

Comments Regarding “On the Performance/Complexity Tradeoff in Block Turbo Decoder Design”

Philippa A. Martin, *Senior Member, IEEE*, and Desmond P. Taylor, *Life Fellow, IEEE*

Abstract—In this comment we position the work of [1], [2] in terms of the prior literature and note that many of its results are subsumed by previous papers.

Index Terms—Product code, list decoding, iterative decoding, Chase decoding.

IN [1], [2] modifications to the decoding of binary block Turbo codes/ Turbo product codes, as described in [3], [4], are considered. Unfortunately, the work ignores much of the existing relevant literature, which subsumes much of their work.

The row and column component codes of the *product code* (PC) are iteratively decoded as described in [3], [4]. Each *soft-input soft-output* (SISO) component decoder uses a Chase decoder [5] to generate a list of possible codewords, which is used to calculate the soft output. The modified Chase search described in [1], [2] appears to be the same as the test pattern elimination algorithm presented earlier in [6]. In addition, there are many existing papers which modify the Chase algorithm, including [6], [7], [8], [9], [10].

Various algorithms have been used in place of the Chase algorithm in the SISO decoders of [3], [4]. For example, the order-*i* reprocessing algorithm of [11] has been used in [12], [13]. Also Fang *et al.* developed a different variation in [14]. In addition, the approach of [1], [2], was modified by the authors in [15], [16], both of which should have been referenced in [1]. All these approaches were found to provide significant performance improvements over the Chase-based approach of [3], [4] when the minimum Hamming distance of the component codes was greater than four. The cost is an increase in decoding complexity.

In [3], [4] the extrinsic information passed between decoding stages is scaled by precomputed values to improve performance. In [1], [2] the extrinsic information is scaled by 0.5 and then the hyperbolic tangent of it is taken. In [1], their performance for the $(63, 51, 5)^2$ PC is compared with that of [3] for the $(64, 51, 6)^2$ PC. Note that these codes have different lengths, rates and minimum Hamming distances and so cannot easily be compared. Performance results for the approach of [3], [4] can be found for the $(63, 51, 5)^2$ PC in [4].

The approach to scaling the extrinsic information of [1], [2] should also be compared with those in [17], [18]. In [17], [18] adaptive scaling approaches are presented, derived from

Paper approved by S. G. Wilson, the Editor for Coding Theory and Applications of the IEEE Communications Society. Manuscript received March 31, 2004; no revision.

The authors are with the Department of Electrical and Computer Engineering, University of Canterbury, Private Bag 4800, Christchurch, New Zealand (e-mail: {p.martin, taylor}@elec.canterbury.ac.nz).

Digital Object Identifier 10.1109/TCOMM.2009.09.040192

log-likelihood ratios. Note that modifications to the approach of [3], including amplitude clipping, are considered in [19].

REFERENCES

- [1] Z. Chi, L. Song, and K. K. Parhi, “On the performance/complexity tradeoff in block turbo decoder design,” *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 52, no. 2, pp. 173–175, Feb. 2004.
- [2] —, “A study on the performance, complexity tradeoffs of block turbo decoder design,” in *Proc. ISCAS*, pp. 65–68, May 2001.
- [3] R. M. Pyndiah, “Near optimum decoding of product codes: block turbo codes,” *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 46, no. 8, pp. 1003–1010, Aug. 1998.
- [4] R. Pyndiah, A. Glavieux, A. Picart, and S. Jacq, “Near optimum decoding of product codes,” in *Proc. Globecom*, pp. 339–343, 1994.
- [5] D. Chase, “A class of algorithms for decoding block codes with channel measurement information,” *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 170–182, Jan. 1972.
- [6] S. Fragiaco, C. Matrakidis, and J. O’Reilly, “Novel near maximum likelihood soft decision decoding algorithm for linear block codes,” *IEE Proc. Commun.*, vol. 146, no. 5, pp. 265–270, Oct. 1999.
- [7] C. M. Hackett, “An efficient algorithm for soft-decision decoding of the (24,12) extended golay code,” *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 29, no. 6, pp. 909–911, June 1981.
- [8] N. Y. Yu, Y. Kim, and P. J. Lee, “Iterative decoding of product codes composed of extended hamming codes,” in *Proc. ISCC*, pp. 732–737, 2000.
- [9] S. A. Hirst, B. Honary, and G. Markarian, “Fast chase algorithm with an application in turbo decoding,” *IEEE Trans. Commun.*, vol. 49, no. 10, pp. 1693–1699, Oct. 2001.
- [10] N. N. Tendolkar and C. R. P. Hartmann, “Generalization of chase algorithms for soft decision decoding of binary linear codes,” *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 30, no. 5, pp. 714–721, Sept. 1984.
- [11] M. P. C. Fossorier and S. Lin, “Soft-decision decoding of linear block codes based on ordered statistics,” *IEEE Trans. Inform. Theory*, vol. 41, no. 5, pp. 1379–1396, Sept. 1995.
- [12] —, “Soft-input soft-output decoding of linear block codes based on ordered statistics,” in *Proc. Globecom*, 1998.
- [13] P. A. Martin, D. P. Taylor, and M. P. C. Fossorier, “Soft-input soft-output list-based decoding algorithm,” in *Proc. ISIT*, Lausanne, Switzerland, June 2002.
- [14] J. Fang, F. Buda, and E. Lemois, “Turbo product code: a well suitable solution to wireless packet transmission for very low error rates,” in *Proc. 2nd Int. Symp. on Turbo Codes and Related Topics*, pp. 101–111, 2000.
- [15] Z. Chi and K. K. Parhi, “High speed algorithm and VLSI architecture design for decoding BCH codes,” in *Proc. ICASSP*, pp. 3089–3092, 2002.
- [16] —, “High speed VLSI architecture design for block turbo decoder,” in *Proc. ISCAS*, pp. 901–904, 2002.
- [17] A. Picart and R. Pyndiah, “Adapted iterative decoding of product codes,” in *Proc. Globecom*, pp. 2357–2362, 1999.
- [18] P. A. Martin and D. P. Taylor, “On adaptive reduced-complexity iterative decoding,” in *Proc. Globecom*, 2000.
- [19] Q. Zhang and T. Le-Ngoc, “A decoding algorithm for turbo product codes using optimality test and amplitude clipping,” in *Proc. Globecom*, Nov. 2001.