identity on the quotient of D by $D \cap \text{Br}_0(Y_{\alpha_v})$. Hence for each $\mathcal{A} \in D$ there is an $\mathcal{A}_0 \in \text{Br}_0(Y_{\alpha_v})$ such that $[n^a]^* \mathcal{A} = \mathcal{A} + \mathcal{A}_0$. Let b be the product of orders of \mathcal{A}_0 , where \mathcal{A} ranges over all elements of D . Then $s = ab$ satisfies the conclusion of the lemma. \square

Now assume that $(P_v) \in X(\mathbb{A}_k)^B$. For each place v of k choose $R_v \in Y_{\alpha_v}(k_v)$ such that $\pi_{\alpha_v}(R_v) = P_v$. In the proof of [SZ, Thm. 3.3] instead of $M_v = [n]R_v$ put $M_v = [n^s]R_v$, where s is as in Lemma A.2. This definition has all the properties needed for the proof of [SZ, Thm. 3.3] with the additional property

$$(A.1) \quad \mathcal{A}(M_v) = ([n^s]^* \mathcal{A})(R_v) = \mathcal{A}(R_v)$$

for each $\mathcal{A} \in \pi_{\alpha_v}^*(B)$. We now proceed exactly as in the proof of [SZ, Thm. 3.3]. By a small deformation we can assume that M_v avoids the exceptional divisor of $Y'_{\alpha_v} \rightarrow Y_{\alpha_v}$. Then M_v lifts to a unique point $M'_v \in Y'_{\alpha_v}(k_v)$. Let $Q_v = \pi_{\alpha_v}(M'_v) \in X(k_v)$. By (A.1) for each $\mathcal{B} \in B$

we have $\mathcal{B}(Q_v) = \mathcal{B}(P_v)$, hence $(Q_v) \in X(\mathbb{A}_k)^B$. The proof of [SZ, Thm. 3.3] shows that $(Q_v) \in X(\mathbb{A}_k)^{\text{Br}(X)_{\text{odd}}}$. Thus $(Q_v) \in X(\mathbb{A}_k)^{B+\text{Br}(X)_{\text{odd}}}$. □

REFERENCES

- [AKMW02] Dan Abramovich, Kalle Karu, Kenji Matsuki, and Jarosław Włodarczyk, *Torification and factorization of birational maps*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **15** (2002), no. 3, 531–572 (electronic), DOI 10.1090/S0894-0347-02-00396-X. MR1896232 [↑6](#)
- [BS03] Carmen Laura Basile and Alexei N. Skorobogatov, *On the Hasse principle for bielliptic surfaces*, Number theory and algebraic geometry, London Math. Soc. Lecture Note Ser., vol. 303, Cambridge Univ. Press, Cambridge, 2003, pp. 31–40. MR2053453 (2005e:14056) [↑15](#)
- [Bea96] Arnaud Beauville, *Complex algebraic surfaces*, 2nd ed., London Mathematical Society Student Texts, vol. 34, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 1996. Translated from the 1978 French original by R. Barlow, with assistance from N. I. Shepherd-Barron and M. Reid. MR1406314 [↑14](#), [15](#), [18](#)
- [Ber72] Vladimir G. Berkovič, *The Brauer group of abelian varieties*, Funkcional. Anal. i Priložen. **6** (1972), no. 3, 10–15 (Russian). MR0308134 [↑10](#)
- [CS10] Pete L. Clark and Shahed Sharif, *Period, index and potential. III*, Algebra Number Theory **4** (2010), no. 2, 151–174, DOI 10.2140/ant.2010.4.151. MR2592017 [↑12](#)
- [CT95] Jean-Louis Colliot-Thélène, *L’arithmétique du groupe de Chow des zéro-cycles*, J. Théor. Nombres Bordeaux **7** (1995), no. 1, 51–73 (French). Les Dix-huitièmes Journées Arithmétiques (Bordeaux, 1993). MR1413566 [↑8](#)
- [CTPS16] Jean-Louis Colliot-Thélène, Ambrus Pál, and Alexei N. Skorobogatov, *Pathologies of the Brauer-Manin obstruction*, Math. Z. **282** (2016), no. 3-4, 799–817, DOI 10.1007/s00209-015-1565-x. MR3473644 [↑4](#), [19](#), [20](#)
- [CTS81] Jean-Louis Colliot-Thélène and Jean-Jacques Sansuc, *On the Chow groups of certain rational surfaces: a sequel to a paper of S. Bloch*, Duke Math. J. **48** (1981), no. 2, 421–447. MR620258 [↑8](#)
- [Cor07] Patrick Corn, *The Brauer-Manin obstruction on del Pezzo surfaces of degree 2*, Proc. Lond. Math. Soc. (3) **95** (2007), no. 3, 735–777, DOI 10.1112/plms/pdm015. MR2368282 [↑4](#), [7](#)
- [Gro68] Alexander Grothendieck, *Le groupe de Brauer. III. Exemples et compléments*, Dix exposés sur la cohomologie des schémas, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., vol. 3, North-Holland, Amsterdam, 1968, pp. 88–188 (French). MR244271 [↑7](#)
- [vH03] Joost van Hamel, *The Brauer-Manin obstruction for zero-cycles on Severi-Brauer fibrations over curves*, J. London Math. Soc. (2) **68** (2003), no. 2, 317–337. MR1994685 [↑8](#)
- [HS08] David Harari and Tamás Szamuely, *Local-global principles for 1-motives*, Duke Math. J. **143** (2008), no. 3, 531–557, DOI 10.1215/00127094-2008-028. MR2423762 [↑9](#), [12](#)
- [HS16] Yonatan Harpaz and Alexei N. Skorobogatov, *Hasse principle for Kummer varieties*, Algebra Number Theory **10** (2016), no. 4, 813–841, DOI 10.2140/ant.2016.10.813. MR3519097 [↑3](#)
- [Har77] Robin Hartshorne, *Algebraic geometry*, Springer-Verlag, New York-Heidelberg, 1977. Graduate Texts in Mathematics, No. 52. MR0463157 [↑17](#)
- [Has09] Brendan Hassett, *Rational surfaces over nonclosed fields*, Arithmetic geometry, Clay Math. Proc., vol. 8, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2009, pp. 155–209. MR2498061 [↑4](#)
- [IS15] Evis Ieronymou and Alexei N. Skorobogatov, *Odd order Brauer-Manin obstruction on diagonal quartic surfaces*, Adv. Math. **270** (2015), 181–205, DOI 10.1016/j.aim.2014.11.004. MR3286534 [↑4](#)
- [ISZ11] Evis Ieronymou, Alexei N. Skorobogatov, and Yuri G. Zarhin, *On the Brauer group of diagonal quartic surfaces*, J. Lond. Math. Soc. (2) **83** (2011), no. 3, 659–672, DOI 10.1112/jlms/jdq083. With an appendix by Peter Swinnerton-Dyer. MR2802504 [↑10](#), [14](#)
- [KS86] Kazuya Kato and Shuji Saito, *Global class field theory of arithmetic schemes*, Applications of algebraic K-theory to algebraic geometry and number theory, Part I, II (Boulder, Colo.,

- 1983), *Contemp. Math.*, vol. 55, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 1986, pp. 255–331, DOI 10.1090/conm/055.1/862639. MR862639 ↑8
- [Lan54] Serge Lang, *Some applications of the local uniformization theorem*, *Amer. J. Math.* **76** (1954), 362–374. MR0062722 ↑6
- [LT58] Serge Lang and John Tate, *Principal homogeneous spaces over abelian varieties*, *Amer. J. Math.* **80** (1958), 659–684. MR0106226 ↑12
- [LMFDB] The LMFDB Collaboration, *The L-functions and Modular Forms Database*, 2013. [Online; accessed 3 January 2016]. ↑20
- [Man71] Yuri I. Manin, *Le groupe de Brauer-Grothendieck en géométrie diophantienne*, *Actes du Congrès International des Mathématiciens (Nice, 1970)*, Gauthier-Villars, Paris, 1971, pp. 401–411. MR0427322 ↑1, 12, 13
- [Mum66] D. Mumford, *On the equations defining abelian varieties. I*, *Invent. Math.* **1** (1966), 287–354, DOI 10.1007/BF01389737. MR0204427 ↑18
- [Mum70] David Mumford, *Abelian varieties*, *Tata Institute of Fundamental Research Studies in Mathematics*, No. 5, Published for the Tata Institute of Fundamental Research, Bombay; Oxford University Press, London, 1970. MR0282985 ↑18
- [Nak17] Masahiro Nakahara, *Brauer classes that never obstruct the Hasse principle for genus 1 fibrations*, preprint (2017). ↑4, 5
- [Nis55] Hajime Nishimura, *Some remarks on rational points*, *Mem. Coll. Sci. Univ. Kyoto. Ser. A. Math.* **29** (1955), 189–192. MR0095851 ↑6
- [Poo06] Bjorn Poonen, *Heuristics for the Brauer-Manin obstruction for curves*, *Experiment. Math.* **15** (2006), no. 4, 415–420. MR2293593 ↑3
- [Poo09] ———, *Existence of rational points on smooth projective varieties*, *J. Eur. Math. Soc. (JEMS)* **11** (2009), no. 3, 529–543, DOI 10.4171/JEMS/159. MR2505440 ↑20
- [San81] Jean-Jacques Sansuc, *Groupe de Brauer et arithmétique des groupes algébriques linéaires sur un corps de nombres*, *J. Reine Angew. Math.* **327** (1981), 12–80, DOI 10.1515/crll.1981.327.12 (French). MR631309 ↑12
- [Ser90] Fernando Serrano, *Divisors of bielliptic surfaces and embeddings in \mathbf{P}^4* , *Math. Z.* **203** (1990), no. 3, 527–533, DOI 10.1007/BF02570754. MR1038716 ↑15, 16, 17
- [Ser96] ———, *Isotrivial fibred surfaces*, *Ann. Mat. Pura Appl. (4)* **171** (1996), 63–81, DOI 10.1007/BF01759382. MR1441865 ↑17
- [Sko01] Alexei N. Skorobogatov, *Torsors and rational points*, *Cambridge Tracts in Mathematics*, vol. 144, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge, 2001. MR1845760 (2002d:14032) ↑3, 10, 14, 19
- [Sko99] ———, *Beyond the Manin obstruction*, *Invent. Math.* **135** (1999), no. 2, 399–424, DOI 10.1007/s002220050291. MR1666779 ↑2
- [SSD05] Alexei N. Skorobogatov and Peter Swinnerton-Dyer, *2-descent on elliptic curves and rational points on certain Kummer surfaces*, *Adv. Math.* **198** (2005), no. 2, 448–483, DOI 10.1016/j.aim.2005.06.005. MR2183385 (2006g:11129) ↑13, 14
- [SZ08] Alexei N. Skorobogatov and Yuri G. Zarhin, *A finiteness theorem for the Brauer group of abelian varieties and K3 surfaces*, *J. Algebraic Geom.* **17** (2008), no. 3, 481–502, DOI 10.1090/S1056-3911-07-00471-7. MR2395136 (2009d:14016) ↑11
- [SZ] ———, *Kummer varieties and their Brauer groups*, available at [arXiv:1612.05993](https://arxiv.org/abs/1612.05993). 24 pages, preprint. ↑3, 17, 21, 22
- [Sto07] Michael Stoll, *Finite descent obstructions and rational points on curves*, *Algebra Number Theory* **1** (2007), no. 4, 349–391, DOI 10.2140/ant.2007.1.349. MR2368954 ↑3
- [SD93] Peter Swinnerton-Dyer, *The Brauer group of cubic surfaces*, *Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc.* **113** (1993), no. 3, 449–460, DOI 10.1017/S0305004100076106. MR1207510 ↑4
- [VA13] Anthony Várilly-Alvarado, *Arithmetic of del Pezzo surfaces*, *Birational geometry, rational curves, and arithmetic*, Springer, New York, 2013, pp. 293–319. MR3114932 ↑4
- [Wit12] Olivier Wittenberg, *Zéro-cycles sur les fibrations au-dessus d’une courbe de genre quelconque*, *Duke Math. J.* **161** (2012), no. 11, 2113–2166 (French, with English and French summaries). MR2957699 ↑8

SCHOOL OF MATHEMATICS AND STATISTICS, UNIVERSITY OF CANTERBURY, PRIVATE BAG 4800,
CHRISTCHURCH 8140, NEW ZEALAND

E-mail address: `brendan.creutz@canterbury.ac.nz`

URL: `http://www.math.canterbury.ac.nz/~bcreutz`

UNIVERSITY OF WASHINGTON, DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, BOX 354350, SEATTLE, WA 98195,
USA

E-mail address: `bviray@math.washington.edu`

URL: `http://math.washington.edu/~bviray`

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, SOUTH KENSINGTON CAMPUS, IMPERIAL COLLEGE LONDON, SW7
2BZ ENGLAND, U.K. – AND – INSTITUTE FOR THE INFORMATION TRANSMISSION PROBLEMS, RUSSIAN
ACADEMY OF SCIENCES, 19 BOLSHOI KARETNYI, MOSCOW, 127994 RUSSIA

E-mail address: `a.skorobogatov@imperial.ac.uk`