

1 [Category: Debates]

2 Prepared for What? Addressing the disaster readiness gap beyond preparedness
3 for survival

4 Monica E. Gowan, PhD

5 Jeff A. Sloan, PhD^a

6 Ray C. Kirk, PhD^a

7

8 **Author Affiliations:** Independent Consultant (Dr Gowan,
9 monica.gowan@gmail.com), Department of Health Sciences Research (Professor
10 J. Sloan, jsloan@mayo.edu), Mayo Clinic, Rochester, Minnesota, USA and School
11 of Health Sciences (Associate Professor Kirk, ray.kirk@canterbury.ac.nz), College
12 of Education, Health and Human Development, University of Canterbury,
13 Christchurch, New Zealand.

14

15 ^a These authors contributed equally to this work.

16 Corresponding Author: Associate Professor Ray Kirk, ray.kirk@canterbury.ac.nz

17 Text word count: 1998

18 Abstract word count: 345

19 No. of tables: 0

20 No. of figures: 1

21 Additional Material files: 0

22 Running title: Addressing the disaster readiness gap beyond preparedness for
23 survival

24

25

26

27

28

29

30

31

32

33

34

35

36

37

38

39

40

41

42

43

Abstract

45 **Background:** Conventional disaster preparedness messaging focuses largely on
46 promoting survival actions and communications planning for the immediate post-
47 disaster period. While such preparedness is vital, we have long-observed a gap in
48 preventive medicine and disaster planning for building personal resilience –
49 *preventatively* – to persevere through prolonged recovery timeframes. There are
50 many helpful attitudes and behaviors that people can develop to increase their
51 readiness and capacity for drastic life changes, encompassing not only health-
52 protective preparedness actions but health-promoting attitudes for “minding the
53 risk” and “practicing resilience” as well. For instance, quality of life assessments
54 and well-being interventions are widely-known for the clinically significant
55 improvements they can produce in patient-reported outcomes. Similarly, health
56 promotion interventions are implemented preventatively when a risk is identified
57 yet a disease is not present, and can provide health benefits throughout people’s
58 lives, regardless of the type of adversities they eventually encounter (medical,
59 environmental, or other).

60 **Discussion:** We argue there is an overlooked opportunity to leverage well-being
61 theories and methods from clinical settings and public health practice for the
62 purpose of preventatively boosting disaster readiness and bolstering capacity for
63 long-term resilience. We also highlight our previously-published research
64 indicating a role for integrating personal meaning into preparedness messages. This
65 is an opportune time for applying well-being concepts and practices as tools for
66 developing disaster readiness, as risk awareness grows through real-time tracking
67 of hazardous events via social media. For example, two sudden-onset disasters
68 occurred within ten days of each other in 2014 and caught worldwide attention for
69 their extreme hazards, despite dramatic differences in scale. The 22 March 2014
70 landslide tragedy in Washington State, USA, and the 1 April 2014 Chilean
71 earthquake and Pacific-wide tsunami alerts brought home how persistently
72 vulnerable we all are, and how developing intrinsic personal readiness for
73 scientifically-known risks before disaster unfolds is essential policy.

74 **Summary:** Gap programming that addresses personal readiness challenges in
75 prevention timeframes could save lives and costs. We contend that bridging this
76 readiness gap will prevent situations where people, communities, and systems

77 survive the initial impact, but their resilience trajectories are vulnerable to the
78 challenges of long-haul recovery.

79 **Keywords:** Disaster resilience; Evacuation; Hazards; Health promotion; Health
80 outcomes; Natural disasters; Preparedness; Prevention; Risk perception; Self-
81 management

82

83

84

85

86

87

88

89

90

91

92

93

94

95

96

97

98

99

Background

100

101 Disaster preparedness messaging typically targets the most vital needs that arise
102 during an extreme event and promotes resilience for the immediate post-disaster
103 period. There certainly is a need for continued capacity-building to save lives,
104 treat trauma, and to prepare people to be on their own during service delivery
105 interruptions. Further, there is a well-established body of research and clinical
106 practice on secondary and tertiary treatment (for morbid and co-morbid conditions,
107 including Post Traumatic Stress Disorder) that has clearly led to improved
108 outcomes for countless people and will continue to be extremely important.
109 However, we have long observed a gap in preventive medicine and disaster
110 planning for building adaptive capacity [1] in the preparedness phase, especially
111 attitudes and behaviors that can help people persevere through prolonged recovery
112 timeframes.

113 Indeed, those of us in the field, and those with disaster experience, are especially
114 aware of what a long haul recovery can be—sometimes up to a decade or longer.
115 A predominant focus on disaster’s onset and immediate aftermath can thus create a
116 conundrum, namely: *what is it, exactly, that we are preparing for?* Is it solely to
117 survive, maintain services, and manage livelihoods with minimal disruption? [2]
118 Or is it to transcend shattered expectations and profound uncertainties as well?
119 Survivorship presents a new reality, along with potentially unanticipated
120 challenges that can inhibit resilient recovery. For example, insurance risk burdens
121 are increasingly transferred to individuals. [3] Other situational stressors may be
122 outside of one’s control, such as displacement or even social pressures to “bounce
123 back” or return to “normal.” Cultivating the ability to be risk-aware, accepting of
124 irreversible change, and capable of exercising human agency to select adaptive
125 attitudes and behaviors can lead to personal resilience as a process and outcome.

126 This is the core purpose of health promotion, enabling all people to increase
127 control over and to improve their health. [4]

128 **Discussion**

129 In 2014, two dramatic geophysical events occurred within ten days of each other,
130 the 22 March 2014 Washington State, USA, landslide [5], and the 1 April 2014
131 magnitude 8.2 Chilean earthquake [6], focusing worldwide public attention in real-
132 time via social media on the capriciousness of natural hazards.

133 In Washington’s “Oso Landslide” (Figure 1), a saturated hillslope collapsed in an
134 area of previously-known landslide activity [7]; muddy debris swiftly buried an
135 entire rural neighborhood of 49 homes and 43 people were lost. This unusually
136 mobile slide [8] further dammed a river, caused flooding, spawned a mandatory
137 downstream evacuation, and closed road access to the upstream communities. In
138 Chile’s “Iquique Earthquake,” only 6 people perished, but nearly 1 million were
139 evacuated along coastal Chile and Peru, experiencing extreme circumstances,
140 personal distress, and for thousands, prolonged displacement. [9] Tsunami
141 warnings were issued for the Latin American Pacific coastline (Figure 1). Hawaii
142 was under a tsunami advisory for over 13 hours. Japan recorded 60 cm-high wave
143 effects 2 days later. [6]

144 **Minding the risk**

145 These two events accentuated several readiness gaps and highlighted the following
146 realities:

- 147 • No person, place or thing is invulnerable to disaster, simply by virtue of
148 being in the wrong place at the wrong time;

- 149 • Disaster preparedness is more than preparing to survive an event; it is also
150 building capacities in people to adapt—attitudinally and behaviorally—to
151 future catastrophic transformations in their landscape, built environment,
152 and everyday life;
- 153 • Disasters frequently and suddenly displace people from home and
154 workplaces, sometimes never to return; this can profoundly change lives and
155 livelihoods, especially for socially vulnerable populations;
- 156 • Personal costs and timelines for recovery are often ‘unthinkable’ or difficult
157 to anticipate, introducing a high degree of uncertainty;
- 158 • Ruination does not require a large-scale event (e.g., the near-complete burial
159 of the small rural neighborhood by the Oso Landslide), and less-than
160 expected consequences do not preclude significant life disruptions (e.g., low
161 rates of mortality yet wide-scale displacement in Chile).

162 Natural disasters will keep happening. On 16 September 2015, the magnitude 8.3
163 “Illapel Earthquake” struck Chile, killing at least 15. [10] Once again, evacuation
164 affected about 1 million people and Pacific-wide tsunami warnings were issued.

165 Notwithstanding significant advances in tsunami warning systems over the last
166 decade, continued improvements in seismic safety codes, and better survival and
167 evacuation planning, there is still ample room for improving how people learn and
168 think about disaster risk, uncertainty, and resilience, and what they do to reduce
169 their vulnerability. The persistence of the disaster experience and perceptual errors
170 of risk lead us to reason that health promotion interventions are imperative for: 1)
171 exorcising people’s commonly-held erroneous beliefs that they are less likely than
172 others to experience misfortune [11] (e.g., “false optimism”); 2) solidifying
173 commitment to readiness for low-probability high-consequence events; and 3)
174 developing personal resilience that can transcend the event timeframe.

175 Fortuitously, access to risk information has grown dramatically through social
176 media and the Internet over the last ten years and the public appetite for relevant,
177 timely natural hazards information is increasingly robust. Research has also
178 emerged indicating that personal preferences play a strong role in precautionary
179 behavior leading to long-term hazard adjustments. [11,12] These trends support an
180 argument that thinking about risk must be personalized and that health promotion
181 is a valuable approach for building personal resilience.

182 **An example from the evidence base: New Zealand evacuation preparedness**

183 Baseline quantitative data provide much-needed evidence for developing indicators
184 and offer support for promoting resilience preventatively. [13] We refer the reader
185 to our previous research, a survey of the general adult population (n=695) in
186 Wellington, New Zealand, on their evacuation preparedness for earthquake and
187 tsunami disaster. [14] Inferential analyses indicated significant positive
188 associations among health-related quality of life and well-being; the strongest
189 correlations with preparedness actions were evident with emotional and spiritual
190 well-being. Overall health and well-being explained 5-7% of the variance in
191 evacuation preparedness. Spiritual well-being was a statistically significant unique
192 predictor of evacuation preparedness. Preparedness was independent of gender
193 and increased only slightly with age.

194 These results indicate a need for policies and practices that promote engagement in
195 *personally meaningful* health-protective actions in advance of disaster. Taking this
196 stance also offers an opportunity: leveraging people's individual strengths and
197 resources, while helping them learn and think about how to live with risk and
198 uncertainty, may empower them to develop lifelong adaptive capacities. Further,

199 evidence suggests that preparing for an uncertainty, even one that does not
200 eventually transpire, can produce substantial and meaningful outcomes. [15]

201 **Preventive practice and promoting readiness**

202 We can go beyond the scope of current practices for survival and economic
203 recovery to a broader horizon of readiness by integrating the properties of human
204 agency—intentionality, forethought, self-regulation, and self-reflection—into
205 disaster planning. [16] This will require personal risk awareness *and* clear
206 pathways towards personally meaningful choices for individual well-being and
207 readiness. When people are empowered to use their resources to confront natural
208 forces and external challenges and move forward positively, stronger foundations
209 for disaster resilient societies can result. A real-time cultural example emerged
210 during the 2015 Illapel Earthquake: the Chilean approach of promoting calm
211 (“tranquilo”) during intense seismic shaking. [17] This is not to say that failing to
212 take protective action is advised, but that Chileans are aware they have a choice
213 about how to respond and that remaining calm is recognized as beneficial and
214 adaptive.

215 A window of opportunity is present, now further reinforced by global coverage of
216 the Illapel Earthquake, for health professionals to intercede with comprehensive
217 readiness programs. Moreover, aftereffects persist for survivors of the Iquique
218 Earthquake, Oso Landslide, and other Pacific Rim disasters, such as the ongoing
219 Canterbury Earthquake Sequence in New Zealand. [18] People become particularly
220 attuned to risks and engage in self-protective actions after profound or recent
221 disaster experiences. [19] We add our voice to those who call for addressing *how*
222 *effectively are we preparing?; why don't we prepare adequately?; what actions are*
223 *best to take and what is the most important message?* [20-25]

224 **Practicing resilience: evidence based recommendations**

225 Specifically, platforms that include the following aspects can address the issues
226 above and lead to readiness and resilience as day-to-day processes and post-
227 disaster outcomes:

228 First, *promote health equity* in readiness campaigns. Prepare all people to be
229 affected by disaster and displaced, as was done in Chile. Develop broad-brush
230 interventions with consistent messages that are flexible enough to meet the
231 complex and deeply personal needs of everyone.

232 Second, *continuously engage all people in multi-faceted survival planning*. Build
233 knowledge of how services and resources will be impacted and assist people in
234 developing solutions for their physical needs through *functional needs planning*
235 (e.g., for power, water, sanitation, food, transportation, medical needs, home and
236 workplace safety). Involve people in *survival-and-revival evacuation planning* –
237 assembling important documentation and getaway kits; planning escape routes and
238 meeting places; participating in simulations, drills, responding to warning systems;
239 and considering a place of refuge. Promote *multi-channel personal*
240 *communications planning* using redundant strategies (including social media) for
241 system failures or delays; maintaining charged personal electronic devices and
242 recharging options during power failure; designating and reaching a remote
243 communications relay person; and accessing relief services for emergency
244 communications and other support. In the Washington example, uncertainties
245 about survivorship were sadly endured by loved ones, responders (working at great
246 personal risk), emergency managers, and a gripped public; anxieties can be
247 lessened everywhere with stronger readiness messages for making plans for post-
248 disaster rendezvous points and relaying messages.

249 Third, vigorously engage people in constructing their personal health narrative and
250 health identity: *What makes me feel healthy? What is **required** for me to be*
251 *healthy, ready, and resilient? What will **most** help me?* A reasonable sense of
252 personal control can powerfully motivate change, amplify coping, and lead to
253 autonomy and self-determination, all important factors for resiliency. Disaster
254 wellness planning can be advanced by cross-training preventive medicine and
255 health specialists with emergency management professionals in the basics of health
256 literacy and risk reduction and resilience strategies.

257 Fourth, *promote mental and emotional preparedness as vital signs* of disaster
258 readiness. Risk awareness and acceptance of grief and shock as natural
259 consequences of disaster are essential; arming people with positive coping
260 mechanisms is important for short-term safety and long-term outcomes. Build
261 awareness that resilience trajectories are expressed variably between and within
262 people over time; acceptance and compassion for others (and oneself) is also vital
263 for resilient communities. Integrating mindfulness messages into national
264 campaigns, community partnerships, and volunteer responder initiatives is one
265 option. At the population level, some likely benefits of greater personal presence
266 include less panic and fault-finding before the cause or consequences of disaster
267 emerge, which unfortunately transpired within hours of the Washington landslide.
268 [7,8]

269 Fifth, promote attitudes and behaviors of “*readiness*” through interventions and
270 education. Identify options for limiting personal risks and building stress
271 resistance through “readiness challenges”: 1) Am I ready in thought (“*I know*
272 *disaster can happen to me*”); 2) Am I ready in belief? (“*Disaster can be managed;*
273 *I know my situation and how to access my strengths and resources – I know what*
274 *sustains my physical, mental, emotional, social, spiritual, and overall well-being*”);

275 and 3) Am I ready in action? (*“I am building and integrating my resources and*
276 *capacities to act, adapt and flow within my own dynamic situation”*).

277 Finally, support people in exploring, *what will I do once I have survived? What*
278 *will be most personally meaningful and useful?* Our data set provides evidence for
279 prioritizing meaningfulness within pre-event resilience interventions. [14] Creating
280 space for reflection to process and make meaning of risk can heighten awareness of
281 what is personally important and thus prudent for one’s life. Meaningfulness,
282 whether cultivated pre- or post-event, can serve as a tribute to hardships
283 encountered throughout life, enrich the present moment and future potential, [26]
284 and move people and communities beyond the readiness gap to disaster resilience.

285 **Summary**

286 In conclusion, gap programming that addresses disaster readiness outside the
287 dominant paradigm of physically preparing for survival and preventatively builds
288 intrinsic resilience for well beyond disaster’s initial impact could save lives and
289 costs. We contend that bridging this readiness gap will prevent situations where
290 people, communities, and systems survive the event but their resilience trajectories
291 are vulnerable to the challenges of long-haul recovery.

292

293

294

295

296

297

298 ***Competing Interests***

299 The authors report no conflicts of interest.

300 ***Authors' Contributions***

301 M.E.G. drafted the manuscript. R.C.K. and J.A.S. participated in the
302 writing of the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

303 ***Authors' Information***

304 M.E.G. has a PhD in Health Sciences, a Master of Science in Geology,
305 and a Postgraduate Certificate in Public Health Preparedness, Response, and
306 Recovery. She is a licensed professional geologist and Fellow of the Geological
307 Society of America. She is currently an independent consultant. J.A.S. has a PhD
308 in Mathematical Statistics and is a Professor of Oncology and Biostatistics at Mayo
309 Clinic. R.C.K. has a PhD in Psychology and is an Associate Professor in Health
310 Sciences at the University of Canterbury.

311

312

313

314

315

316

317

318

319
320
321
322
323
324
325
326
327
328
329
330
331
332
333
334
335
336
337

References

1. Norris FH, Stevens SP, Pfefferbaum B, Wyche KF, Pfefferbaum RL. Community resilience as a metaphor, theory, set of capacities, and strategy for disaster readiness. *Am J Community Psychol.* 2008 Mar;41(1-2):127-50.
2. Blumenstock J, Bakker G, Jarris P. Measuring Preparedness: The National Health Security Preparedness Index. *Jour of Public Health Manag Pract.* 2014; 20(3):361-363.
3. Kunreather H, Michel-Kerjan E. Managing catastrophic risks through redesigned insurance: challenges and opportunities. In Dionne G, ed. *Handbook of Insurance.* Springer-New York; 2013:517-546.
4. World Health Organization, Health and Welfare Canada, and Canadian Public Health Association 1986. *Ottawa Charter for Health Promotion: An International Conference on Health Promotion—The Move Towards a New Public Health, Nov. 17–21, Ottawa.* Geneva, Switzerland: World Health Organization.
5. Snohomish County, Washington. [Internet] <https://wa-snohomishcounty.civicplus.com/2354/530-Slide>. Retrieved 23 May 2014.
6. NOAA/NWS. National Tsunami Warning Center notification, Tsunami of 1 April, 2014 (Iquique, Chile). [Internet]

- 338 <http://wcatwc.arh.noaa.gov/previous.events/?p=04-01-14>. Accessed 23 May
339 2014.
- 340 7. Haugerud, RA. Preliminary interpretation of pre-2014 landslide deposits in
341 the vicinity of Oso, Washington. USGS Open-File Report 2014-1065, 4 p.
342 [Internet] <http://pubs.usgs.gov/of/2014/1065/pdf/ofr2014-1065.pdf>. Accessed
343 23 May 2014.
- 344 8. Stone R, Service RF. Even for slide-prone region, landslide was off the
345 chart. *Science*. 2014; 344:16-17.
- 346 9. International Federation of the Red Cross and Red Crescent Societies
347 (IFRC). Preparedness saved lives but needs are still significant after major
348 earthquake [Internet] [http://www.ifrc.org/en/news-and-media/news-
349 stories/americas/chile/](http://www.ifrc.org/en/news-and-media/news-stories/americas/chile/). Accessed 23 May 2014.
- 350 10. United States Geological Survey (USGS). General summary for M8.3
351 Illapel Earthquake, USGS Earthquake Hazards Program.
352 [http://earthquake.usgs.gov/earthquakes/eventpage/us20003k7a#general_su
353 mmary](http://earthquake.usgs.gov/earthquakes/eventpage/us20003k7a#general_summary). Accessed 12 October 2015.
- 354 11. Norris, F. 1997. Frequency and structure of precautionary behavior in the
355 domains of hazard preparedness, crime prevention, vehicular safety, and
356 health maintenance. *Health Psychology*. 1997; 16(6):566-575.

- 357 12. Lindell MK, Perry RW. The protective action decision model: theoretical
358 modifications and additional evidence. *Risk Anal.* 2012; 32(4):616-32.
- 359 13. Rodriquez-Llanes JM, Vos F, Guha-Sapir D. 2013. Measuring
360 psychological resilience to disasters: are evidence-based indicators an
361 achievable goal? *Environmental Health.* 2013; 12:115.
- 362 14. Gowan, ME, Kirk RC, Sloan JA. Building resiliency: a cross-sectional
363 study examining relationships among health-related quality of life, well-
364 being and disaster preparedness. *Health and Quality of Life Outcomes* 2014,
365 **12:85.** doi:10.1186/1477-7525-12-85.
- 366 15. Frumkin, H. Bumps on the road to preparedness. *Am J Prev Med.* 2011;
367 40(2):272-273.
- 368 16. Bandura A. Toward a psychology of human agency. *Persp Psych Sci,*
369 2006; 1(2):164-180.
- 370 17. Elliott, A. Chile keeps having earthquakes. *The Trembling Earth.* [Internet]
371 [http://blogs.agu.org/tremblingearth/2015/09/24/chile-keeps-having-
earthquakes/](http://blogs.agu.org/tremblingearth/2015/09/24/chile-keeps-having-
372 earthquakes/). Accessed 12 October 2015.
- 373 18. Geonet: *Canterbury Quakes.* [Internet]
374 <http://info.geonet.org.nz/display/home/Canterbury+Quakes.> Accessed 23
375 May 2014.

- 376 19. Weinstein ND. Effects of personal experience on self-protective behavior.
377 *Psychol Bull.* 1989; 105(1):31-50.
- 378 20. Strine TW, Neff LJ, Crawford S. Health-related quality of life domains and
379 household preparedness for public health emergencies: Behavioral Risk
380 Factor Surveillance System, 2006-2010. *Disaster Med Public Health Prep.*
381 2013; 7:191-200.
- 382 21. Wood MM, Mileti DS, Kano M, Kelley MM, Regan R, Bourque LB.
383 Communicating actionable risk for terrorism and other hazards. *Risk Anal.*
384 2011; 4(32):601615.
- 385 22. Bethel JW, Foreman AN, Burke SC. Disaster preparedness in medically
386 vulnerable populations. *Am J Prev Med.* 2010; 40(2):139-143.
- 387 23. Huang C, Vaneckova P, Want X, FitzGerald G, Guo Y, Tong S.
388 Constraints and barriers to public health adaptation to climate change: a
389 review of the literature. *Am J Prev Med.* 2010; 40(2):183-90.
- 390 24. Eisenman DP, Zhou Q, Ong M et al. Variations in disaster preparedness by
391 mental health, perceived general health, and disability status. *Disaster Med*
392 *Public Health Prep.* 2009; 3(1):33-41.
- 393 25. Mokdad AH, Mensah GA, Posner SF, Reed E, Simoes E, Engelgua, MM, et
394 al. *Prev Chronic Dis.* [serial online] 2005 Nov. [Internet]

395 http://www.cdc.gov/pcd/issues/2005/nov/05_0201.htm. Accessed 23 May
396 2014.

397 26. Baumeister, RF, Vohs KD, Aaker JL, Garbinsky EN. Some key differences
398 between a happy life and a meaningful life. *Journal of Positive Psychology*.
399 2013; 8:505-516.




Figure 1. The 1 April 2014 Iquique, Chile earthquake and tsunami warning (left) and the 22 March 2014 landslide (right) across the North Fork Stillaguamish River valley near Oso, Washington, USA. These events had vastly different scales and hazard processes; however both required immediate evacuation and produced indiscriminate effects. They also have long-range implications for international risk policy. *Image attribution:* Tsunami travel times map, National Tsunami Warning Center http://wcatwc.arh.noaa.gov/previous_events/04-01-14/Images/ttvun3dm1y-01.jpg; Oso Landslide, Defense Video & Imagery Distribution System http://www.dvidshub.net/image/1209685/oso-mudslide#.U3_9bflV8E (public domain).