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Abstract

This research is an analysis of the range of skills and knowledge required to produce, effectively, results in the Art of Lyric Improvisation in the field of jazz singing. Lyric Improvisation is the art of retaining the primary lyrics of a song but, using improvisational inventiveness, changing every other aspect.

The study focuses on the manipulation of melody, rhythm, time feel, style, range, articulation and improvisation in the performances of renowned jazz vocalists Sarah Vaughan and Carmen McRae. The research is based on their multiple recordings of “Sometimes I’m Happy” (Youmans/Caesar) between the years 1955-1965.

The method compares different elements of the individual singers’ improvisations to the published notation. These elements include: syncopation of the rhythm, motific development, expanding the range of pitch, variances in timbre and articulation and spontaneous re-composition of the melody all while maintaining the original lyric.

The outcomes, however, can be applied over a multitude of tunes from any American Song Book composer or jazz standard sung in historically swing styles of the past century, or with contemporary developments.
“You have to improvise.

You have to have something of your own that has to do with that song.

And you have to know where you’re going when you improvise”

_Carmen McRae_¹

Introduction

Purpose:

The aim is to discuss the process of lyric improvisation as practised by two of the most renowned and inspiring singers in the history of jazz, Sarah Vaughan and Carmen McRae. For the purpose of this research, the art of lyric improvisation has been defined as maintaining the integrity of the original lyric and the essential musical material yet extemporaneously creating melodic and rhythmic variations unique to each individual. It will draw, through analyses, comparisons between the two singers’ styles and focus on their ability to take a simple song and create new interpretations of the tune while maintaining the original structure of the piece.

Sarah Vaughan’s phrasing skills are well documented in both studio and live audio recordings and video broadcasts. Consequently when looking for inspiration in lyric improvisation she is a prime candidate. She has amassed a vast repertoire sung in a variety of styles over her forty-year career and has repeated numerous tunes with consistently inventive lyric improvisations. With a similar stylistic freedom, Carmen McRae, also an outstanding jazz singer, but who is generally not as well known to mainstream audiences, has also performed particular tunes repeatedly throughout her career incorporating various lyric improvisations.

An extensive research reveals a surprisingly small amount of literature examining the art of vocal jazz as it pertains to the phrasing of lyrics. Most published works in the jazz genre focus on instrumental improvisation, which to vocalists is the equivalent of scat singing (unworded vocalising), choir/group improvisation and phrasing, or vocalese (the art of writing lyrics to another performer’s instrumental solo).\(^1\) Robert Toft examines the art of jazz/contemporary vocal phrasing as compared to 18\(^{th}\) and 19\(^{th}\) century singers in the *Music and Letters* journal of August 2004.\(^2\) He draws parallels based on the “persuasive delivery” of altering the text of a song by varying degrees of expression.\(^3\) Nevertheless, though it discusses rhythmic displacement the original text and melody is mostly maintained. William R. Bauer’s biography, *The Life and Music of Betty Carter* (2002), contains notated transcriptions and observations of Carter’s lyric phrasing. While it describes where she has placed her melodic and/or rhythmic changes to the notated melody, it does not discuss the ‘how’ or ‘why’ of her skills.

---

\(^1\) Vocalese – see Glossary for definition


\(^3\) Ibid. 369-70
Methodology:

In looking for material it was important to maintain a level of consistency on which to base the analysis. These criteria are:

A song performed by both Vaughan and McRae.

- Contains lyric improvisation.
- Performed more than once by the same singer.
- Performed within a similar timeframe.

After researching the repertoire of Vaughan and McRae it was found that “Sometimes I’m Happy” was regularly performed by both artists over their lifetimes and fulfilled the criteria.\(^4\) A decision to focus on the 1950s–60s was due to the quantity and quality of available recordings in this period. The analysis will cover a variety of aspects from the rhythmic and melodic devices used to create their lyric improvisations, to their modernisation of the original harmony.

Four notated transcriptions of “Sometimes I’m Happy” were derived from audio examples of Vaughan and McRae commercially released from 1955–65.\(^5\) In-depth comparisons relative to the original music in Chapters 2 and 3, will serve as a basis for comparing the individualistic nature of each vocalist’s style in Chapter 4. At the same time, given the genre, commonalities in their approaches will also be discussed.

For ease of viewing and analysing these transcriptions have been presented in a way that differences and commonalities can be seen clearly. Notwithstanding the limitations of notated music which “reduce expression to a set of symbols that at best can only approximate the unwritten nuances,” factors especially pertinent in the improvised world of jazz, markings are added to approximate the subtleties of placement and attack.\(^6\) Vaughan’s two versions are performed in Db major, McRae’s two are in Ab major and the original work was written in F major. In order to study the pieces with considered accuracy they have uniformly been transposed into the key of C Major as it contains no key signature and thus accidentals are kept to a minimum. It is acknowledged that any change of key might also bring a change in improvising interpretation, albeit mostly to do with tessititura.

---

\(^4\) Music by Vincent Youmans, lyrics by Irving Caesar. The duo wrote the tune together in 1925 but it was not published until the musical Hit The Deck became a hit in 1927. Gerald Bordman, Days to Be Happy, Years to Be Sad: The Life of Vincent Youmans (New York: Oxford University Press, 1982). 103

\(^5\) The original notation and all the transcriptions have been reproduced using Sibelius 4 Music Notation Software.

\(^6\) Toft, “Rendering the Sense More Conspicuous: Grammatical and Rhetorical Principles of Vocal Phrasing in Art and Popular/Jazz Music.” 371
From this point on initials will be used to describe each performance:

(Orig.) The Original published notation

Performances by Sarah Vaughan:

(SV#1) 1955, Oct. 25th. Ernie Wilkins & His Studio Orchestra.

(SV#2) 1957, Aug. 8th. Chicago, Live at Mister Kelly’s. Trio.

Performances by Carmen McRae:


(CM#2) 1965, Nov. New York, Live at the Village Gate. Sextet.

The transcriptions begin at the start of the vocal entrances. Introductions have not been transcribed; however, endings have been transcribed as the vocals continue through them. The instrumental band break on the middle chorus of Sarah Vaughan’s 1955 performance is not included; the transcription therefore carries on from Vaughan’s first chorus into the last chorus. When citing the lyrics in the text, a longer lyric phrase may be used, with the referenced lyric underlined for ease of understanding.

The Choice of Song:

Within the timeframe of this study (1955-1965) there are actually four available versions of Vaughan singing “Sometimes I’m Happy”. However, only two will be used for the purposes of this brief comparative study. It was felt that the restraints in the size of this dissertation demanded that both singers have equal consideration. The decision to use the 1955 and 1957 versions by Vaughan was made on the basis that tempos ($\approx 152–154$) and arrangements were similar, and although band sizes varied, each contained extensive examples of lyric improvisation. A 1958 live performance found on the internet on www.youtube.com is also an excellent example, faster in tempo, but the audio quality is poor and the performance could not be thoroughly authenticated in

---

12 A fifth is mentioned in Sarah Vaughan’s 1960 Discography but it was unable to be resourced. Leslie Gourse, Sassy: The Life of Sarah Vaughan (Edinburgh: Mainstream Publishing, 1993).
terms of date and personnel. A 1963 live version, double the tempo, and containing multiple choruses of scat improvisation, was also considered inappropriate for this study as it contains limited examples of lyric improvisation.

McRae has also recorded this song a multitude of times. The two analysed for the purpose of this study, from 1955 and 1965, have similar arrangements, though the 1955 tempo is $\frac{4}{4} = 118$ and the 1965 tempo is $\frac{4}{4} = 92$. The accompanying band sizes vary slightly, but the content of both contains solid examples of lyric interplay.

There are versions of this song recorded by other artists in the 1950s such as King Pleasure and Jane Powell. In fact it has remained a popular song to record. King Pleasure’s performance contains lyric improvisation but it is actually a vocalese written on Lester Young’s 1944 saxophone interpretation and has added lyrics extraneous to the original. Pleasure’s version is a replication and as such would always be performed copying the notes of Lester Young’s performance. Though it is based on an instrumental improvisation it is no longer improvised and therefore falls outside the parameters of this study.

Actress/singer Jane Powell, in the movie Hit the Deck, begins her version as a straight ‘music-theatre’ rendition of the melody, similar to the original 1927 version. On the second chorus she ‘jazzes’ it up. This term ‘jazzing up’ is usually cited by those critics and performers outside the jazz idiom who have a limited knowledge of the melodic and rhythmic devices utilised to improvise a melodic line. Powell’s version has syncopation but is not sung in an effective jazz style. The placement is rigid and stiff, her phrasing cumbersome, and it does not flow with the ease and musicality of Vaughan or McRae, or indeed in the style the jazz idiom generally demands.

---

14 See Discography for performance information and Appendix for transcription.
15 McRae also sings with Betty Carter in the 1980s It is not included here because it is outside the timeframe of the study. It is, however, an excellent example of lyric interplay, phrasing and improvisation.
17 MGM movie (1955) based on the 1927 Broadway show
Chapter 1: Historical and Biographical Overviews

"Of all the ways in which jazz breaks the rules...the human voice – broke them first, and has resolutely gone on breaking them through all of the music’s kaleidoscopic changes of style".

1.1: Jazz Historical Background

In the Swing era, when big bands were at their height, singers were mostly considered to be ‘songbirds’; given small solo spots in the middle of the arrangement often overshadowed by the greater complexities of the instrumental arrangement. Performing meaningful lyrics was placed second to the maintenance of the dance beat and instrumental texture. Most singers in the swing era were not expected to improvise, nor were they given much opportunity to do so. If the tune was a vocal feature it usually consisted of the melody, sung by the vocalist, followed by an instrumental theme or a solo, then the melody re-stated by the vocalist – if they were given the chance. Often the re-stated melody was only a portion of the original – usually the second half of the song. The tempos of the big bands suited the dance-hall nature of the era and not the necessarily the interpretation of songs. Consequently, the pulse was often too quick to express the meaning of the lyric evocatively, though great singers like Billie Holiday and Ethel Waters, who created their own space within the bar line were exceptions.

The post-big band period of the 1950’s was a dramatically changing landscape for jazz vocalists. Many singers, including Sarah Vaughan and Carmen McRae, inspired by the bebop movement of the 1940s found musical freedom on the bandstand as they began to perform with smaller groups. There was more space to explore their songs, through word interplay and scat singing. Many songs which became part of the jazz repertoire were from the Tin Pan Alley era (1920-30s) and most commonly were 32 bars in length. While this was ample length in terms of ‘theme and variations’ the brevity of available time on phonographic recordings did not leave room for vocalists to improvise for more than one refrain, or a short 8 – 16 bar scat solo. Recordings from the 1950s on, were not necessarily longer than earlier recorded big band tunes.

---

1 John Fordham, The Sound of Jazz (London: Hamlyn Publishing Group Ltd, 1989), 33
3 Ibid
5 Though LP records became available from 1950 most companies had their vocalists’ release 3 – 3 ½ minute records.
Playing (LP) records in the late 1940s, however, and the advent of recording bands ‘live’ at various venues (that became popular in the 1950s), gave singers room to perform songs that were not restricted to the 3 minute dictum, allowing their musical arrangements to extend to four, or even five-minutes. As vocalists became solo artists and leaders of their own bands, instrumental refrains became shorter with, at times, no instrumental break at all. Financially, especially internationally, it was viable to tour with a small group. Although featuring with larger bands and orchestras in recording sessions and on ‘Jazz at the Philharmonic’ style tours still occurred, vocalists no longer had to compete with horn sections on a regular basis. In this small, often trio setting, vocalists had more aural space to explore the placement of lyrics, rhythm and melody. They were able to augment arrangements, not only by adding band riffs, scatting, or adding extra verses to songs, but more creatively, by re-interpreting the lyrics. Lyric improvisation was born.

1.2: “Sometimes I’m Happy”

The original version of “Sometimes I’m Happy” was composed by Vincent Youmans and Irving Caesar in 1925 and became one of the stand-out hits for the musical Hit the Deck in 1927. It continued to appear on the US hit parade every few years. In the 1950s it remained a popular choice for jazz and pop vocalists. Perhaps the staying power of this basically simple song amongst jazz players was due to Lester Young’s instrumental hit of 1944. Young’s improvisational prowess twisted the thematic themes into tangles of shifting patterns both melodic and harmonic. Further, the simple form of the piece, 32 bars ABAB, holds appeal for jazz singers as the repetitive words, with ample space between phrases, aids their manoeuvring capabilities. As Bordman (1982) states, “Youmans’ clipped phrases were so loosely tied together that jazz performers ever since have had a field day with the melody.” The underpinning diatonic nature of the harmony is also helpful as most of the tune is within the one key centre with only two brief movements to the subdominant. Within this diatonic harmony and form vocalists can be experimental with lyric, rhythm and melody. There is little concern that delaying a phrase over the barline could upset their note choices as similar scales work for much of the song.

In notated form, with no lyrics attached, a melody that is lyrically improvised would be unrecognisable as the original tune. The improvised shapes of the phrases are placed differently, ascending and descending across a greater range, at times, contrapunctal to the original. Nevertheless, the harmony remains constant, though updated to a post-bop style, and with the

---

7 The Horn Section consists of a combination of the brass and woodwind sections of a big band.
9 Bordman, Days to Be Happy, Years to Be Sad: The Life of Vincent Youmans 103.
retention of the lyric, recognition is assured. The intention of the singer is to maintain the story; otherwise they’d improvise wordlessly. It allows great jazz singers to stamp their original imprint on a tried and true melody, to employ a freshness and vitality on a tune as old as, or older than they.

1.3: Sarah Vaughan

Sarah Vaughan, born 1924 in Newark, New Jersey, died 1990, grew up playing organ/piano at church, and singing. Upon entering an amateur contest at the famous Apollo Theatre in Harlem in 1943, Vaughan won a one week engagement - where, interestingly, Ella Fitzgerald was a headliner on the bill. She was spotted by Earl Hines’ resident Big Band singer, Billy Eckstine. Eckstine brought Vaughan to the notice of Hines and soon after she joined his band as second pianist. Though a recording ban from 1943-44 halted any recordings with Hines’ band, Vaughan soon joined Eckstine’s newly-formed group and finally in December 1944 her recording career commenced. She recorded under her own name as early as 1945. By 1947 she had achieved a minor hit, with “Tenderly” reaching 27 on the pop music charts and was crowned most popular female singer in Downbeat magazine (1947-52) and Metronome magazine (1948-53), and achieved Esquire’s New Star award.

Her development as a solo artist began when she married George Treadwell in 1946 who then became her manager. Throughout her career Vaughan recorded commercial releases, often through one arm of her recording company, and jazz releases through another. The two styles were never separated in her mind; “I’m not a jazz singer, I’m a singer … I guess people associate me with jazz because I was raised in it,” Vaughan asserts in a 1967 Downbeat article. She does, however, acknowledged the choice of ‘lighter’ material for mainstream consumption. Only the ‘jazz’ content of her repertoire will be discussed here.

Named ‘The Divine One’, Gunther Schuller (986-91) trumpets Vaughan as “quite simply a perfect instrument attached to a musician of superb musical instincts ... expressing [her music] in wholly

---

10 Post-bop harmony involves the development of cadential movements into cyclic form.
12 Will Friedwald, Jazz Singing: America's Great Voices from Bessie Smith to Bebop and Beyond (New York: Da Capo Press 1996) 272. suggests 1942 as the year.
13 In Gourse, Sassy: The Life of Sarah Vaughan 239. it states the Dec 31st 1944 recording session as Vaughan’s first under her own name, but on the audio CD liner notes Sarah Vaughan, Sarah Vaughan "Interlude": The Early Years 1944-1947, HNH International, 2001. it states this recording being a “Dizzy Gillespie & His Orchestra” recording.
15 Mike Evans and Paul Roland, Jazz Singers; the Great Song Stylists in Their Own Words (London: Hamlyn, 1999), 137
16 A. James Liska, "Sarah Vaughan: I'm Not a Jazz Singer," Downbeat May 1982, 19
17 Gourse, Sassy: The Life of Sarah Vaughan, 80
original terms.” Vaughan is renowned for her three-octave, “technically perfect” vocal range, rich timbre and sweeping melodic expressions. Her skills in jazz improvisation, through scatting and manipulation of lyrics, are widely admired by instrumentalists and critics alike. Her other nickname was ‘Sassy’, chosen by pianist John Malachi for her independent spirit. The development of these skills was often attributed to her proficiency on the piano which enhances the performers’ harmonic knowledge. Nevertheless, Vaughan also had a keen ear for the style and performed with many of the best musicians in her formative years as a vocalist.

1.4: Carmen McRae

Carmen McRae was born in Harlem April 8th 1920 and died November 1994. She undertook classical piano lessons from age eight. She was enamoured of American popular music and stole moments to play them in her practice sessions. While still at high school she met pianist and composer Irene Kitchens (then wife of pianist Teddy Wilson) who introduced her to many of the swing era’s big stars, including Billie Holiday. McRae even penned a song in her final year of high school called “Dream of Life” that Holiday recorded in 1939.

From 1940 McRae began to frequent the Jazz clubs in Harlem and 52nd Street. She became well known on the Harlem scene as an audience member, long before she was known as a pianist or a vocalist. She sang briefly with Benny Carter’s band in 1943, then the Count Basie Orchestra. After marrying drummer Kenny ‘Klook’ Clarke in 1944 in Alabama, she moved back to New York to continue her music and toured with Mercer Ellington and his Orchestra, playing piano and singing. McRae performed on her first recordings with Ellington in 1946, but it wasn’t until 1952 that she finally got her big break performing with Mat Matthews Band. In 1953, working as an intermission pianist at Minton’s playhouse, she was encouraged to stand up and sing. At thirty-two, she had struggled for over ten years to reach the success of friend and colleague Sarah Vaughan, whom she met at the Braddock Grill in 1944. Finally fame found her and McRae received Downbeat’s 1954 Best New Female Singer of the Year award and tied with Ella Fitzgerald in Metronome magazine’s Singer of the Year award.

McRae has a rich tone with a biting edge, especially when trying to get the point of a lyric across. Though not known for her large range in the same way as Vaughan, she regularly covers over two

19 Ibid
20 Gourse, Sassy: The Life of Sarah Vaughan, 45
21 Leslie Gourse, Carmen McRae, Miss Jazz (New York: Billboard Books, 2001). 3
22 The Braddock Grill, a famous eatery in Harlem where musicians congregated. Gourse, Carmen McRae, Miss Jazz 23
23 Gourse, Carmen McRae, Miss Jazz, 43
octaves when improvising. Her swing feel is crisp and strong and definite. She can sing on or behind the beat, but leaves no doubt as to the pulse even when performing slow tempos, or leaving vast amounts of space between vocal phrases. Like Vaughan, McRae’s musical skills are often attributed to her knowledge of the piano which surely enhances her stylings and singing of the harmony, yet her innate musicality with lyrics came from an understanding of the material and a strong sense of self. As she states in Gourse (2001), “Certainly you bring your own ideas to a song when you interpret. But it’s only fair to the composer to have his ideas heard, too. After all, the reason you choose to sing a tune is because you think it’s pretty.”
Chapter 2: Sarah Vaughan

“...[she is] a great musician with a beautiful and technically perfect voice, who also can compose and create extemporaneously...I have worked with Sarah Vaughan...and can vouch for the fact that she never repeats herself or sings a song the same way twice”

Gunther Schuller

This chapter focuses on a comparative analysis of Sarah Vaughan’s performances of “Sometimes I’m Happy” in the years 1955 [SV #1] and 1957 [SV #2] compared to Youmans/Caesar’s original notation and will include analyses of melodic differentiation between the published score and Vaughan’s singing followed by a comparative analysis of SV #1 and SV #2.

The discussion will cover a range of variables and explore the effects of these on the original lyric; how it is maintained, its placement in the bar and around the pulse/beat, the attack/articulation on the word, and the use of extraneous words.

As noted previously, the original performances by Vaughan were in Db major, but have been transposed to the key of C major for ease of comparison against the original notation also transposed to C major. The original melody has a range of a major 7th; SV #1 and SV #2 have a range of an octave + perfect 5th.

The bands accompanying Vaughan vary in size. SV #1 has Ernie Wilkins Studio Orchestra accompanying, a group of 12 musicians. SV #2, recorded live at Mister Kelley’s Jazz Club in Chicago, is performed with a piano trio. However, the harmonic arrangement is similar: the introduction and the chromatically-sliding harmonic ending, and chordal structure throughout are similar. The major difference is SV #1 has an instrumental band break of 32 bars in the second chorus of the song; Vaughan sings on the first and third choruses. The trio version, SV #2, is only two choruses long, with Vaughan singing on both choruses.

The harmony of both Vaughan’s versions is based on the musical language of the post-bop period, which essentially is a reinvention of the cadential harmonic movement in the original to the more cyclic II – V – I – VI approach to harmony. Though the harmony has been modernised the sound remains fundamentally the same; of diatonic movement within the key.

1 Schuller, “The Divine Sarah.”
2 Gourse, Sassy: The Life of Sarah Vaughan, 240
3 Gourse, Sassy: The Life of Sarah Vaughan, 258
4 As stated in the Introduction the band chorus is neither transcribed nor discussed.
Sarah Vaughan uses various techniques to achieve the desired improvisational results in her performances, including elements of paraphrasing the original melody as well as the use of formulaic improvisational techniques.\(^5\)

Other characteristic features are:

- Syncopation
- Changing the pitch of the melody
- Sustained notes
- Motific development (Manipulation and elongation of thematic material)
- Early and delayed entrances and the condensing or expanding of phrases
- Expansive ranges of pitch (Original is a major seventh)
- Slides and blue notes
- Chromatic leading tones
- Colour notes (9ths, 11ths, 13ths and other chromatic alterations to the fundamental chord)
- Lengthening individual words by added notes to the individual syllables of the words.
- Timbre variations

The following analysis will show selected examples of the above.

2.1 Analysis of SV\#1 1st Chorus:

In Sarah Vaughan’s 1955 performance she demonstrates her innate musical skills. Though there are variances to melody, rhythm and feel that are described below, her clean “Sassy” tone remains quite consistent throughout to be heard over the band, though she displays one moment of timbral change in the third chorus.\(^6\) A 1950s recording studio often saw vocalists in the same room as their fellow musicians with no separation of instruments.

The first 8 bars in SV\#1 can be considered a ‘straight’ jazz interpretation of the melody; a paraphrasing of the existing melody within the shape of the form utilising basic syncopation. This style is employed in the first 8 bars of SV\#1 but only on the first and last word of each phrase. In example 1 the first and last words of each two-bar phrase are anticipated by an eighth note, elongating their length. The central words of each phrase remain unchanged; they are rhythmically aligned with the original notation.

---

\(^5\) Formulaic techniques describe the repetitive methods utilised to improvise the melody i.e. how the motifs are developed: by rhythmic shapes, melodic intervals or varieties of attack/ articulation etc.

\(^6\) One of Vaughan’s nicknames is “Sassy”, which describes the sauciness and humour of her that she can exude in her personality as she sings.
Ex. 1: Bars 1 - 4 of Original + SV#1

Notwithstanding the above description of the syncopation in example 1, Vaughan’s timing is continuously delayed slightly behind the beat, almost to the point of dragging. This occurs in the first 8 bars of her singing but after this point she centres her beat. Singing behind the beat is employed in reaction to a few factors:

- The tempo starts faster than Vaughan is prepared for and it takes a few bars to settle into the tempo.
- It is a stylistic approach to sit the melody behind the beat to give it a relaxed demeanour.
- Vaughan feels rushed and uses this device to indicate to the band leader that the tempo should slow down.

By delaying the timing of the phrases Vaughan effectively asks the band to slow the tempo to allow room for interplay. Her placement of the beat is a subtle reflection of her parametric control. Though she does not colour the phrases with any other ornamentation at this point, example 2 displays a varied use of syncopation and melodic choices. She marginally delays the start of the phrase in bar 9, condenses the subsequent lyrics, and then anticipates “mind,” sustaining its pitch to double the length of the original lyric. This forces the delay of the phrase, “the rain from the skies” (example 2), however Vaughan goes on to elongate the word ‘rain’ to almost the length of bar 11 but condenses the final three words, “from the skies,” to complete the phrase within the framework of the original notation. This ability to shift the placement of lyrics to allow the interpreter to elasticize their movements is of vital importance in improvisation as is the ability to sustain the framework of the tune.
Melodic changes occur in example 2 with the addition of a chromatic passing note in bar 10 leading into ‘rain’ in bar 11, and the removal of an original chromatic note in bar 12. The additional chromatic note implements a melodic enclosure between the three words “mind the rain” effectively connecting the two phrases even though their rhythmic lengths vary, (‘mind’ and ‘rain’ are sustained, while ‘the’ is an eighth note). Vaughan follows this melodic tension with the removal of the original chromatic movement in bar 11–12 (ex. 2: Orig.) on “from the skies.” She instead sings consecutive C notes. This is an interesting choice after accurately reproducing all the chromatic leading notes in the first 8 bars and then adding a chromatic movement in bar 10. One can surmise that due to the delay of the previous word (‘rain’), and her aim to emphasize the final word ‘skies’ (which is the next sustained pitch), the lyrics ‘from the’ (ex 2: bars 11–12), bypassed quickly, are not of great importance in Vaughan’s intentions.

Example 3 contains a melodic series that descends chromatically from bar 14 to bar 15. The passing note, an anticipated F♯ on a Dm7 chord, is a stylistic element developed in the blues and utilized as a passing tone in the minor bebop scale. It is a sophisticated movement showing the aural complexity of Vaughan’s knowledge. She knows the chromatic effect the tone has but knows not to linger too long on the F♯.

Rhythmically in example 3: bar 13, the first three notes of “If I can find” are syncopated with the fourth, placed squarely on the first beat of bar 14. The extension of ‘find’, by 75%, pushes the word ‘the’ to the end of bar 14, and crossing over into bar 15. Vaughan continually syncopates the
Chapter 2: Sarah Vaughan de Jong 14

melody in bar 15 with no resolution until the final, embellished note of “sun in your eyes”. The continuous use of syncopation in example 3 compels the motion forward to the resolution points that occur on beat one of bars 14 and 16. The offbeats lift the phrase above the strong beats giving it a legato texture while the accenting of the offbeats maintains a strong rhythmic flow.

Ex. 4: Bars 17-24 of Original + SV#1

Vaughan continues with a syncopated approach in the next phrases in example 4. Interestingly here she mirrors her phrasing rhythmically in four two-bar sections. In bars 17-18 the line is syncopated, then in bars 19-20 it is primarily on the beat. Then the mirror image occurs; bars 21-22 are primarily on the beat with bars 23-24 syncopated. Vaughan’s sophisticated use of rhythmic devices shows a complex understanding of jazz interpretation. The mirroring of the rhythm incorporating a thematic approach adds cohesiveness to her shapes and recognises the repetitive themes of the original music.

These 8 bars (ex. 4: bars 17–24) display a technical method of rhythmic delivery but also contain an emotional interpretation of the lyric. The lyrics she chooses to syncopate are describing the emotion of ‘love’. With syncopation they sound smooth, and lean behind the beat in an unhurried manner. When she sings about ‘hate’ she strongly places the words on the downbeat with even attack adding weight to the words. There are no major melodic changes to these bars though Vaughan incorporates a blues slide approach on ‘I’ between the D♯ and E notes in bars 23-24.

The continued use of delaying the entrance of the lyric appears in example 5. Here, Vaughan pushes the boundaries further with syncopation incorporating a triplet. Yet she continues to stabilise her phrases by regular placement on the written downbeat. Her underlying awareness of the text and harmonic form is in fact enhanced by the freedom of her expression. She displays the
ability to divert the rhythmic elements of the line yet resolve them to the original notation within the one phrase; a deft skill to possess.

Ex. 5: Bars 25-28 of Original + SV#1

Though the rhythms are more complex, the melody Vaughan sings in example 5 is a close reflection of the original Minor changes occur in bar 27 on the lyrics “so what can I do” because Vaughan’s delay of this phrase place it in the following bar. The original ‘B♭’ on ‘so’ would not be a strong choice on the F major (maj.) chord as it is the 11th note of the scale (see the arrows in bars 26-27 above). Instead she sings an A (the 3rd note of the F maj.) followed by a blues embellishment that briefly dips a semitone. The interpreter’s harmonic awareness is crucial for the success of delaying melodies.

In Example 6 the final line of the melody is again delayed by an eighth note and syncopated until the last two words of the phrase, although sung on downbeats, these last two words are in fact both delayed; the former by a halfnote, the latter by a quarter note.

Ex. 6: Bars 29-63 of Original + SV#1

Vaughan incorporates a sliding device in to, and out of, ‘with you’ (ex. 6: bar 31), that effectively smooths out the end of the phrase. She sings on the beat at this point but the slides smooth the clean rhythmic attack giving the phrase a mellow, “I’m happy, that’s just the way it is” interpretation.

2.12 Analysis of SV#1 2nd Chorus:

As is often heard in jazz singing, once the theme is stated in the first chorus there is a ‘carte blanche’ approach to the thematic material in the repeated chorus. Coming in after the instrumental
chorus Vaughan begins to spread her musical wings. There remains reference to the original melody, particularly in bars 41-42, and the second 16 bars of the chorus. Nevertheless, it is sung in such a way that the variations are numerous. She does not appear to be inhibited by the constant backings of the horn section; in fact it gives her a buoyancy on which to float above the accompaniment, singing in a strong, clear tone though the first 16 bars. Then when the muted trumpets enter in the second 16 (ex. 10: bar 81-84), she has the space to lower her dynamic, growling out the line in a bluesy drawl with a nasal tone.

Once again the application of rhythmic syncopation is common. Within this scope, however, there is a thematic direction, a display of new material that reflects the style of the original but encompasses more variation and range within its patterns.

This is prominently displayed in example 7 where the descending melodic motif in bars 64-66 is accurately repeated twice, in bars 67-68 and 70-72. The concept of repeating motifs is integral to the original notation. Vaughan’s motivic development is a nod towards this original intention with further enhancements of rhythmic diversity and a larger pitch range of a major 12th (example 7 bars 64-66).

Ex. 7: Bars 64-72 of Original + SV#1

Her phrasing in ex. 7 includes descending intervals comprising of minor thirds, a tone and a major sixth, utilising the notes of a C⁶ arpeggio. Vaughan’s ability to jump large intervals with accuracy and precision demonstrates her incredible vocal control. Her note choices, while diatonic to the key of C major, have her placing a colour tone of the Dm7 scale (the 9th - E) against the Dm⁷ chord

---

7 See Appendix A
(ex.7: bars 66, 68 and 72). There is some variation to the rhythmic placement of these repeated motifs. Nevertheless these minor syncopations ensure different words in each phrase are emphasised, a skill that acknowledges Vaughan’s awareness of the meaning of the lyric.

The first phrase, ex. 7: bars 64-66, begins on the downbeat and sustains the first syllable of ‘Sometimes’. The second phrase elongates the second syllable of ‘Sometimes’ (bars 67-68) and also places this syllable on the downbeat. The third phrase (bars 70-72) begins, like the first phrase, on the downbeat with a sustained attack on the first syllable of ‘Depends’ which adds rhythmic strength, and thereby emphasis, to the lyric.

The ascending phrase (ex.7: bars 69-70) placed between these motific repetitions is a needed colour change to break up the monotony and add a twist. Vaughan glides up the C\textsuperscript{6} arpeggio resolving to the tonic of the G7 chord on beat 3 of bar 70.\textsuperscript{8} She again sings the 9\textsuperscript{th} note of Dm\textsuperscript{7} in bar 70 showing reference to the descending motifs she had just sung.

Her displacement of the rhythm contains a clever mixture of syncopation and onbeat placement. Interestingly the onbeats she sings in example 7 do not always correlate to the original notation. In bar 64 and 71 (ex. 7) the onbeat occurs at least a half note before the original. The last words of the descending phrases are all delayed by a quarter note. This concertina effect combined with melodic variation invents a different melody to the original, yet the lyric holds true.

Ex. 8: Bars 73-76 of Original + SV#1

From great melodic leaps and bounds, Vaughan returns to the original melodic line in ex. 8. With respect to the melody Vaughan mirrors the original, four bar, ascending/ descending phrase. The original notation, in ex. 8: bar 73, ascends a minor 7th, descends a major 7\textsuperscript{th}, and then ascends a major 6\textsuperscript{th}. Vaughan copies this start, ascending a minor 7\textsuperscript{th}, but then only descends a minor 3\textsuperscript{rd} before ascending again, up a 4\textsuperscript{th}, and finally descending slowly down the octave.

Vaughan creates two peaks highlighting ‘mind’ and ‘the rain,’ before sliding into the lyrics ‘the sky’ (ex.8: bar 76). This final descent effectively word-paints the phrase; it creates the image of rain falling from the sky. Vaughan enhances this phrase, creating movement through the line by syncopating every note, placing the strong syllables on offbeats. Yet, within this rhythmic device

\textsuperscript{8} In ex. 7: bar 69 the ascending C6 arpeggio can also be described as an Am7 arpeggio.
the phrase remains smooth while in motion and by sustaining ‘rain’ (ex. 8: bar 75), she maintains the focus of the lyric.

Vaughan follows with another syncopated phrase in ex. 9 though there are positions where she matches the original lyrics rhythmically – though not melodically. These occur on “the sun in your eyes” (ex. 9: bars 79-80).

Melodically, as in the previous example, Vaughan mirrors the ascending melody in the first bar though the rhythmic syncopation greatly displaces the wording (ex. 9: bars 77-78). However, Vaughan does not stop on the original peak but ascends to finish with a chromatic slide resolution between the altered $b^9$th ($B^b$) to the tonic of the anticipated $A^7$ chord in bar 78. A similar ascending slide is applied in bar 77 (ex.9) where Vaughan sings two pitches on “if I can”, and later, in bar 79 (ex.9), she slides between two pitches on the lyric ‘sun’. Both of these examples are non-chromatic slides. This again reflects a method to Vaughan’s improvisational technique. She will incorporate similar techniques into a phrase, or corresponding phrases, which connects her choices and links her ideas. This gives an overall cohesiveness to her manipulations.

In the last 16 bars of the form Vaughan retreats from using a wide melodic, mezzo-forte range in to create the mezzo-piano, growling, nasal tone in a bluesy drawl described earlier in the chapter. In example 10 the trumpets are muted, the trombones are low in their range and Vaughan appears to be imitating them. Her timbre changes completely as she slides from every syncopated E note to finish with an accurate, clean attack on the final two notes of each phrase (ex. 10: bars 81-84). Due to the syncopation and extension of the notes at the start of the phrase, the end of each phrase is delayed dramatically. The emphasis of the line, on the ‘love you’ and ‘hate you’ lyrics, are ensured, however, by singing these as crisp quarter notes on the beat (ex.10 bars 82, 84).
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Ex. 10: Bars 81-84 of Original + SV#1

This two-bar pattern is sung, twice in the above example, is also in the first two bars of example 11 (bars 85-86). Vaughan’s use of motific repetition is consistent yet varied. Prior to these bars Vaughan’s motifs are repetitions of similar style but are not exact copies. These three phrases (ex.10&11: bars 81-86) are exact replicas in rhythm with one minor melodic difference on the last pitch of each. The sliding embellishments all occur on the same beats of the bar. This replication is possible because the lyrics are quite similar. Vaughan understands the need for musical repetition. It allows the accompanying band to interact with, and the audience to follow, her ideas. Nevertheless, she knows when to vary this approach before her phrasing becomes too predictable or boring. The subsequent two-bar phrase, therefore, does not follow this pattern (ex. 11: bars 87-88). After singing predominantly on one note in the previous phrases (bars 81-86), she sings many different pitches on “It’s ‘cause I love you” (ex.11). She extends both ‘cause’ and ‘love’ by sliding between two pitches on each lyric (as seen in ex 9: bars 78-79). This movement allows her to travel quickly down the scale then return, with a leap, to the starting note of her phrase before ending on the original pitch.

Ex. 11: Bars 85-88 of Original + SV#1

Unlike the previous syncopated, growling pattern, this phrase (ex.11 Bars 87-88) is rhythmically enhanced by singing the first four lyrics primarily on downbeats in her clear ‘Sassy’ tone. It creates stresses that emphasise the words: “It’s ‘ca-use I lo-ve you”. Yet the embellishments on ‘cause’ and ‘love’ stop the phrase from sounding too heavy – which can be the case when singing consecutive downbeats. Vaughan attacks the second pitch of ‘ca-use’ with an accent which drives the phrase forward to “I Love you.” By syncopating the ending of ‘love you’, by anticipating their
onset, she maintains the momentum, aided by the intervallic ascension in the middle of the lyric ‘love’.

The extrapolation of the melodies in example 12 continues the theme of the previous phrase but here are ascendant and rhythmic extensions of the original notation. She syncopates the melody in bar 89 (ex. 12) displacing it forward by an eighth note. On the final word of this bar “That’s how I am” she extends the vowel on ‘am’ and carries through to beat 4 of the next bar extending the length of the word by 75%. Though this phrase in bar 89 (ex.12) is extended Vaughan is not forced to dramatically displace the following lyrics. She shortens the length of the lyric ‘so’ in bar 90 to place the ‘what’ directly on beat 1 of bar 91. She is back in time with the original notation.

Nevertheless, she does not stay there. Through further extrapolation of the melody she extends ‘what’ in bar 91 by singing through three notes, high in her range, in such a way that the ‘what’ sounds plaintive and frustrated. The tone is almost a country-style yodel of despair that leads downwards to the final pleading moments of this phrase. The plaintive intention is reinforced at the end of the phrase by the slide up into ‘I’ of ‘I do’.

Ex. 12: Bars 89-92 of Original + SV#1

Melodically, in example 12, Vaughan displays her prowess for anticipating the harmonic changes (she sings a B♭ before the C7 chord enters in bar 90), for singing the harmonic extensions of the chords (in bar 91 she sings the 6th of F major, a D, before resolving to the 3rd and 5th of the chord), and hearing the internal colour notes of the chordal movement (in bar 92 she sings from the b7 of Fm7 to the 6th on the Fm6 chord). All of these attributes combine to make Sarah Vaughan an exceptional aural technician of her craft.

Moving into the final bars of the song the arrangement includes a tag built on a chromatic slide of the harmony from the original key of C major up to Db Major then back to C major. Melodically Sarah Vaughan sings close to the original in example 13: bar 93, the only exception being the first note that she sings as a D5 rather than D#. She varies the words but this is a common practice in tags, so as not to resolve the line too soon. Though varied, the lyrics Vaughan sings are still obviously in touch with the intention of Caesar’s “Sometimes I’m Happy” lyrics. The

---

9 See Glossary for description of ‘tag’.
manipulations of the lyric build the tension through to the final moment when she declares to whom she is happy to be near.

Ex. 13: Bars 93-104 of Original + SV#1

Rhythmically there are few surprises in the first phrase of ex 13: bars 93-94, though Vaughan does condense the phrase slightly. The internal lyrics of this phrase, however, are aligned to the original. As the harmony ascends chromatically in bars 95-96 (ex. 13) so does Vaughan’s melody, accurately recreating the previous shape up a semitone. There is more syncopation before and after the beat in this second phrase of the tag; the concertina effect allows the words to languish over the feel in quite a seductive way. She continues the languishing effect on the final phrase of the song (ex.13: bars 91-end) by singing her methodically straight, quarter note, rhythm (with one crotchet triplet added for variety) slightly behind the beat. Although the key has modulated down to C Major at this point, Vaughan puts her melody up a semitone. She begins on the 9th note of Dm7 then singing the 11th and 13th notes of the scale until the lyric ‘happy’. From here she ascends to her melodic peak (on a high D) rather than descending on the second syllable of ‘happy’ as in the previous two phrases (ex 13: bar 98).

As the band crescendos towards a frenzy of double forte at the peak of the ending Vaughan rides above them on a sustained high C, the tonic of the key centre (ex 13: bars 99-103). Through a series of chromatically moving chords Vaughan sustains her pitch through strength of tone and power of support. Her note does not waver suggesting emotionally, that she is no longer a wavering fool in love but knows what she wants and who can supply her happiness.
2.2 Analysis of SV#2 1st Chorus:

The performance is recorded live at Mr. Kelly’s, Chicago, 1957. Sarah Vaughan is accompanied by the piano trio of: Jimmy Jones–piano, Roy Haynes–drums, (who also appear on SV#1), and Richard Davis on bass (Gourse 244). The musical arrangement – introduction and ending – is similar to SV#1 but with no brass backings there is more space for Vaughan to perform her two choruses. The dynamic range of Vaughan’s performance is great and her tone, flexible, as she changes timbre across her range from rich and warm to bright and sassy. Her control of vibrato is apparent; she sings phrases with a straight tone, only adding the vibrato on the sustained final notes. This is especially apparent at the start of the second chorus where, with sustained tones in the centre of the phrase Vaughan does not add vibrato, but does at the end of each phrase (ex.22). Vaughan’s placement of the beat is elastic; she employs few downbeats across the two choruses of her singing. Primarily these occur at the ends of her phrases where she also places the downbeats as they correspond to the original melody (ex. 14-16). The subtlety of Vaughan’s technique is in her placement. Listening to her performance much of her melody sounds ‘straight ahead’ – only basically syncopated. However, after transcribing SV#2, there are many changes, rhythmically and melodically, that celebrate her innate musical abilities to improvise lyrically. She condenses and expands her phrases randomly, sometimes across the whole phrase, sometimes underlining a particular lyric. Yet her message stays true to the intention of the composers and with a backing band keeping strictly to the beat, her syncopations increase the rhythmic tension of the swing feel and enhance the forward momentum of the song. Her phrasing contains many subtle rhythms and articulations yet are primarily long, linked phrases sung in a legato style.

As the trio begins, Vaughan, at first, sounds unprepared to start: she is at a distance from the microphone and under-pitching the first three notes by a semitone (ex 14: bar 1). i.e. she stays on the D♯ - the original starting note - against a C Major chord. Though she quickly corrects the note, the blue note almost works. Overall she stays close to the original melody in example 14. The rhythm, however, rarely matches the original shape. Primarily the device undertaken is basic syncopation. This occurs in seven of the eight bars of example 14 with Vaughan utilising a more complex quarter-note triplet in bar 3. The exception is bar 2. Vaughan sings on the beat but to add a colour change she slides into the last syllable of ‘hap-py’. The sliding embellishment is repeated in bar 3 (ex.14).

---

10 The singing of a blue note against a Major chord is usually seen as a poor choice unless it is a passing tone – as it is used in the original published notation.
Ex. 14: Bars 1-8 of Original + SV#2

The impression these eight bars give (in ex.14) is that Sarah Vaughan, a little slow on the uptake at the songs start, sings the melody as the audience know it. However, as shown, there is much more to her technique.

There are subtle lyric changes throughout SV#2. The first occurs in example 15 & 16. In example 15 there is one change to the lyric in bar 11 where “rain from the skies” is changed to “rain in the skies.” This causes little difference in intention and with the device employed on ‘rains’ – a descending slide of a major 6th – she paints the impression of rain falling through her descent (ex 15: bar 11).

Ex. 15: Bars 9-13 of Original + SV#2

There is little difference melodically to the original in this phrase, only two notes are changed (ex. 15 bars 9-12). Rhythmically the syncopated start makes begins an internal delay of every other lyric in her four bar phrase, by as much as a 1½ beats (see purple arrows in ex. 15). Though the phrase is delayed Vaughan displays her mastery of the form by placing the last word ‘skies’ exactly on beat 1 of bar 12. However, she expands the note by 50% to cross over the bar into the next four-bar section.
This expansion causes the delay of the melody in bar 13. This is also the second example of Vaughan’s variation of the lyrics (ex.16: bar 13-16). “As long as there is sun in your eyes” has a different interpretation of meaning than the original “If I can find the sun in your eyes”. The original suggests if the suitor can get close and look in the eyes of her love and find the sun, they’ll be happy. Vaughan’s implication is similar but seems less based on hope. It suggests she has access to the person and can look into his eyes at will, but she won’t be happy unless they have ‘the sun’ in their eyes.

Ex. 16: Bars 13-17 of Original + SV#2

The embellishments applied to the melody in example 16 include: singing the phrase on one note in bars 13 & 14, with slides into the notes for added colour, a quarter note triplet, and chromatic passing tones (bar 15). She again finishes the phrase exactly on beat 1 of bar 16, on par with the original (ex. 16), but then extends the note across the following bar, as she did in bars 12-13(ex.15).

The next 16 bars of the 1st chorus contain many elements seen in the previous 16 bars. Bar 17-21 in example 17 is performed with off beat syncopation where both phrases are displaced by an eighth note. Melodically they use the notes of the original but in a different order. The D♯ chromatic leading tone is not just heard at the start of each two bar phrase but contained within the 1st phrase (ex. 17: bars 17-18), while a D♯ is contained in the middle of the second phrase (ex. 17: bars 19-20). Each phrase is also extended across their final bar line into the bar of the following phrase.

Ex. 17: Bars 17-21 of Original + SV#2
The previous method of manipulating the melody continues in example 18, though Vaughan subtlety changes her rhythm, shaping her placement unpredictably. She starts example 18 with two lyrics syncopated (similar to ex.17), then places “I hate you” on the beat exactly as the original. This is the artistry of a great jazz performer. Tension is caused by continuous off beats, and this style can also affect the band and their sense of timing. Vaughan understands this so ‘touches base’ with the accompaniment at regular intervals to ensure the feel is maintained and secured (ex.18: bar 22).

Ex. 18: Bars 21-24 of Original + SV#2

Once the downbeat is established in bar 22 (ex 18), Vaughan takes flight in bars 23-24 with a syncopated expansion of the phrase. This is aided by the addition of the syllable ‘be’ in front of ‘cause’ in bar 23. The momentum Vaughan gains from articulating this hard consonant leads into, and strengthens, the next articulated consonant on ‘love you’ (bar 24).

Throughout the preceding phrases, Vaughan has sung long, connected passages ending on sustained tones. In example 19, through syncopation and expansion, she has connected three bars of the melody, but this time, in bar 27, she stops within the phrase singing ‘what’ on an eighth note followed by rests. Variations of this sort are rhythmically interesting as it mixes up the predictability of Vaughan’s phrasing, and in this case, adds an emotional punch as the space left after ‘what’ is not dormant, but moving space; there is a conclusion to the phrase coming. This pause gives a sense that Vaughan is in a quandary about her emotions. The end of the phrase follows the formula of the preceding phrase (ex 18: bar 22); a sustained final note placed resolutely on the downbeat (ex.19: bar 28).
Ex. 19: Bars 25-28 of Original + SV#2

To finish this first chorus (ex. 20) Vaughan starts her melody above the original then descends to her final lyric (ex. 20: bars 29-32). She incorporates a long melodic slide in bar 29 on ‘I’m happy’ but from bar 30 sings the melodic tones of the Original (ex. 20). There are no downbeats in this four bar phrase, but varied off beat syncopation that pushes and pulls at the lyrics.

Ex. 20: Bars 29-32 of Original + SV#2

2.22 Analysis of SV#2 2nd Chorus:

In the second chorus, Vaughan abandons the parameters of the original melodic shape and re-invents the thematic material both rhythmically and melodically. There are only fleeting moments of the original notes, no exact rhythmic placements, and lyrically, though Vaughan primarily sings the original lyrics, she repeats the lyric changes heard in the 1st chorus.

A rhythmic pattern emerges through the first 8 bars of this. She anticipates the entrance of the first two-bar phrase and delays the entrances of the next three (ex.21: bars 33-40). This pattern repeats in the next eight bars (ex 23 and 24).

As seen in example 21, the first lyrics “Sometimes I’m Happy” start 2½ beats early in bar 32. This phrase is extended over the bar lines through bars 33-34 into bar 35 forcing the minor delay of the second phrase “Sometimes I’m blue”. The second phrase does not extend outside the parameters of its two bars, but Vaughan still chooses to delay “My disposition” by an eighth note (ex 21: bar 37) and expand it into bar 39 causing the delay of “Depends on you” by a quarter note.
Ex. 21: Bars 32-40 of Original + SV#2

The rhythmic embellishments, besides delay and anticipation of the phrases, include extension and condensation of the internal lyrics of the lines. There are only two downbeats in this eight bar phrase. These both occur in example 21 bar 40. The first, on ‘you’, is the last lyric “Depends on you”. The second is ‘I’, the anticipation of the next phrase beginning in bar 41. Neither of these downbeats, however, correspond with the original notation.

Melodically Vaughan develops her line through a motif of descending intervals, again broken into two-bar phrases. In example 22, bar of 32, she begins a major 6th above the original on “Sometimes I’m happy”, (a C), descending on a series of perfect 4ths and 5ths to the bottom of her range on “My disposition” (in bar 38). Vaughan then leaps into her upper tessitura, a major 11th, and sings a repeat of the phrase in bars 32-34 (ex 22) on the lyrics “depends on you”.
This repeated intervallic phrase in example 22, inspired by Youmans original melody, also plays homage to the sophisticated motif developments many instrumentalists use on a repeating harmonic pattern. The wondrous nature of Vaughan’s skill sees her not only improvising an intervallic pattern, but retaining the lyric, and its meaning. The clarity and preciseness of pitch and her ability to invent an eight bar movement and have it end as it began, shows forethought. It was, however, probably invented on the spot.

Vaughan’s next phrase (ex. 23) is rhythmically displaced and expanded, similar to example 22, but her melody, though starting on a higher pitch, goes on to contain five of the nine original notes. She employs a downward slide on the lyric ‘rains’, as she did in the first chorus (ex.15: bar 11), and resolves to the original melody note on the last lyric of the phrase extending into the next bar (bar 44-45).

Ex. 23: Bars 40-45 of Original + SV#2

The following entrance in example 24 is forced to delay due to the expansion of the phrase in example 23. Vaughan’s melody reflects the similar choices she made the previous time she sang this phrase (ex. 16: bars 13-17). In example 24, as in the previous bars, she begins higher than the
original melody, then proceeds to trill between the G, F# and F♯ before ending the phrase on D in bar 48 (the Orig. pitch). In this case the original melody range is a minor 6th; Vaughan’s is reduced to a perfect 4th. Every syllable of her phrase (in ex.24) is rhythmically delayed and syncopated and only one word is placed on the beat.

**Ex. 24: Bars 45-49 of Original + SV#2**

The delaying of phrases continues into the last 16 bars of the form (as seen in ex. 25; yellow arrows). These delays do not hinder Vaughan’s stretching and expanding of the melody, nor the ability of her accompanists to maintain their sense of time. This is crucial. If the band waivers Vaughan could be forced to straighten her feel. This would be a tragedy as it would hinder her ability to sing freely. Her trio, however, are well versed in her style and can accommodate and support her movements.

**Ex. 25: Bars 49-53 of Original + SV#2**

Another example of motif repetition can be seen in example 25. The melody starts at a similar position to the original melody, but where the original ascends, Vaughan descends, bending her delayed statements around notes in a lethargic murmur. Her tone in her lower tessitura is rich and warm, her approach languid and lush. She does not rush her phrases but sits back on the accompanying beat. Before her line becomes too languid she ascends melodically (in ex. 26: bars 53-54) with more energy and is compelled to the top of the beat by bar 55 where she embellishes the second word of “its cause I love you” with a strong syncopated rhythm on the downbeat across four pitches. Vaughan’s use of the blue note on “I love you” in bar 56 (ex. 26) also exudes her ‘sassy’ energy as she hits the tension of the blue note, then chromatically resolves down to D.
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Ex. 26: Bars 53-56 of Original + SV#2

As the finale approaches Vaughan returns to the original melody note at the start of “that’s how I am” in bar 57 (ex. 27). For variety, rather than ride up the original arpeggio, however, Vaughan remains on middle C and then slides up a minor seventh into the final note of the phrase “That’s how I am” to end on the original melody.

Ex. 27: Bars 57-61 of Original + SV#2

This intervallic invention is used throughout the continuing phrase in example 27 (without the slide), as she rises and dips throughout “So what can I do?” Her agility is assured. Throughout the phrase Vaughan continues to syncopate, expand and delay the melody. There is only one downbeat found in this four-bar phrase (“So” in bar 59) yet the lyrics maintain their emphasis as the melodic peaks accent the important words in Vaughan’s interpretation.

The sustaining of the final lyrics of each phrase creates forward momentum, linking each consecutive phrase. This builds the tension as Vaughan nears the end of her song. She starts the lyrics of her final phrase above the original melody (ex.28: bars 61-62), continuing the energy of the previous line. She slides into the lyric “I’m al-ways happy” and lingers on this consonant before descending on “al-ways happy” (ex.28: bar 62). The chromatically shifting tag has Vaughan placing a syncopated “So very happy” a semitone above the previous phrase (Bars 63-64). Into the final phrase, as the harmony modulates back to C major, Vaughan returns to the original melody (ex. 28 Orig. bar 61) for her first three words. She ascends to high C on ‘hap-py’ and begins modulating her melody in 4ths (ex.28: bars 66-67). She also embellishes this melodic movement with slides and rhythmically sustains the lyrics to expand the phrase.
There is a slight pause before Vaughan sings her final ‘you’, perhaps knowing she needs a keen breath to sustain her note over the final ending. Her rise to a D before the final, sustained C note finishes the phrase with a flourish, driving the final notes to their conclusion. Her vibrato, which she does not add to the final note until the end, colours her final moments as she her tone decrescendos.

Ex. 28: Bars 61-72 of Original + SV#2
2.3 A Comparative analysis of SV#1 and SV#2

Sarah Vaughan’s performances, sung two years apart, contain numerous variations to the original themes of “Sometimes I’m Happy” yet maintain many original aspects; primarily the lyrics. Both contain excellent examples of lyric improvisation, from basic syncopation to extremes of rhythmic diversification and extensions of range far beyond the original notated melody. Some of the thematic variations sung by Vaughan are common to each performance. Others are quite different in approach.

The commonalities include:

- Similar musical arrangements: same four bar intro with similar melodic theme, similar chordal structure throughout form, same harmonic ending.
- Similar musicians. The pianist and drummer are the same for both.
- Use of basic jazz syncopation that rhythmically displaces the line.
- Tempos similar. SV#1: \( \frac{1}{4} = 152 \), SV#2: \( \frac{1}{4} = 154 \).
- The same vocal range – an octave + perfect 5th.
- Same melodic starting note and similar anticipated rhythmic attack to second vocal chorus (Appendix C: bars 33 – 40)
- Word painting (e.g. ‘Rains’ SV#1 bar 43, SV#2 bar 11 & 43)

The differences include:

- The size of the accompanying bands.
- SV#1 has one minor lyric change. SV#2 has 12.\(^{11}\)
- Melodic variations of the theme throughout are quite different. Though some motifs occur in the same sections their make-up – melodic, rhythmic, range of pitch – is decidedly unique.
- Variations in embellishments of notes: from slides, glissandos and bends, to blue notes and chromaticism.

Sarah Vaughan’s 1955 performance, SV#1, shows a musician developing a freedom of expression not bound by her parameters. She uses these as a foundation on which to improvise. Though there

---

\(^{11}\) This count does not include their variation of lyrics in their tags – which is exactly the same in both performances – as this is considered standard practice in jazz arrangements.
are some volume restraints – being consistently backed by horn lines – Vaughan maintains originality of rhythmic and melodic placement. She will not be rushed by the band nor constrained by their involvement. While she maintains a close link to the original thematic material in the first chorus of her performance, a basic aural examination would attest to many similarities, to say it is a replication of the original would be untrue. In fact, in the first chorus of SV#1 Vaughan correctly sings the pitch of the original notation with 97% accuracy – but rhythmically only sings 38% of notes as originally written.

Through the subtlety of jazz phrasing Vaughan syncopates her attack, consistently displacing the original on-the-beat quarter notes. The rhythmic variation is due to the ‘jazzing up’ of the phrasing and does not necessarily affect the original sound of the lines to the jazz listener’s ear. It is a mere translation of the feel into a syncopated swing groove. In SV#2 Vaughan takes more liberties on her first chorus. In the trio setting Vaughan is not adverse to displacing her rhythms and extending her phrases by linking the lyrics through held tones and embellishments. Though it is not obviously apparent at the onset of this chorus, her subtle changes are quite diverse, to the extent that although she still sings 69% of the original pitch she only manages 8% of the original rhythm.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accuracy to the Original notation</th>
<th>Chorus 1 – 74 original notes</th>
<th>Chorus 2 – 74 original notes + tag ending</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>SV#1</strong> Melodic Accuracy 12</td>
<td>72/74 – 97%</td>
<td>35/74 – 47%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(NB + ending = 97 notes in total: 35/97 – 36%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SV#2</strong> Melodic Accuracy 13</td>
<td>51/74 – 69%</td>
<td>21/74 – 28%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(NB + ending = 95 notes in total. Three accurate pitches are sung in the tag therefore: 24/95 – 25%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SV#1</strong> Rhythmic Accuracy</td>
<td>28/74 – 38%</td>
<td>14/74 – 19%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>SV#2</strong> Rhythmic Accuracy</td>
<td>6/74 – 8%</td>
<td>2/74 – 3%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ex. 29: Accuracy of SV#1 and SV#2 Melodies and Rhythm compared to the original.

---

12 **SV#1** Actual melodic variations, Vaughan sings 78 notes in chorus 1 and 82 notes in chorus 2.

13 **SV#2** Actual melodic variations, Vaughan sings 77 notes in ch. 1 and 80 notes in ch. 2.
(It is important to note that these numbers are averaged out from the original melody’s 74 notes per chorus structure – The 32 bar form does not include the tag of SV#1 and SV#2. In Vaughan’s 2nd vocal choruses, rhythmic accuracy was only counted to bar 30 of the 32 bar form [where she sings the lyric “I’m always happy” in both performances]. Rhythmic accuracy is classed as the moment the lyric is placed in correlation to the original melody – but not the velocity, and/or length of the note. Melodic notes are also primarily counted to bar 30; however the notes contained in the tags are included, for interest, in example 29. Melodic accuracy is classed as the note sung on the equivalent lyric as the original (not necessarily in the same rhythm), with one exception: in SV#2 Vaughan consistently changes the lyric in bars 13-16 of the original 32 bar form from “If I can find the sun in your eyes” to ‘As long as there is sun in your eyes”. As there are an equal number of lyrics in both lines Vaughan’s variations are melodically correct if her lyrics ascribe to the equivalent pitch in the original lyric.)

The variations to pitch and rhythm continue in the second chorus of Vaughan’s performances. With 47% accuracy Vaughan sings less than half of the 74 notes of the original melody and a mere 19% accuracy of rhythm in the second chorus of SV#1. Once again SV#2 contains more variables with only 28% melodic accuracy and a mere 3% of the original rhythm contained within this 32 bar section (example 29).

The other element to rhythmic placement is feel. In terms of Vaughan’s placement she occasionally chooses to sing behind the beat. This is most prevalent in SV#1 (bars 1-8) though the tag on SV#2 is also placed on the back of the beat. This method of placement requires skills by the vocalist and the band accompanying. Her drummer, Roy Haynes, is especially skilled at maintaining the tempo while Vaughan plays above the pulse. (App. C – tag). However the feel of the rhythmic placement is not always defined as singing behind the beat. Vaughan’s skills to manipulate her start, middle and ending of phrases shows a mastery of awareness. Her displacements, while ‘on the beat’ do not match the corresponding lyrics of the original notation. Vaughan also favours slides into, out of and up to pitch. This approach has connotations of the blues, though not all the note choices are blue notes (SV#2 bar 11, 35, 43, 58, 61 and 66 – 67).  

What can be summarised from the analysis of SV#1 and SV#2, and the figures in example 29 is:

- The initial chorus of the melody is much closer in pitch to the original than the second chorus of singing. The second chorus uses approx 50% less of the original melody.
- The original rhythm is not adhered to from the start, and is further diminished in the second chorus due to jazz syncopation and other devices of displacement.

14 See Appendix
• The latter performance displays greater freedom from the original score. As she grows in confidence with the song, and as a performer, her embellishments retain little resemblance to the notated work, yet aurally maintain similarities like the lyrics, and note choices close to the original but not in exact replication.

• Familiarity of the vocalist with the form, harmony, feel, rhythm and pitch of the song are vital to maintain coherence with the accompanists and the text but serve only as a foundation for an elastic improvisational approach to phrasing.

• The accompanying musicians must have confidence in the abilities of the vocalist and support their performance by maintaining the groove.

• The use of embellishments – including blue notes and slides – are generously utilised.

• Aural awareness of bebop scales adds interesting and unexpected colour. Chromatic resolutions from $b9$ths, $9$ths and $b5$ths are all incorporated.

• Repetition of ideas is utilised throughout.

With all these variations, the inventiveness of Vaughan, the slight adjustments of her phrases, displays such imagination and thoughtfulness to the constancy of the lyric that her vast improvisational changes do not relinquish their impact. The meaning and emotional intent of the lyric is still at the fore. Her versions just swing harder by employing a jazz sensibility to the rhythm, and are unpredictable due to her instrumental approach to her melodies.

---

15 Interesting to note that Vaughan’s 1963 version (see Appendix M) is further removed melodically and almost twice the tempo)
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“Within jazz, she makes the point that paraphrase and embellishment can be just as satisfying as out-and-out improvisation.”

Will Friedwald

The focus of this chapter is a comparative analysis of Carmen McRae’s performing “Sometimes I’m Happy” in the years 1955 [CM #1] and 1965 [CM #2]. An analysis of melodic differentiation between the original notation and the first and second choruses of both McRae’s performances will occur, then a comparative analysis between CM #1 and CM #2. Many variables affect the placement of original lyrics: how they are maintained, their placement in the bar and around the pulse/beat, the attack/articulation on particular words, and the use of extraneous words.

These performances were in Ab major, but have been transposed to C major for ease of comparison. The original has a range of a major 7th. CM #1 has a range of two octaves. CM #2 has a range of two octaves plus a major 2nd.

Both CM #1 and CM #2 band arrangements begin with a lone double bass, through a four bar introduction and continue under the first thirty bars of the song. At bar 31 the rest of the band enters on a two-bar pickup into the second chorus. As the band members enter there remains ample room for McRae to phrase how she wishes. The added instrumentalists, especially the guitar and flute in CM #2, have arranged their comping around McRae’s phrases. This implies that the band had rehearsed and/or performed this tune previously and had knowledge of at least the general intentions of McRae.

Like Vaughan, the harmony of both McRae’s versions are based on the musical language of the post-bop period, which essentially is a reinvention of the cadential harmonic movement in the original to the more cyclic II – V – I – VI approach to harmony. Though the harmony has been modernised the sound remains fundamentally the same; of diatonic movement within the key. McRae’s bands add chromatically descending chordal patterns at points but primarily the arrangements contain cyclic harmony.

McRae uses various techniques to achieve the desired improvisational results in her performances, including elements of paraphrasing the original melody as well as the use of formulaic improvisational techniques.

Other characteristic features are:

1 Friedwald, Jazz Singing: America's Great Voices from Bessie Smith to Bebop and Beyond, 393
2 Comping: an abbreviation for accompanying, in the jazz style. See Glossary.
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- Syncopation
- Changes to the melody
- Sustained notes
- Motific Development (Manipulation, elongation of thematic material)
- Early and delayed entrances and the condensing or expanding of phrases
- Expansive Ranges (Original is a major seventh)
- Slides and Blue Notes
- Colour notes (9ths, 11ths, 13ths and other chromatic alterations to the fundamental chord)
- Rhythmic attack by articulation of lyrics or use of staccato and/or legato
- Rhythmic pulse on the internal syllables of words.

The following analyses will show selected examples of the above.

### 3.1 Analysis of CM#1 1st Chorus:

The first 16 bars are a ‘straight’ jazz interpretation with syncopation of the line displacing the rhythm of the phrases. In example 30 this syncopation occurs until the final note of each phrase that resolves on the down beat in correlation to the original notation.

#### Example 30: Bars 1 - 4 of Original + CM#1

The first note of the melody in CM#1 (ex.30) is delayed by an eighth note. McRae continues this displacement through the first two phrases (bars 1-4), until the final note of each phrase in bar 2 and 4. This style of syncopation reappears throughout the first twelve bars of the melody, however, when she wishes to emphasis a particular word she will often place that word on the downbeat.

The syncopation in bars 9-12 (ex. 31) is of greater contrast to the original notation. The phrasing is completely syncopated to be a continuous series of offbeats with no words placed on the original beat in four bars.
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Example 31: Bars 9 - 12 of Original + CM#1

The syncopation style McRae utilises in this example delays every word of the original phrase with the exception of ‘from’ (ex.31: bar 11) which is placed on a downbeat. Most of the notes are delayed by an eighth note; however her extension of the word ‘mind’ delays the next word ‘the’ by a dotted quarter note, and her extension of the word ‘rains’ delays the next word ‘from’ by a quarter note (ex. 31). While seeming entirely natural for great jazz singers this style of singing is highly challenging for lesser artists. It clearly highlights McRae’s rhythmic control; her basic inner pulse is firm. The singing of continuous off-beats can lead to a rushing of the timing and it is often the case that the pull to sing on the beat results in at least one or two non-syncopated notes in a phrase – as we saw in CM#1 example 30: bars 1-4. In this example however she achieves a smooth offbeat style without sounding rushed or uneasy over the underlying pulse.

In contrast to the phrase of syncopated rhythms, McRae sings the next phrase in example 32 almost entirely on the beat, apart from the initial and final words.

Example 32: Bars 13-16 of Original + CM#1

In this example McRae sings a long series of quarter notes, and to do so she has varied the original text and added extra lyrics. With the strong presence of the downbeat to add emphasis to each word it strengthens the intent of the sentence with the added lyrics evoking a sense of purpose. It is no longer hopeful – “I never mind the rain…if I can find…” (ex. 31&32: Orig. bars 9-14), but more determined; “I’ll never mind the rains…as long as I can find” (ex. 31&32: CM#1 bars 9-14).

The next sixteen bars show still greater variations. McRae is no longer merely paraphrasing the existing melody with syncopation; here she is inventing a completely new melody, with a formulaic approach of her own invention. Like the original melody, McRae’s invention is a series
of repetitive statements yet she does not utilise any of the existing melodic notes except an E to
start the phrase. (The original first note is a D#).

Example 33: Bars 17-24 of Original + CM#1

The first phrase shown in example 33 (bars 17-18) is a descending/ascending arpeggio starting
and ending on the third note of the C major scale. The descending shape of this pattern is repeated
in the next two phrases (bars 19 and 21) with variations to the ascent. In the second phrase (ex.33; bar
19) after the descent McRae leaps up an octave, then finishes on a G. In the third phrase (ex.33; bar
21), after the descent, she only ascends as far as middle C. She continues on this note for five
beats, connecting it to the last phrase, before leaping up in a repeat of the final melody in bar 20,
but sustaining the final pitch.

McRae also uses rhythmic repetition in this section (ex 33). She divides each two-bar phrase in
the middle with at least a quarter note rest, putting a gap between the first word and the rest of
the phrase. This adds an element of suspension and creates anticipation of the conclusion of the
sentence thereby changing the intent of the lyric. In bar 17 (ex 33), the rest before saying “I love
you” adds an “I might care, I might not” attitude. In addition, when she finally sings “I love you,”
in bar 18, the phrase is sung quickly, each word being only an eighth note, that this enhances her
blasé disposition. Nevertheless, when McRae sings “I hate you” in bar 20 she sings the phrase
completely on the beat with even quarter notes, emphasising each of the words and thus adding
weight to the meaning that she really might ‘hate you.’

McRae, singing on the beat in bars 22-23 (ex.33), drives forward, not only the intent of the lyric
“it’s because I”, but the rhythmic pulse, which is accented with added emphasis on beat two and
four of bar 23.
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Example 34: Bars 25-28 of Original + CM#1

To the established jazz singer the manipulations of the original themes in example 34 (bars 25-28) are quite simple and straightforward. There are, however, many layers to this process including: the rhythmic shaping of the phrase to serve the lyric, the delay of entrances, and the use of tension and release in placing of her notes on and off the beat. McRae does not deviate melodically except for one note in bar 26 (ex 34), nevertheless, she delays the initial entrance in bar 25 and syncopates the following two words then resolves ‘am’ on the downbeat of bar 26. The second phrase, “So what can I do?” though melodically close to the original, is thoroughly delayed though ‘So’, ‘what’ and ‘I’, are on the beat, (ex. 34: bars 26-28). ‘So’ is sustained while ‘what’ lasts for only one beat and ‘I’ last only an eighth note. ‘So’, sung on a higher note than the original has greater emphasis than ‘what’. Yet, ‘what’, has more weight of attack than ‘I’ which, although on a downbeat, is a passing note leading to the anticipated ‘do’ and not emphasised at all.

Example 35: Bars 29-32 of Original + CM#1

McRae reverts to a combination of using the start and ending notes of the original phrase (in ex 35: bars 29 & 31) yet where the original moves through a convex shape, McRae drops an octave at the centre of her phrase creating a concave shape.

3.12 Analysis of CM#1 2nd Chorus:

On the second chorus of the tune McRae expands on her melodic ideas with no obvious reference to the original melodic line or rhythm apart from the retention of the lyric. Yet the method of variance incorporates formulaic devices. There are corresponding two-bar repetitive phrases in example 36 (marked with the red and green slurs) and motivic devices are also seen in ex. 38 (bars
45-48). McRae effectively uses articulation throughout the 2nd chorus to engage an indelible swing feel whether singing syncopated lines or quarter notes. She expands on the range of the original, far more than the first chorus, and utilises blue notes and bebop tones within the phrases, some as passing tones and many as focal points.

Example 36: Bars 32-40 of Original + CM#1

In example 36 McRae’s melody begins high and lasts for six beats – the equivalent length of the original - but her line anticipates the initial bar of the second chorus (bar33) and ends early in bar 34 (ex. 36). This is a graphic example of the push-and-pull style of the jazz performer; an awareness of the underlying pulse but the freedom to move the phrase forward and backward, expanded or condensed, effectively allowing McRae to stamp her original imprint of this tune.

While the tempo, harmony and lyrics remain consistent in example 36, McRae divides her words in bars 32-36, and 38-40 (as she did in ex. 33: bars 17-22). She accents the lyric ‘Sometimes’ before stating whether she is happy or blue. By placing the second syllable of ‘Some-times’ on the 1st beat and ‘I’m’ on the 3rd beat of bar 33 and 35 (ex.36) the emphasised downbeat, in essence, helps her exclaim her aggrieved feelings. She then continues in bar 37 and 39 to place her emphasised syllables of the words ‘dis-position’ and ‘de-pends,’ on the 1st beats of each bar, utilising the explosive consonants at the start of each syllable and the lingering ‘s’ at the end of each word to great rhythmic effect. McRae adamantly instils in the lyric a significance of someone searching for answers that are not readily available. When this phrase is followed with the next lyric placed on a note that is not only at the peak of her range, but an augmented 11th of the
corresponding chord (example 37, bar 41) we hear her cries of yearning to understand how she can feel so ambivalent about love.

Example 37: Bars 41-44 of Original + CM#1

McRae does not use any of the original notation or rhythm in her phrasing in example 37. In addition, in changing ‘rain’ to ‘rains’ she now sings two important words ending on ‘s’ (included ‘skies’ in bar 44). This does not change their meaning but the sibilance created adds definition to the words and creates a pleasant buzz on the lips as she sustains their tone.

There are three sustained points of emphasis at the peaks of this phrase as McRae sings the words ‘I,’ ‘rains’ and ‘skies’ (ex.37). The shape of two of these emphasised points; sustained dissonance resolving to consonance on ‘I’, then consonance to dissonance on ‘rains from’, show incredible knowledge and great skill. Moreover, it works with the lyrics!

Her clever use of syncopation also adds to the harmonic of the words. While not all of the significant words begin on a downbeat her anticipation of ‘rains’ gives the word vitality and impetus, and with her delay of ‘skies’ she sounds nonchalant “as long as I can find the sunshine in your eyes” (ex. 38: bar 45-48). Overall this is a powerful, heart-felt phrase.

Example 38: Bars 45-48 of Original + CM#1

In example 38, McRae extrapolates on the lyrics. The choice is self-evident. With the adamant use of language in the previous bars, (ex. 36 & 37: bars 32-44), to start example 38 with ‘if’ would have undermined her intention. She is not saying “I won’t mind the rain if I can find the sun in your eyes,” she’s stating, “as long as you’re near me and looking at me with sun in your eyes I’ll be fine”. Hers is a more definitive statement. This is underlined through the use of hard swinging downbeats across the phrase, in particular in bars 46-47 (ex. 38).
The instillation of chromatic passing tones is also used to great effect in this phrase. In bar 46 (ex. 38) McRae slides from “can” to “find” using a $b^9$th to tonic leading tone so common in bebop. Then in bar 47 (ex. 38) she sings a melodic movement that starts on the 3rd of $D^7$ (a secondary dominant substitute of $Dm7$ from the original), moves up a tone to a $G^9$, the $4_{11}$th or $5_{11}$th of the chord, descends chromatically to $G^5$ then resolves, down a tone, to $F^§$ (the $9/3$ of the chord). This use of bebop style alterations and chromaticism also has its place in the blues genre as the $4, b4$ to $b3 (9)$ are three notes of the traditional blues scale. McRae exploits the blues scale for the next four bars.

Example 39: Bars 49-52 of Original + CM#1

McRae returns to the original note in example 39, but instead of resolving to E she sings the $D^9$ (the $9 - b3$ blues note) frequently in bars 49-50. The tension that ensues is a playfully suggestive declaration of ‘her love’, and an interesting choice of syncopation with equally strong upbeats and downbeats. In bar 51-52 (ex. 39), when she declares her hate, it is a diatonic series of homogenous notes which seems at odds to the preceding phrase. The pause in bar 51, between the lyrics ‘then’ and ‘again’, provides the ‘tongue-in-cheek’ moment that saves the interest in the lyric as the downbeats empower the words before leading to the lighter emphasis of the syncopation at the end of the phrase (bar 52). Her variations in the lyric add weight to sentiments of hate as there is no longer supposition that ‘sometimes’ she’ll hate, it is stated clearly that the hate is right there with the love.

The shape of the final phrase McRae sings in bar 52 (ex. 39), “I hate you” is re-used four bars later on “I love you” (ex. 40) though the rest of the phrase in example 40 is unique.
McRae’s combination of syncopation and downbeats is used to rhythmically underline the words she considers important. The downbeats on ‘But when’ (ex. 40: bar 53) implies she tries to fight the hate sensation but can’t help herself, and in bar 55 the staccato attack on ‘it’s because’ followed by the syncopated lingering notes on ‘I love you’ suggests a level of pleading for understanding. The use of ‘because’ rather than just ‘cause’ adds definition to her phrase.

Melodically, by starting the phrase high (ex.40: bar 53) and slowly descending for 3 bars, McRae removes the sting in the tale of the previous declaration of hate (ex. 39 bars 51-52). She soothes the angst in the calmer waters of her warm, lower range only rising to softly sigh the final words in bar 56 (ex. 40).

Example 41: Bars 57-60 of Original + CM#1

McRae extrapolates on the melody in bars 57-60 (ex. 41) by immediately jumping higher in her range after the first note and staying there as opposed to the arpeggiated rise and fall of the original. She uses the original note and lyric on the downbeat in the first part of each bar (except in bar 58 where she sings the original lyric but her note is a tone higher). Her melody resolves to these points in a series of mordents sung with a syncopated eighth note attack.

She adds just one extra word in this phrase (bar 59); by repeating ‘what’ the pleading for understanding of her state of mind is again brought to the fore. Then with joyous abandon (in ex. 42: bars 60-64) she evokes happiness by manipulating the melody to a high starting range and intervalically descending on diatonic tones with her important words (‘I’m’, ‘happy’, ‘you’) placed
on the peaks of the ensuing pattern. Repeating this concept in the tag (ex. 42: bars 65-68) she then attacks the phrase at a higher point in her range with ascending peaks on her important words (‘So’, ‘when’ and finally ‘you’). Throughout this phrase McRae proceeds to descend though colour tones on a suspended 4th and 9th to the perfect 5th (in bar 65), an 11th in bar 66 before ending on ‘you’ with a suspended 9th as the resolution that adds fabulous tension to the final phrase.

Example 42: Bars 60-68 of Original + CM#1

Rhythmically McRae mixes up her syncopation with upbeats and downbeats, delay and anticipation, to press the final two phrases home. Her particular skill, of driving through a single word over a series of pitches (i.e. ‘when’ across bars 61-62, ex 42), adds an impetus to the swing rhythm and accents her interpretation of the phrase.

3.2 Analysis of CM#2 1st Chorus:

McRae’s singing style has a sharp, occasionally biting tone that is pronounced in this slow 1965 rendition. By the mid-1960s her level of vocal control and rhythmic awareness were at their prime and her use of this slow swinging tempo accentuates her gifts. Her crisp attack on the lyrics and accented staccato phrasing is juxtaposed against long legato passages and extended lines, which combines to produce layers of emotional intent. As Billy Taylor states, “McRae is rhythmically secure, she swings.” When she wants the band to swing hard you can hear it in her singing, she doesn’t rely on another instrument to direct the feel (ex. 43: bars 1-2). Such is her control that she

---

3 Friedwald, *Jazz Singing: America's Great Voices from Bessie Smith to Bebop and Beyond*. 389
4 Gourse, *Carmen McRae, Miss Jazz*. 82
5 Feel: Is defined here as how rhythmically effective each component of the band fits together.
can sing with ease just behind the beat (ex. 43: bars 1-4) yet keep up with the band. Harmonically her strengths are revealed in the use of blue and colour notes adding harmonic tension and release to the phrases that encapsulate her emotions of yearning, joy, bitterness and aggression. Billy Taylor reminds us that McRae was unique in that she could do things rhythmically, melodically and harmonically that no one else could emulate. These skills are apparent in CM#2.

The clarity revealed in McRae’s pronunciation of the lyric in CM#2 shows a growth in her rhythmic concepts and a development of her enunciation skills. It is not only the placement of the start of each word that she purposefully articulates but the internal vowels and consonants as well. She chooses to enunciate individual elements of each word to aid the forward motion of the pulse and includes supplementary syllables or notes to enhance the swing motion, where needed, to motivate the syncopated jazz feel.

From the first bars of CM#2 maintain a hard, driving swing feel. The first four bars of McRae’s vocal line (ex. 43) show a paraphrasing of the original melody with only a few melodic variations and condensed rhythmic variations. The feeling she generates, however, is vastly different to the original music theatre style of the piece. As McRae makes her entrance, it is clean, precise and crisp in attack but it is delayed by an eighth note and is also sung on the backbeat of the pulse and remains back throughout the first two phrases. The placing of words behind the pulse of the accompanying bass, then singing on the beat at the end of the same word takes considerable rhythmic awareness, and sliding into pitch on the first two syllables, then singing in tune on the next phrase, shows formidable jazz skills and a clear sense of purpose (ex. 43: bar 1).

She chooses not to sing the original chromatic leading note (D♯) yet does use a blues inflection to slide up to the first two notes in bar 1 (ex. 43). Neither of McRae’s rhythmic nor melodic entrances would be regarded as clean and precise in the traditional, technical sense. In jazz, however, her accuracy is keen as she slides perfectly into pitch and stylistic within the genre.

Ex. 43: Bars 1-4 of Original + CM#2

McRae accents the last fricative of the first lyric ‘Sometimes’ to rhythmically enhance the downbeat and give the word length (ex. 43: bar 1). Bar 2 shows her adding a vowel to the end of the
‘I’m’ (‘I’-ma happy’) to ensure the word swings over two notes. By placing a second quaver note on ‘ma’, an upbeat, she connects the lyric to the start of the word ‘happy’ with a rolling motion that flows rather than singing a series of downbeats that are rhythmically straight (ex. 43: bar 1-2). By ending the word ‘happy’ quickly, by singing it as a sixteenth note followed by a dotted eighth note, the motion of the whole phrase in bar 2 is crisp and clean but still manages to swing hard by the enhancement of the upbeat.

McRae uses slides and embellishments in bars 3 and 4 (ex. 43), to sing a phrase that modulates between two pitches. In bar 3 she slides up into both syllables of ‘Some-times’ (similar to ex. 43: bar 1), and then follows in bar 4 by modulating between E and D on the lyrics ‘I’m Blue’. The added use of the quarter note triplet in bar 3 helps drag back the timing of this second phrase so she sounds, in combination with the slides and embellishments, relaxed and bluesy which is inspired word painting of the lyric ‘I’m blue’ (ex 43: bar 4). This modulation between two notes, is melodically close to the original yet the incorporated triplet and syncopation of this condensed phrase swings with a determination that equates to the rolling emotions one feels when love’s mysteries take hold.

McRae follows in bars 5-8 (ex. 44) with similarly condensed, syncopated phrases but again shows a subtle variety to her attack. In example 44: bars 5-8, the lyrics ‘my disposition’, though syncopated after a delayed entrance, are melodically without any slides or major embellishments except for a step down a tone in the middle of the word ‘disposition’. It is a clean phrase where she gives weight to each consonant within ‘disposition’ and especially, as in bar 1 (ex. 43), sings through the unsounded fricatives in the word. This clear attack, after the slides of the previous phrase (ex. 43: bar 3-4) that her embellishments are a vocal technique of choice and not a habit she can’t control. Her subtle variety of rhythmic attack also displays a heightened sense of the jazz swing feel.

Ex. 44: Bars 5-8 of Original + CM#2

McRae then adds slides to the condensed syncopation in bars 7-8 (ex. 44), yet still has room to extend the word ‘on’ to become ‘upon’. This addition enhances the swing movement through the
lyrics as it connects beats 3 and 4 “de-pends up-on you” and lifts the pulse to resolve to beat 4, the upbeat. (ex. 44: 7-8).

By leaving a large break between the two succinct phrases in ex. 44 (bars 6-7), at such a slow tempo, McRae builds anticipation. She is saying ‘How I feel depends on how you treat me’ with alacrity, and the space between the phrases underlines the emotions, like using an exclamation point. She compels the listened to hear the end of her phrase. Her execution of the pulse, and sense of the harmonic realm of the song, is displayed in the sophisticated placement of her rhythms and her confident use of space.

The next portion of the songs maintains the basic shape of the original melody (ex. 45). Syncopation using a variety of cross-rhythms: quaver triplets, sixteenth notes and displaced quarter notes, condenses the lyrics in bars 9-12, yet McRae maintains a legato approach different to the short, succinct phrases of the previous eight bars. Bar 9 (ex. 45), starts later than the original but ends at the same time (in bar 12). In the phrase highly creative rhythmic embellishments occur. McRae pulses the first word of the phrase, ‘I’, over two notes of a quarter note triplet which delays the entrance of the syncopated ‘never’, before she resolves to the original pitch on ‘mind’ in the middle of bar 10 (ex 45). However she does not rest on this note but uses an upward mordent to sing higher than the original.

Interesting here is McRae’s use of both syncopation and rhythmic resolution which is accomplished again in bar 11 (ex. 45) by singing ‘rains’ as it is placed in the original melody, followed by syncopated lyrics, to again resolve to ‘skies’ on the first beat of bar 12. She sings on the beat and off the beat which paints her lyrics with a variety of colours and allows for much freedom of expression. Within the ensemble there remains, clearly, a layer of trust that she is still in total control of the pulse and aware of the form as the bass line remains rhythmically secure.

The use of motif repetition is no more apparent than in example 46 bars 13-14. This instrumental approach to singing melodies is primarily based on a rhythmic idea repeated on one note. McRae’s application of this technique also enhances the lyric interpretation as the pauses between the words imply her almost pleading need to see happiness in the eyes of her loved one. This is an
exceedingly good example of the assimilation of instrumental improvising techniques applied to lyric improvisation without sacrificing the text. The words are still clear and pronounced, the line is interesting and unexpected for the audience, and the emotional content is assured.

Example 46: Bars 13-17 of Original + CM#2

From this strong rhythmic pattern to a completely different embellishment at the end of this phrase, McRae sings “sunshine in your eyes” with a legato syncopated attack that is both smooth and rhythmic. Her expansion of the last lyric, ‘eyes’ in ex. 46: bar 16, is another example of instrumental embellishments. ‘Eyes’ is initially sung like the original but leads into a bluesy lick to extend the word into bar 17. The lines of bassist Paul Breslin are walking quarter notes with eighth-note triplets added to lead into different sections. In bar 15 (ex. 46) Breslin pedals on an A♭, then in bar 16 he plays a combination of eighth-note triplets and quarter notes. McRae’s use of eighth note triplets in bar 16 may have been inspired by Breslin as her attempt matches his timing quite closely. The bluesy-ness of this line alludes to a bluesy approach that is delivered in her next phrase in bars 17-20 (ex. 47). It is not only the use of the flattened 3rd (E♭, bars 17-18) that makes the line sound bluesy but also her variation in timbre, her guttural attack on the notes and the use of slang on the final word ‘hate ya.’. 
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7 Though the Original starting note is D# the notes in bars 17-18 have been written as Eb notes (under the C Major chord) as the slide device used in the attack on each of these Eb notes is a characterisation of the blues pentatonic scale; therefore the note is written as the flattened 3rd.
Example 47: Bars 17-24 of Original + CM#2

There are many different layers to example 47, in terms of musical style: rhythmic repetition, onbeat and offbeat placement, anticipation of entrances, condensing of phrases, succinct endings to each phrase, added lyrics, modifications in timbre and enunciation, staccato and legato variations and a larger range of notes and dynamics.

Besides the bluesiness described earlier McRae has also taken two lines of lyrics (ex. 47: bars 17-18) and condensed them into one phrase that is sung in a repetitive rhythm over seven beats - just under two bars. The original is sung over 15 beats - almost four bars (ex. 47: bars 17-20). The line is condensed yet is mostly sung onbeat, a combining of triplets, eighth notes and quarter notes. The long pause that ensues (bars 19-20) gives the listener time to comprehend the feelings of turmoil McRae is projecting. To follow with the succinct phrase “but when I hate ya” (bars 20-21) two and a half beats early (sung over two bars in the original), and then starting the final phrase of this example (bar 22) five beats early, she is displacing radically (but always musically) the original melody. Her awareness of the form, however, is assured as she places the next phrase in bar 25 (ex. 48) close to the original with only minor syncopation.

McRae’s deviations from the original form in bars 17-24 (ex. 47) are not restricted by the bass accompanist nor by the harmonic boundaries. The diatonic nature of the I VI II V chordal movement allows for great freedom in improvised note choices. These improvised variables are primarily conceived by McRae’s personal interpretation of the lyric, while the inherent emotional expression of the lyrics remains paramount. Here, her deviations, though dramatic and unexpected,
work brilliantly with the lyrics giving the impression of a women battling with tumultuous feelings.

Example 48: Bars 25-32 of Original + CM#2

Example 48, though similar to the original (particularly bars 25-28), by no means implies McRae sings the melody straight; there is still syncopation and use of delay and anticipation of the lyrics. She effectively separates the two phrases in bars 25-28 with a slight pause before she sings ‘so’ (bar 26), then using an extending embellishment to connect the lyric to the next portion of the phrase. She also expands and condenses the phrasing and uses effective staccato and legato embellishments that keep the jazz style swinging hard while outlining, with variations of articulation, dynamics and timbre, the feelings of the moment. The craft employed to develop her phrases, from rhythmic tension to on-the-beat release, is fascinating. Bars 29-31 (ex. 48) show a phrase starting on staccato eighth notes, leading into a syncopated triplet on ‘when’ (sung on the back of the beat), to resolving the phrase (in bar 31) on beat 1, just as the whole band makes their entrance. To be able to accommodate so many rhythmic styles in the same phrase with such freedom of expression is a testament to McRae’s innate musicality. By 1965, after 25 years of actively listening and performing jazz, she has assimilated and mastered many rhythmic, melodic, harmonic and improvisational elements. In CM#2 these come to the fore.
3.22 Analysis of \textit{CM\#2} 2\textsuperscript{nd} Chorus:

Though McRae has sung many changes to the melody in the first 32 bars of CM\#2 her ideas are further extended in the second chorus to a point where she rarely uses the original notes or timing but extrapolates above, below and around them.

In the first four bars of CM\#2, 1\textsuperscript{st} chorus (ex. 43: bars 1-4) McRae divides the opening phrase “Sometimes…..I’m happy”. In example 49 she extrapolates further on this concept by not only dividing the first phrase but starting ‘Sometimes’ two and a half beats early while delaying ‘I’m happy’ by one and a half beats leaving almost a whole bar’s length in the middle of the first phrase. She then continues by joining the next phrase, ‘Sometimes’, which originally starts in bar 35, to the end of the phrase in bar 34 leaving another gap before she sings the end of this phrase, ‘I’m blue’(ex. 43). ‘Blue’ is then extended into bar 37 and causes the delayed start of the next lyric in bar 37 (ex. 49).

Example 49: Bars 32-37 of Original + CM\#2

Within all this displacement of the original lyric and the space she leaves, McRae does not add extra words to rejoin the phrases. She does sustain notes in these succinct passages with a series of embellished pitches on the same word (ex. 49: bars 32, 34, 35). The embellished notes are not always sung on the vowels of the lyric, the consonants are also a highlighted feature and an
effective tool of motion. In example 49 this occurs in bar 32 on the lyric ‘so-o-me-times’. Not only is the vowel ‘o’ of ‘sometimes’ highlighted by two repetitive pitches but the nasal consonant ‘m’ is accented as a rhythmic and melodic trampoline point leading with considered momentum into ‘times’ at the start of bar 33.

Another major change to this second chorus is the pitching of the melody that begins a major sixth above the original and remains higher throughout peaking in bar 36 (ex. 49) at the beginning of the word ‘blue’. The original melodic structure uses chromatic tones and 13\textsuperscript{th} notes to create tension in each phrase before they resolve to a chord tone (ex. 49 Orig. bars 33-40). McRae’s phrases contain less diatonic resolution points, though she does sing one at the end of ‘Sometimes’ in bar 35. Instead she expresses her choices of tension through extensions of the scale; 11ths and 13ths in bar 34 and 11ths and 9ths in bar 36.

The next example (50) maintains the dynamic peak created in bar 36 (ex. 49), with the continued displacement of the melody in a range consistently higher than the original but succinctly sung. McRae’s flexibility allows the phrase in bar 37 (ex. 50), though starting almost a minim late and sung behind the beat, to conclude three beats early, leaving the next phrase room to be anticipated. Though this phrase (in bars 38-40) contains a quarter note rest at its centre, and extra lyrics, it still finishes early. In no way does this flexibility diminish the coherence of the lyric, or the understanding of the story.

Example 50: Bars 37-40 of Original + CM#2

The elastic application of rhythm in example 50 is achieved with delay and anticipation, and the condensing and expanding of phrases. The extra lyrics McRae sings in bars 38-40 (ex. 50), “my disposition, it depends mainly on you”, that extend the phrase across three bars, implies that McRae’s feelings are not completely dependent of the attention of her lover, though that attention is still sought and desired. This is emphasised with the non-diatonic Bb note (the b9th of the A\textsuperscript{7} chord in bar 39), sung on ‘mainly’ then repeated in the next bar (the b13th of the Dm chord in bar 40, beat 1) on the lyric ‘on’. This dissonance creates an edginess that establishes a womanly sentiment; she is no longer a naïve ingénue bright-eyed over love, but a mature, 45-year-old woman of the world at the mercy of her lover.
In example 51, which contains one note of the original (but is not sung on the original lyrics), McRae continues her high driving dynamic with her edgy timbre and incredible tension created by a $11^{th}$ as the entrance note on “I never mind”. Though she quickly resolves this colour tone within the melodic embellishment in ‘I’, it reinforces the elements of tension and release she so eloquently employs within her lines.

Example 51: Bars 41-44 of Original + CM#2

The expansion of the first phrase (ex.51: bars 41-42), with its slightly delayed, behind-the-beat placement, is followed by the condensing of the second phrase (bars 43-44). This concertina effect occurs frequently throughout CM#2 and allows McRae the room to emphasis the words or phrases she deems important to the story without falling too far outside the form of the music.

This style is echoed in example 52 with added lyrics extending the initial phrase (bar 45) and a condensed 2nd phrase (pick-up to bar 47-48) connected by a repetitive rhythmic pattern that resolves to the original melody on the final note of bar 48.

Example 52: Bars 45-48 of Original + CM#2

The use of chromatic grace notes in the middle of these repeated patterns - a bebop technique - also enhances the rhythmic attack. She emphasises exquisitely the important words and manages to produce a strong swing feel with a variety of accented downbeats (bars 45-46) and upbeats (bar 47) on a series of quarter notes.

Anticipation of phrases, a popular device of McRae’s, is utilised again in example 53. Displacing the phrase so early could lead to gaps in the middle of the phrase, or at the end. McRae overcomes
this by adding in the lyric ‘baby’ after each statement (bar 49 & 51), and singing the phrase on quarter notes to maintain length. The tone she employs here is a repeat of the low blues growl she incorporated earlier in example 47: bars 17-19, that correlates to the choice of note - a blues style, non-diatonic, altered pitch. Her voice has a guttural, tainted quality, like that of a cup mute on a trumpet, that effects a timbral change and, as a word-painting device, evocatively highlights her conflicting emotions. She is swinging in the groove with this phrase, and the band is not only empathetic to this, but also allows her the freedom to explore the many different colours of her singing.

Example 53: Bars 48-52 of Original + CM#2

As seen in example 52, when McRae sings a series of quarter notes she continues to establish a strong swing feel by heavily accenting these beats to ensure the forward momentum of the line. This style is replicated in example 53, and to some extent in example 54. Though example 54’s rhythmic construct incorporates a staccato device (as seen in ex. 48: bar 29), that is repeated exactly in the bars 53 and 55, McRae repeats a series of onbeats to emphasis the lyrics ‘hate you’ (bar 54) that echoes the ideas in the previous example. Again McRae is utilizing a repetition of rhythm and melody to shape her phrase that reflects the repetitive nature of the original melody and her earlier choices, but McRae’s embellishments also include articulation variances, a wider range of pitch (a major 10th), syncopation, ghosted notes and a blue note (on ‘you’, ex. 54: bar 54).

Example 54: Bars 53-56 of Original + CM#2
The phrase in example 54 is united into one sentence where the original was broken by a quarter note rest at the start of bar 55. This enforces the forward momentum gained by the combination of syncopation and staccato passages. This momentum is continued when McRae anticipates the phrase “That’s how I am”, that originally begins in bar 57 (ex.55), after a mere eighth note rest in bar 56. She proceeds to reproduce this phrase three times, with embellishments, over four bars, which adamantly underlines her feelings. She expresses no musical obligations to the original format, starting the first phrase two and-a-half beat early (bar 56), condensing it into two and-a-half beats, and extending the final phrase into bar 60 (ex. 55), two bars after the original phrase is finished in bar 58. She completely ignores the second phrase “So what can I do?” (Orig. bars 58-60) because at this stage she is no longer acting with ambivalence; She knows her situation and accepts it.

Example 55: Bars 57-60 of Original + CM#2

The repetition underlines the emphatic tone that she builds rhythmically and dynamically and this phrase also climaxes again, melodically, on the highest pitch McRae sings in CM#2 (bar 59), a major 6\textsuperscript{th} above the original highest point.

From this climax McRae starts a slow descent in dynamics and pitch over an octave but remains above the range of the original (ex. 56). Adding words to extend the phrase “Say, I’m so happy”, which again is anticipated (bars 60-61), McRae also uses repetition of the lyric “happy” to link the two phrases in example 56.

Example 56: Bars 60-64 of Original + CM#2
Chromatic melodic movements are again utilised (ex.56: bars 62-63) to increase tension and release within the melodic line. McRae adds an enclosure in bar 62 which leads to a dissonant $b5$ on the first beat of bar 63, at the start of the tag. This $b5$, quickly resolves to an A, which is a resolution of sorts, but it remains the 11th of the $Em^7$ chord and therefore is a suspended tone that does not resolve to a chord tone until bar 64. This non-resolution of the pitch, sustained for almost two bars, carries the impetus of the line as it progresses through the tag. At this point, as McRae’s lyrics cease the analysis ends, but she continues to sing unaccompanied scat syllables to the end of the tune, eight bars later, through an harmonic turnaround (based around a III-VI-II-V chordal movement).

### 3.3 A Comparative Analysis of CM#1 and CM#2:

The performances by Carmen McRae capture a singer in full improvisational flight. Both contain excellent examples of lyric improvisation, from basic syncopation to extremes of rhythmic diversification and extensions of range far beyond the original notated melody. There are vast differences between these two performances, yet there are also commonalities.

The commonalities include:

- **Similar musical arrangement:** both begin with a solo bass introduction, a solo bass accompaniment behind the first chorus, and then the band enters for second chorus.

- **Two octave ranges, with CM#2 a little bigger**

- **Use of basic jazz syncopation which rhythmically displaces the line.**

- **Similar melodic starting position and anticipated rhythmic attack to the second chorus**

- **Altered notes commonly occur in the same bars (Appendix: bars 41, 46 – 47).**

- **There is a similar harmonic framework, with a few prescribed differences (the descending chord movement in bars 13-15 in CM#2 being one), and, interestingly, variations to the basic movement occur at similar positions (App: bars 45 – 47) but not always in every chorus.**

- **Similar changes to the lyric (App: bars 13–16, & 45–47) but there are also differences throughout both performances.**

- **Use of delay and anticipation, condensation and expansion.**

- **Use of the $#11^{th}$ of C major in bar 41 (App.)**

- **Though rhythmically diverse there are points of rhythmic accuracy (App: bar 14-15, 45–47)**
The differences include:

- Both versions include a tag, though the individual endings are quite different.
- Band size varies from quartet to sextet.
- Tempo. CM#1 is $\frac{\text{d}}{\text{t}} = 152$, CM#2 is $\frac{\text{d}}{\text{t}} = 154$.
- Though the majority of the harmonic framework is similar there are variances; more secondary dominants and altered $7^{b9}$ chords are applied to CM#2.
- Disrupted rhythmic flow of phrases in CM#2
- Variations in embellishments of notes: from slides, glissandos and bends, to blue notes and chromaticism.
- Articulation and enunciation of lyrics is more definitive in CM#2
- CM#2 contains more notes through embellishments of the syllables and/or added lyrics
- Rhythmic diversification. CM#2 starts primarily behind the beat of CM#1 in the first 16 bars then anticipates CM#1 in the second 16 bars. This timing ebbs and flows throughout.

When McRae first recorded “Sometimes I’m Happy” in 1955 her style encapsulates the new freedoms of jazz singers performing in smaller ensembles. In the first chorus (32 bars) she pays homage to the composers and sings in a straight ahead jazz manner for 16 bars. McRae sings the pitch accurately on 35 of the 38 notes in the first 16 bars of CM#1 (92%), however, on deeper inspection, she sings just 13 of the 38 notes rhythmically correct in the same section (34%). As she continues, McRae moves slowly from the original melody, both rhythmically and melodically. In the 1st chorus (32 bars) she sings 59% melodic accuracy, in the 2nd chorus (32 bars – no tag) she only maintains 16% accuracy. Rhythmically she starts at a low 23% accuracy in the 1st chorus and surprisingly increases this to 27% accuracy in the 2nd even though melodically she sings a majority of different pitches (example 57).
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Ex. 57: Accuracy of CM#1 and CM#2 Melodies and Rhythm compared to the Original.

It is important to note that these numbers are averaged out from the original melody’s 74 notes per chorus structure – The 32 bar form does not include the tag of CM#1 or the scat ending of CM#2.

In McRae’s 2nd vocal choruses, rhythmic accuracy was counted to bar 32 bar (where she sings the lyric “I’m so happy … when I’m with you” in both performances). Rhythmic accuracy is classed as the moment the lyric is placed correctly in relation to the original melody – but not the velocity, and/or length of the rhythm. Melodic notes are also counted to bar 32; however the lyrics contained in the tag of CM#1 is included, for interest, in example 57, the scat ending of CM#2 is not.

Melodic accuracy is classed as the note sung on the equivalent lyric as the original (not necessarily in the same rhythm). There is one issue with a lyric change McRae makes in both versions in bars 13-16 of the original form. She actually adds three extra words to the phrase. In this instance her notes and rhythms are deemed correct if her new lyrics align with the equivalent pitch/placement in the original lyric.

In CM#2 McRae displays a freedom of approach quite different to the predecessor. She improvises her lines from the start with melodic and rhythmic variations at great contrast to the original and CM#1. In her 1st chorus she sings only 51% of the melodic notes accurately with a mere 18%

---

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Accuracy to the Original notation</th>
<th>Chorus 1 – 74 original notes + embellishments (embs)</th>
<th>Chorus 2 – 74 original notes + embs + tag for CM#1, but not scat ending for CM#2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CM#1 Melodic Accuracy 8</td>
<td>44/74 – 59%</td>
<td>12/74 – 16%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(NB + embs = 84 notes in total: 47/84 – 56%)</td>
<td>(NB + tag = 94 notes in total: 12/94 – 13%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM#2 Melodic Accuracy 9</td>
<td>38/74 – 51%</td>
<td>5/74 – 7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>(NB + embs = 111 in total: 42/111 – 38%)</td>
<td>(NB + embs = 124 in total. (not incl. scat end): 5/124 – 4%)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM#1 Rhythmic Accuracy</td>
<td>17/74 – 23%</td>
<td>20/74 – 27%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CM#2 Rhythmic Accuracy</td>
<td>13/74 – 18%</td>
<td>13/74 – 18%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

8 CM#1 Actual melodic variations, McRae sings 84 notes in chorus 1 and 94 notes in chorus 2.
9 CM#2 Actual melodic variations, McRae sings 111 notes in chorus 1 and 124 notes in chorus 2 – not including the scat ending.
10 ibid
rhythmic accuracy. In the 2nd chorus, the melodic accuracy drops to a tiny 7% though rhythmic accuracy remains at 18% (example 57) due to McRae’s penchant for using a series of quarter notes as her rhythm. Through many highs and lows McRae sings a variety of dynamic peaks including bars 41-48 (similar to CM#1), 34-37 and 57-60 (App. F).

Though both performances have relatively low rhythmic accuracy compared to the original notation (they average 22%), the contrast between the two versions is varied. They move around each other, only to join together on 44 of 235 notes McRae sings (not including the scat ending of CM#2). These differences can be attributed to the ten years between performances, but can also be attributed to the variance in tempos. McRae has more time to sing her phrases and decide their position in CM#2 as the tempo is (approximately) 22% slower. The more time a singer has, the more room there is for adventurous phrasing; only, however, if the vocalist is comfortable with a slow tempo and performing with a talented band whose time feel is secure. McRae is comfortable and in control of both of her tempos and shows this in the flexibility of phrasing she displays. Moreover, the bands have confidence in her ability to elasticize her phrasing but remain within the constraints of the song’s format. The other element to rhythm and tempo is feel. In terms of McRae’s placement of the beat she shows cognisant choices to sing behind the beat. This is most prevalent in CM#2. Ghosted notes are also favoured by McRae for non-tonal, rhythmic effect. Heard once in CM#1, (on the word ‘dis-position’ in bar 37), they occurs eight times in CM#2. In both performances she expands and contracts phrases, anticipates, and delays entrances and endings, however this is particularly exaggerated in CM#2.

What can be taken from the analysis of CM#1 and CM#2, and the figures in example 57, is:

- The initial chorus of the melody is closer in pitch to the original than the 2nd chorus of singing. The 2nd chorus uses less than 80% of the original melody.
- The original rhythm is not adhered to from the start, (less than 23%). This average is maintained into the 2nd choruses, or surprisingly, slightly increased – due to McRae’s rhythmic use of quarter notes.
- CM#2 displays greater freedoms from the original score. Ten years on McRae has more confidence in her abilities and in the knowledge of the song. Her melodic embellishments retain little resemblance to the notated work, yet maintenance of the lyrics tie the song to the original.

---

11 There are a greater number of lyric changes in 1965 but they merely motivate the motion of the line and are still true to the Orig. intention.
- Familiarity of the vocalist with the form, harmony, feel, rhythm and pitch of the song are vital to maintain coherence with the accompanists and the text but used as a mere foundation to incredible flights of improvisational glory.

- The accompanying musicians must have confidence in the abilities of the vocalist and support their performance.

- Both use blue notes and colour notes to shape the phrases.

- Dissonance is used to create tension, but is quickly resolved. This adds interest and unpredictability to the line and displays high levels of musicianship.

- The use of embellishments to colour the notes are incorporated in a variety of ways – slides, staccato attack, mordents, intervallc leaps, sustained passages and leading tones.

McRae’s improvisational changes do not relinquish the impact of the original lyrics but enhances the emotional intent with strength of passion that increases in her latter rendition. Like Vaughan, McRae understands the need for tension to drive the forward motion of the song and achieves this, not only through rhythmic variation, but through melodic dissonance. For release, she regularly returns to the original melodic elements and incorporates many downbeats, both original and improvised, in her phrasing. McRae’s development across the ten years, while maintaining the basics of the jazz idiom and elements of her previous performance, encompasses many more variations in rhythm, melody and style. The articulation is biting, there are numerous variations in attack, her instrumental approach provides melodic unpredictability, and the elasticity of her lines shifts the phrases in many directions. She incorporates all this, ‘locks in’ with the harmony, and swings with the accompaniment’s underlying pulse.
Chapter 4: Analysis of Vaughan and McRae

The performances of Sarah Vaughan and Carmen McRae singing “Sometimes I’m Happy”, their highly distinctive, idiosyncratic styles are discussed and analysed to identify a commonality of ‘method.’ The two singers in question are excellent examples of both jazz and creative individuality at the highest level, nevertheless they both use common idiomatic ‘tools’ to express profoundly personal ideas.

All four performances will be contrasted: SV#1, SV#2, CM#1, and CM #2.

The artistry of Vaughan and McRae’s improvisational technique is in the incorporation of a multitude of skills at many musical levels:

- Awareness of their own abilities to hear and respond to the harmony and rhythm.
- Understanding the accompaniment: the instrumental roles, the style and the form of the tune.
- Vocal technique in terms of pitch and pulse, variations in articulation, timbre and tessitura, and the use of idiomatic stylistic inflections.
- The agility to access the range through large intervallic leaps or small chromatic movements.
- How the above parameters apply to the text.

Each singer accesses all of these abilities, but their craft is in the manner of their manipulation. They do not attempt all of these parameters at once, though many of their improvisational ideas contain multiple elements. They show restraint in developing their phrases in homage to the original material, the form, and the timing, and revert back to the original material for thematic release.

Some commonalities immediately inherent in both vocalists are:

- The use of basic syncopation to displace the rhythm.
- The use of complex syncopation: triplets, sixteenth notes, tying notes over strong beats.
- Rhythmic displacement of the melody: delayed and anticipated.
- Rhythmic contraction or expansion of notes and phrases.
- Variations to the melodic line from mild paraphrasing to angular, disjunctive variations.
- Use of blue and colour notes.
• Slides (invoking a ‘blues’ and/or instrumental style).
• Use of an expansive vocal range.
• Similar rhythmic and melodic starts to their 2nd choruses.
• Repetitive motifs – melodic and rhythmic.
• Ideas developed from chorus to chorus and version to version of each individual.
• Similarities of harmony

The combination of elements, some used together, some separately, help the artist to present a unique delivery every time. However, due to the subtleties of a jazz performance the soloist rarely remembers how they embellished the tune from performance to performance, and other factors can affect each performance: interaction by the rhythm section, tempo variances, emotional state of mind, audience response, etc. Therefore, uniqueness on some level is assured in every improvisation.

In these performances emphasis on a particular word(s) in a phrase is achieved by:
• Placing the required word on the downbeat.
• Sustaining the word.
• Anticipating, and then sustaining the word.
• Melodic dissonance (either on, or leading into, the word).
• Lingering on a syllable or consonant.
• Placing the word on a melodic peak.
• Singing the surrounding words legato.
• Shortening the length of the surrounding words

Vaughan favours sustaining words, legato shapes, melodic peaks and downbeats. McRae also defines her words by establishing the downbeats and enjoys melodic dissonance, melodic peaks and lingering on syllables.

The varied use of sustained and succinct notes enhances different elements of the phrase.

Sustain:
• Sustaining notes within a phrase links internal ideas together.
• Sustaining the end of a phrase connects ideas across bar lines and further extends the thought.
• Vocally, a sustained note allows vibrato and other tonal shades to colour the emotional expression of the line.

Succinct:

• A succinct attack sends a rhythmic energy through the lyric with the strength of an exclamation point

• Adds crispness and contrast to the phrase.

• Clarity of enunciation is required for a succinct attack.

• Ending a legato section on a succinct lyric can underline the intent.

A similar response to the sustaining and shortening of notes are found in both singers’ approach to the use of legato and staccato passages, though McRae’s use of the staccato attack is more prevalent than Vaughan’s.

Legato passages are inclusive of:

• Sustained flow across whole phrases.

• Embellishing one word with a multitude of legato notes - an instrumental approach.

Staccato adds a strong rhythmic accent to the lyrics that encourages the forward motion of the line. The skill of the staccato action can be seen in:

• A series of words sung with a succinct attack.

• A single word containing a plethora of staccato pitches, i.e. CM#2 bar 29.1

Disregarding the variations in the endings of each version for the moment, there are many changes to the lyric and melody that occur within the context of the form. The original has 60 words sung on 74 notes in its 32 bar form. McRae embellishes these notes with extra pitches and added, or extended, lyrics. She sings 30 extra pitches with 18 extra lyrics in CM#1 and an extensive 87 extra notes with 41 extra lyrics in CM #2. The additions include extending words in the original text, i.e. ‘-cause’ in the original text become ‘be-cause’ and words are made plural, i.e. ‘rain’ becomes ‘rains’.2 While the plurality of words does not necessarily affect the length of a note, with McRae’s articulation of fricatives it can extend the sound like an added pitch. She also expands phrases adding lyrics to the original text including exclamatory terms, i.e. ‘It’s just be-cause I love you,” or “That is exactly how I am” which not only expands the phrase rhythmically but enhances

1 Appendix G
2 Appendix G: CM#1&2: bar 55
the points of her story. Melodically the notes McRae adds are embellishments on syllables that extend words or phrases with a mixture of devices.

Vaughan adds pitches and extrapolates the lyrics on a smaller scale. In SV#1 while she does add 12 extra notes there is only one different lyric: on ‘sky’ in bar 44. In SV#2 Vaughan sings a mere 9 extra notes but there are 18 changed, or extra lyrics. Like McRae, one of the lyric changes occurs where an abridged lyric in the original is expanded, i.e. ‘be-cause’ bar 23. The majority of Vaughan’s lyric changes occur in the same section of each chorus where she sings “As long as there is...” in bars 13-14 and 45-46 (Appendix G).

The fact that McRae’s embellishments of both the lyrics and melody are so great accounts for the reason that, on initial listening, they sound the most diverse to the original – especially CM#2’s reading of the lyric. As well as this diversity of pitch and lyrics, CM#2’s crisp phrasing and intense attack on consonants, and strong colour tones at the melodic peaks, is different to the original. It is also the slowest tempo and contains chasms of space that creates anticipation in the listener. It is strongly articulated and controlled, with many onbeats, yet remains incredibly passionate and rhythmically it swings with greater intensity.

However, when comparing the rhythmic placement of the transcriptions both the second chorus of SV#1 and all of SV#2 are more rhythmically diverse than CM#1 or CM#2. As seen in example 29 (chapter 2.3), Vaughan’s rhythmic accuracy peaks at 38% for her first chorus of SV#1, but is 19% for the second chorus. In SV#2, Vaughan’s rhythmic accuracy is miniscule: 8% and 3% respectively. In example 57, (chapter 3.3), the first chorus of CM#1 starts low with 23% rhythmic accuracy, but this rises to 27% in her second chorus – all the more interesting because McRae’s melodic accuracy in this second chorus drops from 59% to 16%. In CM#2, McRae’s rhythmic accuracy remains on 18% for both choruses while the melodic changes drop from 51% to 7%.

So how does Vaughan create such rhythmic diversity without the help of added pitches and text? She syncopates often - she does not incorporate many downbeats. She extends her notes – the final notes of phrases across the bar line, and sustained notes within the phrase – to further connect, and extend, the line. Though both Vaughan and McRae use rhythmic devices to change the placement of the lyric, SV#2 contains double the number of syncopated rhythms placed after the beat. The following table has divided the displacement of rhythm into: anticipated lyrics - placed on the beat and off the beat, and delayed lyrics – placed on the beat and off the beat (ex. 58).

---

3 Appendix G: CM#2: bar 58-59
4 Disregarding the changes in the tag endings of each version.
5 Appendix G: SV#2: bar 23
### Rhythmic Placement

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Syncopation of lyrics:</th>
<th>SV#1</th>
<th>SV#2</th>
<th>CM#1</th>
<th>CM#2</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Displacement before the Orig. lyric - off the beat (Anticipated)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>32</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Displacement after the Orig. lyric - off the beat (Delayed)</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Displacement before the Orig. lyric - on the beat (Anticipated)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Displacement after the Orig. lyric - on the beat (Delayed)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>29</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Ex. 58: Rhythmic displacement of lyrics in comparison to the Original placement.

The table in example 58 shows the diversity of placement these singers utilise in the singing of their lyrics. By far the greatest rhythmic use is delayed, offbeat placement, followed by anticipated, offbeat placement. This is a reflection of the idiomatic syncopation style that makes jazz swing. However, it does not reflect the distance away from the original that the notes are displaced, just the position once they are sung.⁶ Barring the big band-accompanied SV#1, the other performances find the freedom to displace the rhythm far from ‘home’.⁷

---

⁶ See the analysis of each singer in the previous chapters for further, graphic information on their displacement of the melody.

⁷ Appendix G
The displacement of lyrics onto different downbeats is interesting. Though not as common as delayed offbeats, both singers do use delayed onbeats with regularity. This helps the singers to ‘lock in’ with the rhythmic swing feel of the accompanists and, in many cases, underlines an emphasised lyric. In terms of anticipation, McRae equally favours anticipating the rhythm with onbeats as offbeats, far outweighing Vaughan’s anticipated onbeat usage. An example of the various onbeat attacks utilised by both singers occurs in bars 21-24 of Appendix G shown here in example 59. SV#1 & SV#2 contains onbeats in the original position of the melody surrounded by syncopated rhythms that emphasis these down beats. CM#1 uses delayed onbeats in bar 22, anticipated onbeats at the start of bar 23 and original onbeats at the end of bar 23 and start of bar 24 (ex 59). CM#2 uses anticipated onbeats throughout.

Ex. 59: SV#1, SV#2, CM#1 & CM#2 Compared

The vocal tessitura of both singers in these recordings is quite different. The keys of their songs lie a fourth apart. McRae, the lower of the two, favours the richness of her lower range and uses her upper range for the climactic peaks of the song easily covering the two octave range presented in these examples. Vaughan remains primarily in her middle and upper registers, only colouring small sections with her lower range, mostly in SV#2. Part of this is due to the big band accompaniment of SV#1 (as described in chapter 2). However, as Vaughan’s experience with small backing trios continued she came to explore more of her range. By 1963, Sarah Vaughan sings across two octaves and a major 6th. This would be due, in part, to growing confidence on the bandstand and in her creative choices but also, at 39, to the continuing maturity of her vocal prowess.

---

8 Appendices H-L.
9 Appendix M
10 As Vaughan matured, she delved more into the octave below middle C and used it with great command, and extended her upper range in live concert. Gourse, Sassy: The Life of Sarah Vaughan, 119-20
The melodic choices both present show an innate understanding of the cyclic harmonic structure and the added colours of post-bop (i.e. b5 - #11, #9 – b3, and chromatic passing tones). SV#1 has primarily diatonic harmony and SV#2’s second chorus contains a few dominant-seven-flat-nine chords but the real harmonic changes to Vaughan’s arrangements occur in the tag where the harmony chromatically rises for two bars. Added secondary dominants and reharmonisations infuse contemporary colours in McRae’s arrangements.

The improvised structure over the harmony, as sung by Vaughan and McRae, is a combination of paraphrasing the original theme, motif development - often in a formulaic style either by systematic repetition of intervals (example 60: SV#1 bars 33-36) or rhythm (example 61: CM#2 bar 13-15) – embellishing a word with a slide or multiple notes (example 61: SV#2 bars 13-14; CM#1 and CM#2 bar 16 on the lyric ‘eyes’), or using extensions/alterations of the chordal harmony for melodic tension (example 62: bars 46-48, all versions).

Ex. 60: SV#1 repetition of intervals.

Ex. 61: CM#2: repetition of rhythm. SV#2, CM#1 & CM#2: melodic embellishment by slides or multiple notes on a lyric.
Ex. 62: Extensions/alterations of the chordal harmony to embellish the melody.

Each vocalist uses the above melodic devices in her own way. There are similarities between each of Vaughan’s versions as there are between each of McRae’s. As each individual explores the many elements of improvisation a certain style of application usually develops as their individual ‘voice.’ Interestingly, similarities occur, e.g. ex 62: bar 46 – McRae sings a non-diatonic $B^b$ note in both versions, as does SV#1. SV#2 does not. In the next bar the opposite occurs: SV#2 sings an $F^#$, as does McRae in both versions; SV#1 does not.

The accompanying musicians must be confident performers who understand the musical requirements of their soloist. They require an awareness of their roles in the band, the limitations of the situation (if any) and have an intuitive grasp of the path the vocalist may lead them.

When the accompanying musicians possess confidence in the vocalist’s timing and ability to keep to the form, they can concentrate on their supporting roles, enhancing their accompanying shapes with melodic, harmonic or rhythmic variations. They do not need to lead or carry the vocalist through fear she will lose her way. This, in turn, creates space for the vocalist, for a good band will not limit the improvisation but enhance it, anticipate it and react to it.
Chapter 5: Conclusion

The art of lyric improvisation, as practised by Sarah Vaughan and Carmen McRae, developed in the jazz genre at a time, post-big band, when vocalists featured with smaller accompanying groups. Though this study focuses on these earlier performances, the two artists’ manipulation of lyrics continually evolved throughout their career. It is in the mastery of their instrument that their expression found its freedom. Without the control of their vocal apparatus, or their knowledge of the jazz language, this freedom would not be possible. While respecting melodic/harmonic relationships the parameters of lyric improvisation include: the understanding of song form, idiometic use of blue notes, chromaticism and sliding into pitch, intervallic leaps through extremes of tessitura, sustaining long phrases, timbral effects, articulation, lyrical intention, anticipation, condensation, delay and expansion of phrases, placement of the rhythm in time with the pulse, or the pulse shifted for tension and release - again allowing for the practice of placing emphasis on different parts of the beat: ahead – in time – behind: all fundamental characteristics of the jazz genre.

It is hoped that a method to improvise in a creative, yet artistic and stylistically appropriate manner can be described and developed from this study and utilised in the performance of other jazz repertoire. An appropriate manner of lyrical improvisation has been identified as maintaining the parameters of the tune, that is, within the form – in this case a 32 bar, ABAB form – but not hindered by these parameters in the re-composition and improvisation of the text.

Historically in jazz, though interpretation occurred from the first chorus, it was usually reserved to basic variations of rhythm and attack, with some minor melodic paraphrasing. Melodic variations and complex rhythmic displacement materialised once the theme had been presented. However, as jazz vocalists became expert solo artists, especially from the mid-1950s, lyric improvisations using extremities of rhythm and overt embellishments were often incorporated in the first chorus. Their craft was in the continuation of the original thematic material by maintenance of the lyric and much of the original melody. It is in the second chorus that the manipulation of a multitude of parameters, including melody, occurs, inspiring a realm of spontaneous originality in the confines of the original text and form.

The two women analysed for this study, though emerging from similar jazz backgrounds, have different tones, vocal ranges, and styles of approach to lyric improvisation, as seen in the previous chapter. Yet, fundamentally, the rules both performers abide by remain cohesive and relevant to each other and to the original text. Their interpretations, in linear and vertical movements, are
unique, yet throughout these adaptations the form and harmonic framework remain constant (notwithstanding reharmonisations that regularly occur in the jazz idiom: secondary dominants, IIIm\(^7\) chords substituting for I Maj\(^7\) chords, etc). The singer deems how the individual variables are applied; when they are added, and to which words. This creates multiple permutations in rhythm, melody, and inflection leading to originality of expression and interpretation. The women’s timbral changes and effective use of their whole range add individual colour to their performance. It is also imperative that the accompanists maintain the form and time feel; that they do not shift their pulse nor the placement of their chords to any great degree. It is only from this foundation that the creativity of the lyric improviser can flow.

Choice of material on which to improvise is an important factor in the success of lyric improvisation. The harmony of a song can greatly inhibit rhythmic and melodic movement. If the harmony is too complex (i.e. it modulates often into different key centres) the skills required to navigate the shifting keys, while maintaining the lyrics, can be so complex as to limit creative freedom. So too a song with numerous lyrics can limit the freedom to improvise lyrically. Repertoire chosen from jazz or musical theatre composers is often used for lyric improvisers. The favoured songs have standard forms with a harmony that is basically diatonic and a melody with latitude.

Extemporising the lyrics, while conserving the original intent, is a factor that develops from knowledge of the text and in response to the surrounding accompaniment. Vaughan’s first performance of 1955 contains one minor lyric infraction, her latter performance has 13. McRae’s 1955 recording contains 18 with the latter 41. The majority of these extemporisations are an extension of the individual’s natural vocabulary and sense of emotional description.

The rhythmic commonalities inherent in both women include the utilisation of jazz syncopation at its most basic and complex. Basic syncopation is applied at once to establish the jazz swing feel and continues throughout both choruses of the tune. This also creates a tension and release that allows the vocalists to highlight certain elements of the phrase; a particular word (or words) or pitch. The complex elements of syncopation are mostly utilised in the second chorus when the lyric improvisation is at its most creative; rhythmic displacement by delay or anticipation, condensation or expansion of phrases, and use of triplets and other rhythmic devices. In combination with melodic re-composition, the rhythms evoke an elasticity of phrasing that is at once challenging and satisfying to the accompanist and the listener.

The rhythmic diversity of the individual singer is achieved by anticipating or delaying the start of phrases by a combination of on and off beats of varying distance to the original melody. The internal structure of each phrase is diversified through extending or contracting lyrics, varying the attacks on syllables, incorporating various rhythmic values (triplets, sixteenth notes) and accents, sustaining pitch and adding rests of variable lengths between phrases and words. The structure of
these variables can ultimately affect the subsequent phrase as seen in the comparative study: if a phrase is delayed by the extension of a note, or expansion of the melodic content, it can affect the starting position of the next phrase. If a preceding phrase is anticipated, or pre-empts the bar line, or condensed, the subsequent phrase can also be anticipated to connect the two ideas. However, the opposite can also occur: a delayed phrase can end early, or an anticipated phrase expanded, ending late. An intrinsic understanding of the underlying pulse and structure is vital. McRae and Vaughan, when elasticising their phrases, also regularly return to the original placement, or near to it. It is in the delivery of these combinations, chosen with relevance to the lyric content, that provide equipoise in the tension and release of the phrases and originality in interpretation.

The commonalities in the basic and complex re-composition of the melodic structure include paraphrasing, motif development, blues and slide inflections and singing extensions of the chordal harmony. Basic paraphrasing of the melody, or using the notes of the melody in a slightly different order, is a common means of improvisation. The sophistication of this method underlies its apparent simplicity. It allows for multiple variations while sounding like the original. Motif development, the repetition of melodic shapes or rhythmic patterns, is also a common factor, though it is applied differently by each woman though sometimes appears in similar positions of the form. Blue notes and slides affect melodic lines without dramatically changing the pitch and also confer an emotional texture to the lyric through the tension and release of the attack. Advanced theoretical understanding of the underlying harmony allows the incorporation of chord extensions and chromatic passing tones to produce harmonic tension with strong melodic resolution. This creates a forward movement, different to rhythmic motion, which connects the syllables and/or lyrics to each other.

Sarah Vaughan and Carmen McRae are great advocates for the art of lyric improvisation. Their knowledge and skill of the jazz idiom, of rhythm, melody, time and form, allows a creation of spontaneous musical fusion. This is accomplished while maintaining not only the intent of the original text but the content of the text. Their performances inspire instrumentalists and vocalists alike and generations have come to regard them as icons of jazz.

As McRae states in *Downbeat*, “Cut the Crap” (24), “A Jazz singer is just like a jazz musician. It’s all about improvising. It’s something in your heart, and something that is you….In my opinion, [Sarah] was the best lady improviser. That includes everybody, including myself.”
Beats:

**Upbeats, Downbeats:**
1. When describing crotchets, or quarter notes, in 4/4 time, the downbeats are beats 1 and 3; the upbeats are beats 2 and 4. In jazz the pulse is developed by emphasising the upbeats; therefore beats 2 and 4, in each bar, are accented. This aids in the forward motion of the rhythmic feel as it pertains to jazz swing style. The beat resolves to downbeats on finishing points in the tune – the end of sections, the end of sentences etc.
2. When describing quavers, or eighth notes, a 4/4 bar would consist of eight beats counted as “1 and 2 and 3 and 4 and”, or “1& 2& 3& 4&”. The downbeats are beats 1, 2, 3, 4, and the upbeats are the ‘ands,’ or eighth notes, in between. By emphasising the ‘ands’ the melody maintains forward motion as it does not resolve to a finishing point – a downbeat. The unresolved tension compels the phrase to continue moving until it resolves to a downbeat.

**On- and Off-beats:** When describing eighth notes, downbeats are also called onbeats; beat 1,2,3,4. Upbeats, the ‘ands,’ (1&, 2&, 3&, 4&), are also called offbeats

**Chord Tones:**
Chord tones are defined as the primary tones in a chordal structure. The tonic, 3rd, 5th, 6th and 7th are the primary tones. In jazz there are extensions beyond the 7th, including the 9th, 11th and 13th diatonic tones and alterations to the primary and extended tones (b3rd, b5th, #9th, #11th etc).

**Comping:**
Comping is an abbreviation of accompanying. The definition, in jazz terms, is the context in which the band members respond musically to the soloist; by playing melodic riffs, rhythmic hits or chord voicings behind their performance to support and enhance ideas.

**Enclosure:**
A melodic movement of approaching a note by singing the preceding two notes a semitone above, then a semitone below the point of resolution.

**Head in/ Head out:**
The main melody is described as the ‘Head’ of the tune. Therefore the ‘Head in’ is the melody sung at the start of the tune and the ‘Head out’ is the melody sung at the end of the tune – usually with solos in between.
Glissandos:
Glissandos are indicated in the music with a ‘ ... ‘ between two pitches. They are slides, either ascending or descending, between two pitches which can range from a semitone to a large interval.

Instrumental Break:
This is the point within a vocal arrangement when an instrumentalist takes a solo on a portion of the tune. It can occur in instrumental tunes or Big Band arrangements but is usually of a shorter length on vocal tunes.

Slides - Melodic:
Indicated in the music as a line marked as / or \ placed underneath an individual pitch. It developed from the blues style of singing. It is a device that swoops into a pitch from either a semi- or quarter-tone above or below before settling into the original pitch. Or, once the pitch is sung the performer swoops away, either upward or downward, by a semitone, quartertone or a greater interval (sometimes called a fall-off).

Solo:
A solo is an improvised variation based on the harmonic structure of the main melody or ‘head’. A solo can be on the whole form of the tune or a portion of it (usually in 8 bar sections).

Syncopation in Jazz:
Syncopation is a phrasing technique of basic rhythmic displacement to engage a swing feel. It is achieved by anticipating or delaying the original entrance of each note, usually by an eighth note, of the original melody. As defined in the Oxford Concise Dictionary of Music (740) it is achieved by accenting the weak beats of the bar and putting rests on, or tying notes over, stronger beats.

Tag:
This describes the style of ending where a fragment of the last 2-8 bars of the melody are repeated at the end of a song. This repeat can occur once or a multiple of times. It traditionally involves a reharmonised chordal movement to delay the resolution to the tonic – often by substituting the tonic chord with the IIIm7 chord.

Time Feel:
The ability to place a note on a beat in a varying position depending on the impact needed, (i.e. behind, in the middle of, or in front of the beat).

Vocalese:
The art of writing and performing lyrics to an instrumental solo is called vocalese. This challenging vocal style developed in the early 1950’s and was first attributed to ‘King’ Pleasure who recorded Moody’s Mood for Love (1952) with lyrics by Eddie Jefferson and based on an instrumental solo by James Moody on ‘I’m in the Mood For Love’ (Fields/McHugh 1935).
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Discography

Listed by CD Album Title:


---. *Sarah Vaughan "Interlude": The Early Years 1944-1947*. This is her first recording under her own name. HNH International, 2001.


Sessionography

This list provides the session information of the transcribed recordings including dates, personnel, and other tracks recorded:

Carmen McRae, with Dick Katz (p), Mundell Lowe (g), Wendell Marshall (b), Kenny “Kook” Clarke (d).
New York, June 14, 1955, Decca.¹
Sometimes I’m Happy
Other tracks recorded include:
  Just One Of Those Things
  Love Is Here To Stay
  I Can’t Get Started
  This Will Make You Laugh

Carmen McRae, with Ray Beekenstein (fl), Norman Simmons (p), Joe Puma (g), Frank Severino (d), Paul Breslin (b), Jose Manguel (bongo).
Recorded live at the Village Gate, New York, November 1965, Mainstream.²
Sometimes I’m Happy
Other tracks recorded include:
  Don’t Explain
  Woman Talk
  The Shadow Of Your Smile
  Where Would You Be Without Me
  Feeling Good

Sarah Vaughan with Ernie Wilkins and his Studio Orchestra: Bernie Glow (tp), J. J. Johnson, Kai Winding (tb), Julian “Cannonball” Adderley, Sam Marowitz (as), Jerome Richardson (fl, ts), Jimmy Jones (p), Turk Van Lake (g), Joe Benjamin (b), Roy Haynes (d). 25-27 October, 1955.³
Sometimes I’m Happy (25 Oct.)
Other tracks recorded include:
  I’ll Never Smile Again
  Cherokee (26 Oct.)
  How High The Moon (27 Oct.)

Sarah Vaughan with: Jimmy Jones (p), Richard Davis (b), Roy Haynes (d).
Recorded live a Mister Kelly’s, Chicago Illinois, 6-8 August 1957.⁴
Sometimes I’m Happy (8 Aug.)
Other tracks recorded include:
  Willow Weep For Me (6 Aug.)
  Honeysuckle Rose (6 Aug.)
  How High The Moon (6 Aug.)
  Embraceable You (8 Aug.)

¹ Gourse, Carmen McRae, Miss Jazz. 129
² Gourse, Carmen McRae, Miss Jazz. 136
³ Gourse, Sassy: The Life of Sarah Vaughan. 240
⁴ Gourse, Sassy: The Life of Sarah Vaughan. 244
References

Sarah Vaughan with the Kirk Stuart Trio: Kirk Stuart (p), Buster Williams (b), George Hughes (d). Recorded Live at the Tivoli Gardens, Copenhagen, Denmark, 1963.\(^5\)
Sometimes I’m Happy
Other tracks recorded include:
   I Feel Pretty
   Loverman

Videography
[Videos, Movies, TV]


<http://www.youtube.com/watch?v=gMA8EGtgD_Q>


---

\(^5\) Sarah Vaughan, Sassy Swings the Tivoli; Complete Version
Sarah Vaughan Records Live at the Famous Tivoli in Copenhagen, rec 1987, EmArcy, PolyGram records, Tokyo, 1963.
Nomenclature

An unqualified letter indicates a major triad. [tonic (1), major third (3), & a perfect fifth (5)]

A (m) after a letter indicates a minor triad. [1, b3 (minor third), & a 5]

A (7) indicates a dominant seventh chord. [1, 3, 5 & b7(minor 7th)]

A (6) indicates a major 6th chord. (1, 3, 5, 6)

A (#) followed by a 5, 9, or 11 indicates a raised 5th, 9th, or 11th.

A (b) followed by a 3, 5, 7, or 9 indicates a flattened 3rd, 5th, 7th or 9th.

A (Major 7) or (ø7) indicates a major 7th chord. (1, 3, 5, 7)

A (Major 7, #11) or (ø7 #11) indicates a major 7th and 9th and an augmented 11th in the chord. (1, 3, 5, 7, 9, #11)

A (7#5) indicates an augmented triad with a minor 7th. (1, 3, #5, b7)

A (7#11) indicates a minor 7th and an augmented 11th in the chord. The major 9th is optional. (1, 3, 5, b7, 9, #11)

A (7b9) indicates a minor 7th and a flattened 9th in the chord. (1, 3, 5, b7, b9)

A (7sus) or (7sus4) indicates a suspended 4th with a minor 7th in the chord. (1, 4, 5, b7)

A (m7) indicates a minor triad and a minor 7th in the chord. (1, b3, 5, b7)

A (m6) indicates a minor triad and a major 6th in the chord. (1, b3, 5, 6)

A (m7b5) or (ø) indicates a half-diminished chord. (1, b3, b5, b7)

A (o) indicates a diminished 7th chord. (1, b3, b5, bb7)

A letter placed over a letter (i.e C/E) indicates the first letter is the chord (C major triad) and the second letter, under the (/) is the bass note (E) (a singular note).

E.g. E7/Bb indicates an E half-diminished chord played with a Bb in the bass.

In chord symbols the triad comes first followed by the 6th or 7th then any additional extensions or alterations.

The blues scale consists of the scale degrees: 1, b3, 4, #4, 5, and b7,
Appendices

Transcriptions in C major

Original + SV#1
Original + SV#2
Original + SV#1 + SV#2
Original + CM#1
Original + CM#2
Original + CM#1 + CM#2
SV#1, SV#2, CM#1 & CM#2

Transcriptions in Original Keys

SV#1 in Db major – 1955
SV#2 in Db major – 1958
CM#1 in Ab major – 1955
CM#2 in Ab major – 1965
Sarah Vaughan in Db major – 1963
Appendix A: Original + SV\#1

On the beat

My disposition depends on you...

Off the beat

Delayed & Syncopated

if I can find the sun in your eyes.

Delayed & Syncopated

Sometimes I love you, sometimes I hate you.

Delayed & Syncopated

But when I hate you, it's 'cause I love you.
Appendix B

Sometimes I'm Happy
Original + SV#2

Youmans/ Caesar
as sung by Sarah Vaughan
1957
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Appendix B

Appendix B - Original + SV#2

Orig.

C | G7
C | G7

But when I hate you, It's because I love you

SI#2

C | Am7 | Dm7 | G7
Em7 | A7 | Dm7 | G9

But when I hate you, It's because I love you

Synopated & Expanded

Off the Beat On the Beat

Orig.

C | C

That's how I am, So what can I do?

SI#2

C | Gm7 | C7
Fm

That's how I am so what can I do?

Synopated & Expanded

On the Beat

Orig.

C/G | Dm7 | G7
C

I'm happy when I'm with you

SI#2

C | Am7 | Dm7 | G7
C Am7 Dm7 G7sus

I'm happy when I'm with you

Varied Off Beat Synopation

Orig.

C | G7
C | G7

Some times I'm happy, Some times I'm blue

SI#2

C | Am7 | Dm7 | G7
Em7 | A7 | Dm7 | G9

Some times I'm happy

Anticipated, Syncopated & Expanded

Expanded & Syncopated

Delayed, Condensed & Syncopated

Orig.

C | G7
C | G7

My disposition depends on you

SI#2

C | Am7 | Dm7 | G7
Em7 | Am7 | Dm7 | G9

My disposition depends on you

Delayed & Syncopated with minor extension

Delayed & Condensed
Appendix B - Original + SY#2

Tag Ending

I'm always happy when I'm with you.

Syncopated & Expanded
Appendix C

Sometimes I'm Happy

Original + SV#1 & SV#2

Youmann's Caesar

as sung by Sarah Vaughan

1955/1957
Appendix D

Sometimes I'm Happy
Original + CM #1

Younmans/ Caesar
as sung by Carmen McRae
1955

\[
\begin{align*}
&\text{Sometimes I'm happy, Sometimes I'm blue}\quad \text{On the beat}\quad \text{Sometimes I'm happy, Sometimes I'm blue}\quad \text{On the beat} \\
&\text{My disposition depends on you}\quad \text{My disposition depends on you}\quad \text{Off the beat}\quad \text{on you}\quad \text{Off the beat} \\
&\text{I never mind the rain from the skies}\quad \text{I never mind the rain from the skies}\quad \text{Delayed: Off the beat} \\
&\text{As long as I can find that sunshine in your eyes}\quad \text{As long as I can find that sunshine in your eyes}\quad \text{Anticipated: Off the beat}
\end{align*}
\]
Appendix D

I never mind the rain from the skies

If I can find the sun in your eyes,

Sometimes I love you, sometimes I hate you.

But when I hate you, it's because I love you.
Appendix E

Sometimes I'm Happy

Original + CM #2

My disposition
depends on you

I never mind the rain from the skies

If I can find the sun in your eyes.

Some times I love you

Syncopation with delayed lyrics

Repetitive staccato motifs on one note

Expanded with syncopation but legato attack
Appendix F

Sometimes I'm Happy

Original + CM#1 & CM#2

Youmans/Caesar

as sung by Carmen McRae

1955/1965
Appendix G

Sometimes I'm Happy
SV#1 & SV#2 & CM#1 & CM#2

As sung by Sarah Vaughan
& Carmen McRae
1955/57/65

My disposition depends on you,
I never mind the rain from the skies.
Appendix H
Sometimes I'm Happy
SV#1 in Db major

Youmans/Caesar
as sung by Sarah Vaughan
1955 - Big Band

\[\text{Sometimes I'm happy} \quad \text{Sometimes I'm blue} \]

\[\text{My disposition depends on you} \]

\[\text{I never mind the rain from the skies} \]

\[\text{If I can find the sun in your eyes} \]

\[\text{Sometimes I love you} \quad \text{Sometimes I hate you} \]

\[\text{But when I hate you, it's 'cause I love you} \]

\[\text{That's how I am, so what can I do?} \]

\[\text{I'm happy when I'm with you} \]
Sometimes I'm happy, sometimes I'm blue.
My disposition depends on you.
I never mind the rain from the sky.
If I can find the sun in your eyes.

Sometimes I love you, sometimes I hate you.
But when I hate you, 'tis 'cause I love you.

That's how I am, so what can I do?
I'm always happy, so very happy.

I'm always happy when I'm with you.
Appendix J

Sometimes I'm Happy
SV#2 in Db major

*S occasionally

**occasionally

---

Youmans/ Caesar
as sung by Sarah Vaughan
1957

---

I'm__happy__ when I'm__ with you__
Some__

---

C__

- times_ I'm__ ha - py__
- times_ I'm blue.
Appendix J - SV#2 in Db major 1957

My disposition depends on you. I never mind the rain in the skies as long as there is sun in your eyes.

Sometimes I love you. Sometimes I hate you.

But when I hate you, it's 'Cause I love you. That's how I am so what can I do?

I'm always happy. So very happy.

I'm always happy when I'm with you.
Sometimes I'm Happy
CM #1 in Ab major

Youmans/Caesar
as sung by Carmen McRae
1955

Appendix K

As long as I can find that sunshine in your eyes

Some-times I love you Some-times I hate you.

But when I hate you it's because I love you.

That's how I am So what can I do?

Sometimes I'm happy when I'm with you.
Appendix K

Appendix K - CM61 in Ab major 1955

\[ \text{disposition depends on you.} \]

\[ \text{I never mind the rains from the skies.} \]

\[ \text{As long as I can find that sun-shine in your eyes.} \]

\[ \text{Sometimes I love you, baby. Then, again I hate you.} \]

\[ \text{But when I hate you, it's because I love you.} \]

\[ \text{That's how I am. So what, what can I do? I'm} \]

\[ \text{so happy when I'm with you. I'm So} \]

\[ \text{Happy when I'm with you.} \]
Appendix L

Sometimes I'm Happy

CM #2 in Ab major 1965

Yuamans/CAESAR
as sung by Carmen McRae
1965

A

Some-times I'm hap-py, some-times I'm bl-y-

My dis-po-si-tion de-pends up-on you-

never mind the rains from the skies

as long as I can find the sun shine in your ey-

some-times I love you and some-times I hate you

It's just be cause I love you

That's how I am so what can I do? Say-

I'm so happy when I'm with you

I'm Blue
Appendix L - CM#2 in Ab major 1965

My disposition it depends mainly on you

I never mind the rain from the skies

Just as long as I can find the sun shine in your eyes, some times I

love you baby and then again I hate you baby

Bu-ha-ha-hut when I uh Ha-ate you it's simply because I love you. That's how!

am that's how I am that is exactly how I am Say

I'm so happy, happy when I'm with you, Sha

N.C.

do be dolyadu shu duh do be do wap she do be dowoh Sha duh deep deep deep yah

shabadabey du ly-a da pmde pmdee bop sha duh du-ly-a du y-a de-yu day
Appendix M

Sometimes I'm Happy
SV#4 1963 - C Major

Youmans/Caesar
as sung by Sarah Vaughan
1963

Appendix M

[Music notation]

Sometimes I'm happy
Sometimes I'm blue

My disposition, baby, just depends on you. I never mind the rain in the skies.

As long as there is sun in your eyes.

Sometimes I love you
Sometimes I hate you

But when I hate you baby it's because I love you. Darling, that's how I am.

What can I do

I'm happy when I'm with you.

[Chorus]

[SCAT SOLO]

[Music notation]
Sometimes I'm Happy - SV#4

C6

Sometimes I love you. Sometimes I hate you.

Gm7

But when I hate you, baby, it's because I love you. Darling, that's how I am. What can I do?

Em7

I'm always happy. So very happy.

Dm7

I'm always happy when I'm with you.