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Abstract:

Although the goal of dysphagia rehabilitation is the same, population needs, clinical practice patterns, availability of resources, and dysphagia research varies greatly around the world. The goal of this review is to introduce the reader to the context in which dysphagia rehabilitation is practiced, to describe practice patterns, and to highlight the dysphagia research being performed in three distinct regions of the world: North America, New Zealand and Australia, and Japan.
Introduction

Swallowing is a basic function required for life. Eating is not only practical (i.e. obtaining the nutrients necessary for survival) but also social. Sharing meals with family and friends is almost universally necessary for personal interactions. As such, the goal of dysphagia rehabilitation is to ameliorate or eliminate the threat swallowing dysfunction is to physical health, quality of life, and participation in society.

Although the goal of dysphagia rehabilitation is the same, population needs, clinical practice patterns, availability of resources, and dysphagia research varies greatly around the world. The goal of this review is to introduce the reader to the context in which dysphagia rehabilitation is practiced, to describe practice patterns, and to highlight the dysphagia research being performed in three distinct regions of the world.

The North American Perspective

The evaluation and treatment of swallowing disorders is highly variable across North America. The availability of qualified professionals, the needs, and composition of the local population play a significant role in the provision of care. A dearth of qualified professionals in remote areas of the continent is a challenge to overcome. Geographical variation in the incidence of diseases that are likely to cause dysphagia is also important. For example, one of the challenges faced in the United States is the geographic variation in the incidence of dysphagia after stroke. (1, 2) The “stroke belt” encompasses the south east area of the country where stroke incidence is much higher than other areas. Thus, more trained professionals are necessary in those areas. Comparable to other countries in the developed world, the aging of
the population is presenting a challenge as the need for swallowing rehabilitation services is likely to continue increasing. Overall, since the early establishment of dysphagia rehabilitation as a field close to 40 years ago the quality and availability of trained clinicians has increased and the knowledge of the normal physiology and possible treatment options has also increased. Nonetheless dysphagia rehabilitation continues to be an area in need of further research.

**Healthcare funding**

Healthcare delivery is funded both publically and privately in North America. The United States has a private insurance system where each citizen is responsible for purchasing and maintaining their own healthcare insurance coverage. Most people in the US secure insurance through their employers. Both the federal and state governments provide coverage for people in financial hardship, people with disabilities, children, and the elderly. The coverage available to a particular person from public sources differs based on location of residence and personal circumstances. Generally speaking, the cost of traditional diagnostic and therapeutic interventions for dysphagia is covered by payors. The main difference between payors in the US is the duration of treatment covered. For example, Medicare (the federal program covering healthcare for retirees) has a therapy cap for physical therapy (PT) and speech-language pathology (SLP) services combined with the option of requesting an exception to the therapy cap limits based on the therapist documentation of services as medically reasonable and necessary. (3) In contrast, premier private insurance will cover all treatment sessions needed as long as they are deemed medically necessary. A new healthcare law, the Affordable Care Act, was approved in 2010. (4) This law modifies the way healthcare is funded starting in 2014.
Canada has a national system composed of 13 government-based provincial and territorial health insurance plans that share basic standards of coverage. Thus, availability of services is not limited by the person’s ability to afford medical care.

Delivery of care and Professional qualifications

Dysphagia rehabilitation is a relatively new field. One of the first papers on dysphagia rehabilitation was published in 1972. The first textbook in the area was published in 1983. The American Speech-Language-Hearing Association (ASHA) published its first report on dysphagia in 1987 providing guidelines for clinical training of speech-language pathologists and for treatment interventions (updated). A similar statement was published in 1995 by the Canadian Association Speech-Language Pathologist and Audiologists (CASLPA). Both ASHA and CASLPA have certification programs for Speech language pathologists (SLPs). SLPs progressively became the primary providers of dysphagia rehabilitation services. Currently, 91% of hospital based speech language pathologists provide dysphagia rehabilitation services regularly. Academic and professional organizations such as ASHA and CASLPA have been instrumental in developing training guidelines. Since 1995 SLPs with specialty in swallowing disorders can opt to be certified as Board Recognized Specialist in Swallowing (BRS-S).

Although SLPs provide the vast majority of dysphagia rehabilitation services in North America multidisciplinary rehabilitation teams are often necessary to bring complementary expertise to the treatment of swallowing disorders. Physicians (otorhinolaryngologists, gastroenterologists, physiatrists, and radiologists) contribute in the evaluation of swallowing (clinical and instrumental) and treatment interventions. Occupational therapists use their
expertise on activities of daily living to improve feeding behaviors and eating. Dieticians or nutritionists are also part of the team and provide recommendations to maintain appropriate caloric and nutritional intake when diet texture modifications or non-oral feeding is necessary.

Diagnosis and Management

The diagnosis and management of swallowing disorders is variable in North America. Availability of trained practitioners and resources are likely to be major contributors to this variability. Guidelines for evaluation and treatment have been developed by the main professional organizations in the field (ASHA, CASLPA). Within these guidelines there is great flexibility as to how evaluation and treatment is practically carried out. A treatment algorithm or recommended approach to the dysphagic patient is not prevalent.

Screening

Screening for dysphagia is common in hospital settings. In most hospitals, patients with neurologic disease (most prominently stroke) and with head and neck malignancies are screened routinely for swallowing dysfunction. The joint commission (the accreditation body for hospitals in the US) has included dysphagia as one of the core measures in the accreditation of stroke centers. (9)

The clinical practitioner who performs the screening varies greatly, nurses, physicians and SLPs being the ones who most commonly perform this evaluation. The tools available for screening vary greatly as well. Most screening tests combine a short evaluation of a patient’s
history and a water swallowing trial. Validated tools are often used for screening stroke patients. Table 1 provides a summary of validated tools and test characteristics.

**Assessment**

SLPs are the key players in dysphagia assessment in North America. Clinical (or bedside) swallowing evaluations performed by SLPs are most commonly the first intervention in the diagnosis of swallowing disorders. In Canada, it was reported that SLPs evaluated 71% -99% of patients using clinical assessments. (10, 11)

There is high variability in the elements included in a clinical swallowing evaluation but most include: 1) cranial nerve examination, 2) oral motor and sensory examination, and 3) oropharyngeal swallowing evaluation using several foods and liquids.

Mathers-Schmidt and colleagues (2003) reported that elements included in more than 90% of clinical swallowing evaluations are: patient history, assessment of vocal quality (pre/post swallow), adequacy of lip seal, adequacy of dentition, presence of volitional cough, judgment of efficiency of oral movements, structural/functional oral motor examination, judgment of pharyngeal delay, adequacy/strength of laryngeal excursion, patient perception of problem, and assessment of mental status.(12) Conversely, elements reported less frequently include (in descending order): assessment of speech function, assessment of language abilities, trials with compensatory techniques, assessment of sensory function, presence/strength of gag reflex, cervical auscultation, or indirect laryngoscopy. An earlier report by McCullough and colleagues (1999) reported that elements of history, voice, oral motor function and trial
swallows were used by the majority of SLPs but the specific elements differed when compared to the aforementioned study. (13)

It has been reported that most SLP’s in the US use self-developed or facility-developed assessment strategies (44%), while published peer-reviewed tools or published tools with confirmed validity are used by 37% and 29% of practitioners respectively. (14)

Invariably, instrumental evaluation of swallowing is used. Videofluorography (VF) is the most available and used in North America; Approximately 36% and 40% of clinical evaluations are followed by VF in Canada and the USA respectively. (10, 14) In the US commercially available barium products are available in several consistencies for use in VF swallowing studies (Varibar). In Canada, texture preparation protocols or commercially available product are not common. (10) ASHA has published guidelines for the use of instrumental assessment of dysphagia. (15) Most SLPs report that thin liquid trials are important/essential during VF but the use of thick liquids or solids, measures of time duration, penetration/aspiration rating or oropharyngeal swallow efficiency is variable. (13) When surveyed, more than 90% of certified SLPs in Canada indicated that evaluation of bolus manipulation and propulsion, oropharyngeal swallow initiation, general bolus control, laryngeal motion, swallow triggering, penetration or aspiration, response to penetration or aspiration (including effectiveness of response), structural abnormalities, nasal regurgitation, and presence of bolus residue were definitely important during instrumental evaluation of swallowing. (10)

Other instrumental evaluations such as fiberoptic endoscopic evaluation of swallowing (FEES) and manometry are also used but not as commonly or consistently as VF.
Therapy/Management

A recent study attempting to describe practice patterns in the USA surveyed 254 SLPs using a video supplemented clinical vignette. In that study, forty-seven treatment techniques and 90 different treatment combinations were recommended for the same clinical case. Based on the case presented in the aforementioned study, recommended swallowing rehabilitation techniques included (ascending order): thermal tactile application, oral bolus trials, Masako maneuver, vocal cord adduction, head turn, super supraglottic swallow, Mendelsohn maneuver, tongue base retraction, oromotor exercises, effortful swallow, laryngeal elevation exercises, Shaker exercises, and neuromuscular electrical stimulation. The same group of SLPs reported that typical length of dysphagia therapy is approximately 30 minutes daily during which 4 or more therapy techniques are used.

Research

The National Institute on Deafness and other Communication Disorders (NIDCD – a part of the US National Institutes of Health) is the main body funding dysphagia research the US. Currently, 22 active proposals addressing swallowing disorders are reported by the NIH of which 55% are funded by NIDCD. There is research being done in several areas from basic to translational and clinical research. Research areas include screening and evaluation methods, animal models of dysphagia and nerve injury, oral sensation during swallowing, neural models of swallowing control, aging effects on swallowing function, the use of non-invasive brain stimulation for dysphagia rehabilitation, and the use of manometry in the diagnosis of dysphagia among others. The main body bringing together dysphagia researchers...
in North America is the Dysphagia Research Society (DRS). Although bringing in researchers from all over the world (during the last meeting 22 countries were represented) the majority of attendees are from North America with approximately 75% of attendees to the 2013 meeting being from the US and Canada.(17)

The Antipodean Perspective

The term ‘Antipodean’ is used to casually refer to inhabitants of New Zealand and Australia, with these countries being geographically opposite to the northern hemisphere and more specifically, the Mother country of Britain. Although this term may suite us well as we cling to the underside of the globe, its root – antipode – is not a fitting descriptor of practices in dysphagia management. Indeed, dysphagia management in this far corner of the earth is more similar than different to that of colleagues in the northern hemisphere.

Healthcare funding

Best practice in any area of medicine is dictated not only by empirically derived research that informs of patient outcomes, but is also heavily shaded by resource issues. Health care funding in New Zealand relied exclusively on a national health system until the mid- to late- 20th century, with healthcare reforms resulting in a partial shift in funding to private insurance. Private health insurance is optional and non-obliged, primarily used to bypass waiting lists associated with a national health system. A unique feature of health care in New Zealand is the government funded Accident Compensation Corporation that covers all costs associated with accidental injury for citizens, residents and visitors. This coverage virtually eliminates personal
injury legislation, including medical misadventure, as all injury is considered no-fault and
receives full insurance coverage. The Australian health care system is jointly funded by
government and private sector involvement, providing universal access to health care while
allowing individual choice in accessing services. Private health insurance is encouraged and
subsidized by the government and special initiatives are in place to encourage subscription to
private health cover earlier in life.

As a point of comparison, 2011 data from The World Health Organization Global Health
Expenditure database (18) cites 10% of the gross domestic product (GDP) of New Zealand to
total health care expenditure, with 83% of this attributed to general government expenditure.
Although the Australian system is similar in total health expenditure at 9% GDP, the proportion
attributed to government expenditure is considerably lower at 69%. A further point of
comparison to US data reveals a much higher total expenditure of 18% GDP, with the
government expenditure much lower at 46%. These broader contextual data reflect what these
authors consider to be a feature of service delivery in Australia and New Zealand: overall there
may be fewer resources, but there may also be fewer restrictions on how these resources are
allocated.

Delivery of care and Professional qualifications

Service delivery for oropharyngeal dysphagia diagnosis and management generally falls
most heavily on the speech pathology profession, although, as in most regions, service delivery
is supported by involvement of multidisciplinary teams. Several specialty clinics for addressing
swallowing impairment through a strong medical model are scattered across both countries
with great contributions by gastroenterology, otorhinolaryngology and nursing. This model of service delivery appears consistent with other western countries.

Professional qualification

Speech pathologists in Australia and New Zealand initiate clinical practice with a four-year clinical Bachelors degree or, more recently in both countries, a two-year graduate entry clinical Masters degree. Dysphagia curriculum in university programs ranges broadly from a very few hours of teaching within the context of another course (e.g. motor speech disorders) to on-line courses wherein didactic lectures are replaced by a series of task completions, to two full semester courses encompassing up to 50 hours of didactic lecture per course. The prevalence of problem-based learning is increasing steadily as research supports this approach as a viable method for medical education (19). In both countries, practicing clinicians are required to demonstrate entry-level competency in the diagnosis and management of pediatric and adult swallowing impairments within the framework of the competency-based standards for speech pathologists. Accreditation of University Speech Pathology programs by the relevant professional associations is dependent on adherence to these standards. There is currently under consideration a post-graduate Master of Science in Dysphagia at a University in New Zealand that would encourage specialty multi-disciplinary training across professions.

Diagnosis and Management

A recent informal survey of clinicians within Australia and New Zealand was used to confirm the authors’ impressions of clinical practice patterns within these countries. A response
rate of 140 responses within 48 hours suggests that clinicians in this part of the world are interested and invested in clinical work in this area. The range of responding clinicians to this non-scientific survey was characteristic of the presence of a few large cities (in each state of Australia and in the entirety of New Zealand), surrounded by vast rural, and slightly populated regions.

**Screening**

Nursing screening procedures are routinely used in hospitals as a means of referral for acute swallowing assessment in the stroke population. This has, in recent years, been strongly recommended by Stroke Advocacy Groups and government agencies in both countries (20, 21).

However, a National Acute Stroke Audit in 2009 found only 57% of stroke patients in New Zealand received a swallowing screen prior to commencing oral intake (20). The 2011 National Stroke Audit of acute services in Australia demonstrated a similar situation, with one-third of stroke patients not receiving swallowing screening before commencing oral intake. Patients presenting with stroke at larger hospitals were more likely to receive a swallowing screening (21). These data, paired with the emergence of data from a recent clinical trial that suggested a worryingly high pneumonia rate in the dysphagic stroke population (22), has resulted in a push to refine and standardize clinical procedures. Currently there is no standard screening procedure for identification of swallowing impairment across New Zealand or Australia, with clinicians reportedly using a variety of published and unpublished protocols. Screening procedures are currently being refined, with hopes to standardize these procedures. One such example is the recent establishment of The Victorian Dysphagia Screening Model, which is
being implemented to ensure that all patients presenting with stroke at hospitals in the
Australian State of Victoria undergo a standardized, evidence-based swallowing screening
before commencing oral intake (23). In New Zealand, a new nursing screening protocol, which
incorporates cough reflex testing as a component of the screening, has been implemented
across a number of the main medical centers and is currently under evaluation for reliability
and validity. The capacity to efficiently translate research into modification of clinical practice is
a definite benefit of a somewhat small community of practitioners in this part of the world.

Assessment

Diagnosis and management of the dysphagic patient in the acute hospital setting
appears consistent with international trends. Dysphagia management services are routinely
provided for the care of patients across diagnostic etiologies, including both pediatric and adult
populations, and in general medical wards, specialized diagnostic units and intensive care units.
Services may be continued through outpatient clinics and home based community clinical
teams that service chronic care facilities. Referrals to speech language pathology are generated
most frequently as ad hoc referrals by physicians or other members of the multidisciplinary
team, or as the result of routine screening for swallowing impairment on admission.

Clinical swallowing evaluation (CSE) continues to be the mainstay of evaluation
practices. This assessment consists primarily of evaluation of cranial nerve function and
observation of oral ingestive behavior. Less routinely, the CSE includes a formal cognitive
communication screening, cough reflex testing (CRT), cervical auscultation and pulse oximetry.
Quantitative measures such as the Timed Test of Swallowing (TTS) (24) and the Test of
Mastication and Swallowing of Solids (TOMASS, authors’ data pending publication) are used inconsistently and at a limited number of facilities. Use of the CRT, TTS, and TOMASS appear more frequently engaged in New Zealand due to local research being undertaken in this area. Videofluoroscopic swallowing study (VFSS) is the most frequently executed instrumental diagnostic examination and is fairly readily available in most urban areas. Position papers by the New Zealand (25) and Australian (26) professional associations support the completion of the VFSS by the speech pathologist and radiographer only, with the caveat that a qualified radiologist is available to review the exam if questions are raised regarding anatomy. This has allowed for greater flexibility in scheduling exams given radiology resource constraints. Given the rural nature of both countries, however, the VFSS continues to be difficult to access in smaller facilities with clinicians relying on CSE for management decisions. The use of videoendoscopic evaluation of swallowing (VEES) is increasing, however this technique is only employed by speech pathologists for dysphagia assessment in approximately 15% of facilities. Pharyngeal manometry and ultrasound are very rarely used in clinical routines with application limited to specialist clinics.

**Management**

Management of the dysphagic patient is varied with clear evidence that leading clinicians are keeping abreast of emerging trends in practice but new techniques have not yet filtered into routine practice. Compensatory management of swallowing impairment continues to lead the way with a heavy reliance on diet modification. Structured oral hygiene programs for the patient with swallowing impairment are not common, although most consider it an
important part of patient care. In most settings, this basic care is provided most frequently by nursing staff. There is some increased recognition of the role of oral hygiene in reducing risk of pulmonary compromise, with research underway in New Zealand to investigate this more carefully in the stroke population.

Rehabilitation practices are primarily limited to execution of neuromuscular exercises without the use of exteroceptive biofeedback, in most cases. For clinicians who provide rehabilitation services, intensive treatment of up to five sessions weekly is frequently scheduled, however this treatment is not necessarily direct face to face contact and may reflect home programming recommendations. This likely reflects the more adaptable nature of national health care systems with less emphasis on funding issues. Lee Silverman Voice Training is infrequently implemented and Expiratory Muscle Strength Training has barely made an entrance to clinical practice. A notable difference to other countries, neuromuscular electrical stimulation has not emerged into routine clinical practice in these two countries. The professional organizations for speech pathologists in both countries have ratified position papers that currently discourage the use of this treatment approach in routine clinical practice and promote limiting its implementation to research contributing to the evidence base until further research becomes available to ensure safety and treatment effect (27, 28, 29).

Neuromodulatory brain stimulation techniques, such as transcranial magnetic stimulation or transcranial direct current stimulation, have also not yet moved into clinical practice in these countries (30).

Research
In both countries, a vibrant multi-disciplinary research community addresses questions in basic science and clinical arenas. Research is being conducted in both adult and pediatric settings investigating all facets of dysphagia diagnosis and management, including the development and implementation of novel screening and intervention approaches, investigation of neural substrates of healthy and impaired swallowing, nutritional and social aspects of dietary intake as well as evaluation and improvement of current best practice. Despite increasing limitations in research funding and challenges imposed by geographical distance and research infrastructure, research conducted in Australia and New Zealand meets high scientific standards and is consistently presented at international scientific meetings and published in peer reviewed journals. For example, across the last decade research abstracts submitted from Australian and New Zealand research groups constituted nearly 6% of presentations at the Annual Meetings of the Dysphagia Research Society (31).

In summary, the community of clinicians and researchers committed to the ever-progressing development of best practice for patients with swallowing impairment is alive and well in the Antipodes. As academic programs expand, a greater number of research-trained clinicians will emerge into the region, ensuring continuing improvements in service delivery through reflective clinical practice and clinically relevant research. The relatively small population of clinicians and national health systems allows for fairly rapid integration of clinical research into the provision of evidenced-based diagnostic and management services. The relative ‘youth’ of both countries is evident only in the enthusiasm to strive for best practice.

An Asian Perspective: Japan
Aging is a looming threat in Japan. While the total population is decreasing, people aged 65 or older will comprise 39.4% of the Japanese population by 2055. Under these circumstances, dysphagia-related deaths have been increasing. Pneumonia is the third cause of the death overall and the leading cause of death for people 85 years of age or older. Approximately 60% of elderly patients admitted to hospitals with pneumonia were reported to have aspiration related pneumonia. Moreover, asphyxiation accounts for a high proportion of “freak accidents”, the fifth cause of death in Japan. Based on a 2012 study, patients with dysphagia represent 13.8%, 31.6%, and 59% of patients in general hospitals, rehabilitation hospitals and long-term care hospitals respectively. Because aspiration pneumonia and asphyxiation are closely related to dysphagia, dysphagia rehabilitation and treatment has become very important in Japan.

Healthcare funding

Japan’s health indices such as life expectancy at birth are among the best in the world, but the proportion of gross domestic product spent on health is 20th (8.5%) among Organization for Economic Co-operation and Development countries in 2008 and half as much as that in the US. Japan has achieved good population health at low cost. Universal coverage started in 1961 and virtually all Japanese people are covered by social health insurance, through 3,500 plans according to where they are employed or where they reside. Patients usually pay 30% of the medical costs, but elderly people aged 75 or older pay 10%. In addition, medical subsidy is provided for people in financial hardship, people with disabilities, infants and children. Moreover, the monthly burden of individual medical care
expenses is limited to a fixed sum. However, any rehabilitation including dysphagia is time-limited for cost containment. For example, stroke rehabilitation is covered by medical insurance for 180 days after onset.

Delivery of care and professional qualification

Speech-language -hearing therapist (SLHT) are the main practitioners providing direct treatment for dysphagia. The national licensing system of SLHT in Japan was established by law in 1997, and the first national license exam was administered by the Ministry of Health, Labor and Welfare in 1999. Education for SLHT is offered at the post-high school level at universities, institutes for college graduates, and institutes for high-school graduates. Dysphagia is a compulsory subject in the curriculum which ranges from 30 to 60 hours. In addition to SLHT, many medical professions are involved in the dysphagia rehabilitation team including physicians, dentists, nurses, physical therapists, occupational therapists, dental hygienists, dieticians, and social workers. In Japan, participation of dentists in dysphagia rehabilitation is high, dentists providing expertise particularly in dental prostheses and oral care. However, there are still a small number of healthcare settings where all disciplines are available to engage in the rehabilitation of patients.

Thus, transdisciplinary teamwork models, where the patient’s presenting needs are treated by the available medical practitioners and tasks are assigned, is common in Japan. The composition of a team determines the roles and responsibilities of each practitioner. Since the role of each practitioner is not fixed, the team needs to hold and share fundamental clinical objectives and procedural pathways that are jointly executed by the individual team members,
complementary to the formal expertise, knowledge, and skills of each member. To accomplish this aim, the Japanese Society of Dysphagia Rehabilitation was established in 1994 (38) and now has more than 10,000 members (figure 1). In 2009, the Society started an e-learning and practitioner certification system to standardize and expand the knowledge of practitioners.

An example of the transdisciplinary approach is the dysphagia rehabilitation team at Fujita Health University. The swallowing team is comprised of dysphagia-certified nurses, physiatrists, dentists, SLHTs, dental hygienists, dieticians, and ward nurses who participate in swallowing rounds three times a week. The certified nurse plays a key role in the team as they are closely evaluating patients constantly. Dysphagia treatment is performed by SLHTs and ward nurses. Physiatrists or dentists perform videoendoscopic evaluation of swallowing (VE) during rounds, as needed, and team members discuss and decide on appropriate diet and treatment recommendations.

Diagnosis and Management

Screening

Several screening tests for detecting dysphagia are used in Japan. These are followed by clinical evaluation and instrumental evaluation such as VE or videofluoroscopic examination of swallowing (VF) when suspected dysphagia is identified by screening.

During the Repetitive saliva swallowing test (RSST) patients are instructed to perform saliva (dry) swallows as many times as possible in 30 seconds. Fewer than three swallows in 30 seconds are evaluated abnormal. The sensitivity and specificity of the RSST to detect aspiration as diagnosed by VF are 0.98 and 0.66, respectively. (39, 40).
Two water swallowing tests are commonly used in Japan; 30ml water swallowing test and modified water swallowing test (MWST). A 30ml water swallowing test assesses swallowing by asking the patient to swallow 30ml of room temperature water by cup. The number of swallows and coughing are scored using five-point scale and other behaviors during swallowing (sipping, leakage from lip etc.) are recorded. (41) The MWST assesses swallowing and aspiration: 3ml of cold water are placed in the mouth using a syringe and patients are instructed to swallow followed by two saliva swallows. Breathing, coughing, and voice quality are scored using five-point scale. The sensitivity and specificity to detect aspiration as diagnosed by VF are 0.70 and 0.88, respectively when the cut off score is 3. (42)

The 4g pudding test is used to screen for solid food dysphagia. Administration and scoring is nearly identical to the protocol of the MWST. A 4g of pudding are placed on the dorsum of the tongue with spoon and the patient is instructed to swallow. In addition to the breathing, cough, and voice quality, oral residue is also evaluated. The sensitivity and specificity of this screening test to detect aspiration as diagnosed by VF are 0.72 and 0.62, respectively when the cut off score is 4. (42)

The Simple two-step swallowing-provocation test (STS-SPT) is used to assess swallowing response time. Distilled water is injected into the suprathyroid of patients thorough a nasal catheter in supine position. The first step is a 0.4ml injection followed by a 2.0ml second step. The time from water injection to the onset of swallowing is measured. A mean of 3 seconds or more is considered abnormal. The sensitivity and specificity of STS-SPT for the detection of patients with aspiration pneumonia were reported to be 1.00 and 0.83, respectively, for the first-step and 0.67 and 1.00, respectively, for the second step. (43)
The Cough test is used to assess airway protection. Patients orally inhale a 1% w/v mist of citric acid-physiological saline using a nebulizer. Coughing 4 times or less is considered indicative of silent aspiration. The sensitivity and specificity of this test to detect silent aspiration as diagnosed by VE were 0.87 and 0.89, respectively. A simplified cough test was developed using a portable nebulizer. Absence of a cough in the first 30 seconds from the start of inhalation is an indicator of silent aspiration. The sensitivity and specificity to detect silent aspiration as diagnosed by VE were 0.92 and 0.94, respectively. Cough test can be performed on patients who have difficulty following instructions. By combining the cough test and MWST, detection of silent aspirator was reported to increase. (44)

Because these tests are less invasive and do not need expensive instruments, they are frequently used to evaluate swallowing function and changes in function. RSST is recommended in the basic checklist of the preventive care medical checkup by ministry of health, labor and welfare for people over 65 years old. (45) In addition, RSST is useful to detect dysphagia associated with exacerbations in patients with chronic obstructive pulmonary disease. (46)

Assessment

Physicians, SLHT, and Nurses perform clinical diagnosis of dysphagia in Japan. Guidelines for evaluation have been proposed by the Japanese Society of Dysphagia Rehabilitation. (47) The guidelines suggest, at a minimum, eight items for clinical evaluation: 1) cognition, 2) observation of eating, 3) range of motion of head and neck, 4) dental prosthesis
and oral hygiene, 5) oral motor and sensory examination, 6) phonation and articulation, 7) pulmonary function, and 8) nutrition and hydration. VF and VE are performed if further evaluation is necessary. According to the clinical evaluation along with etiology, general state, and/or the instrumental diagnosis, severity of dysphagia is evaluated with the Dysphagia Severity Scale (48) or the Grade of swallowing ability (49) to inform treatment decisions and goals.

**Management**

Usual rehabilitation techniques in Japan include: chin down, head turn, reclining position, thermal tactile application, K-point stimulation, Masako’s maneuver, supraglottic swallow, super supraglottic swallow, Mendelsohn maneuver, effortful swallow, and Shaker exercises.(50) In addition, the need and importance of appropriate oral care has been recognized as essential. Oral care is sometimes the main and only intervention for swallowing rehabilitation in hospitals and institutions with understaffing. The Japanese Dental Association has worked to promote the commitment of dentists and dental hygienists to actively intervene in oral care for disabled people. Dentist and dental hygienist also teach essential oral care to patients, caregivers and other practitioners and promote the use of oral care every day after each meal. Formal studies in disabled elderly nursing home populations reported that oral care reduced the incidence of pneumonia.(51, 52)

Food texture modification methods have been developed and commercialized in Japan. Most recently, iEat products (EN Otsuka, Hanamaki, Japan) has been drawing attention.(53) Using the enzyme homogeneous permeation technique, food texture can be precisely designed...
and modified. As an example, the hardness of a beef steak is converted from 160.4 to 5.3
($10^4$N/m$^2$) and adhesiveness is converted from 295.1 to 1.2 ($10^2$J/m$^3$)[23]. These changes are
made while maintaining the usual appearance of the food being modified. Availability of
various modified but familiar foods in clinical situations improves patients’ quality of life.

Laryngeal suspension surgery has been used following certain cancers of the tongue,
larynx and pharynx. In Japan, this type of surgery is also used for severe dysphagia (not due to
cancer). The thyroid cartilage, hyoid bone and/or mandible are sutured by threads or wires.

Pulling the larynx upward and forward is accompanied by strengthening the laryngeal elevation,
facilitating the closure of the laryngeal vestibule and opening the upper esophagus sphincter
(UES). Patients are trained to use a head extension and neck flexion posture to open the UES
after the surgery. A cricopharyngeal myotomy is often performed simultaneously.

Research

Multiple research topics are being evaluated in Japan from basic to clinical research.
They include electrical stimulation, transcranial magnetic stimulation, tongue pressure,
manometry etc. One of the advancements in the past 5 years is research using 320-row area
detector CT (320-ADCT).[55, 56] With the advantage of visualization of swallowing motion with
continuous three dimensional images and its ability for quantification, it is possible to perform
the precise kinematic analysis of swallowing. As for temporal analysis of swallowing, the effect
of bolus viscosity on laryngeal closure was published in 2012 [57], and most recently in 2013
the analysis of hyoid muscle length with the trajectory of hyoid bone was published.[58]
Conclusion

The three perspectives presented on the context of care, evaluation, and management of swallowing dysfunction are more similar than they are different. Nonetheless, differences in practice patterns between regions of the world are evident. Collaboration between different areas of the world for research has the potential to significantly enrich knowledge and help develop best-practice models. Regardless of the region of the world, it is evident that great attention is placed on the care of people with swallowing dysfunction and that all involved are committed to improve their function and participation in society.
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Figure 1. Membership of the Japanese Society of Dysphagia Rehabilitation (JSDR) and constitution of the members.

JSDR is a transdisciplinary society established in 1995. Members have been constantly increasing and it was up to 10,328 in 2013.

SLHT: Speech-Language-Hearing Therapist, DH: Dental hygienist; PT: Physical Therapist, OT: Occupational Therapist
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Test</th>
<th>Gold standard for validation</th>
<th>Includes Clinical Evaluation</th>
<th>Includes Sensory testing</th>
<th>Water Trial protocol</th>
<th>Sensitivity</th>
<th>Specificity</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Burke Dysphagia Screening Test</td>
<td>VFSS</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>3oz Water swallow</td>
<td>88</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Standardized Swallowing Assessment</td>
<td>VFSS</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1. 5ml X 3</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2. Cup drinking</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Timed tests of Hinds and Wiles</td>
<td>Symptom Quest.</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1. 5-10 ml</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2. 100-150 ml</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bedside swallow assessment</td>
<td>VFSS/CE</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>1. 5 ml X 3</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>66</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2. 60 ml</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Toronto Bedside Swallowing Screening Test</td>
<td>VFSS</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>1. 5ml swallow X 10</td>
<td>91.3</td>
<td>66.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOR-BSST©</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>2. Cup sip</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Clinical examination</td>
<td>VFSS</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>67</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Modified Mann Assessment of Swallowing</td>
<td>MASA</td>
<td>Yes</td>
<td>No</td>
<td>N/A</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>