Response of lean premixed swirl tubular flame to acoustic perturbations
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ABSTRACT

This study experimentally examined the response of a lean premixed methane/air swirl tubular flame to the longitudinal acoustic perturbations. Experiments were conducted by varying the acoustic frequency ($f_0$), acoustic power ($P_0$) and inlet air flow rate ($Q_A$) to systematically study the acoustic-excited flame dynamics, including the flame stability, flame structure, heat release rate and acoustic pressure fluctuations. The results demonstrated that the lean tubular flame was much sensitive to longitudinal perturbations below 210 Hz. Even at low power of $P_0$, the flame was forced to oscillate intensively when the perturbation was operated in two discontinuous frequency ranges of $f_0 = 150 - 200$ and $f_0 = 50 - 100$ Hz, while a weakened oscillation regime was observed in the middle range of $f_0 =100 - 150$ Hz; a lower frequency (less than 50 Hz) would extinguish the flame. An increase in $P_0$ intensified the flame oscillation under the perturbations of 50 - 100 Hz, and even resulted in flame lift-off and blow off with $f_0$ between 150 and 200 Hz. In comparison to $f_0$ and $P_0$, the effects of air flow rate ($Q_A$) seemed to be weaker. The proper orthogonal decomposition (POD) analysis was performed to interpret the contributions from the mean mode and fluctuating axial and circumferential modes that led to combustion instability. It was found that as the energy fraction of the axial mode exceeded 5.0%, the flame lift-off appeared in the lean swirl tubular configuration.
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1. Introduction

By tangentially injecting the combustible mixture into a cylindrical combustor, a laminar tubular flame can be established in a swirling flow field [1]. Different from the general swirling turbulent flame, the swirl tubular flame is a deterministic laminar flame with flame diameter and length predictable for a given flow rate and mixture property [2]. It owns excellent thermal stability through forming uniformly distributed high-temperature burned gas inside the flame front; while the flame front is covered by the low-temperature unburned gas along the cylindrical burner wall. Hence the configuration enables long-time operation in practical application considering the low risk of burner ablation. Steady flames have been established within a wide equivalence ratio range from lean to rich with the mean tangential injection velocity varying from 10 to even 200 m/s [3]. Considering its remarkable merits, over the past decades, the swirl tubular flame has been experimentally [1,4,5] and theoretically [6-8] studied. A model for tangential injection has also been developed to examine the tubular flame characteristics [9]. A variety of configurations from micro-combustor to large size (12 inch) tubular flame burner [10] have been developed to meet industrial demands, including power generation [11-13], emissions reduction [14,15], flame stabilization [4] and flame synthesis [16].

Though it owns excellent aerodynamic and thermal stabilities, the swirl tubular flame also encounters combustion instability under certain conditions. In the premixed propane/air combustion under large flow rates (over 600 m$^3$/h) [5], high frequency oscillations were observed, which were identified as the tangential/radial mode acoustic resonant oscillations. In the hydrogen/air combustion [17] as well as lean oxy-fuel combustion of methane [3,18] and propane [19] under high oxygen concentrations (above 0.6), the observed high frequency oscillations were recognized as the axial mode acoustic resonant oscillations. Such instability phenomena severely limit the application of tubular flame to the aforementioned emission reduction, power generation, and flame synthesis. However, so far only very limited work has been reported. Near extinction, in the premixed and non-premixed tubular flame without rotation, the instability mechanisms were
examined for the H₂, CH₄ and C₃H₈ mixtures [20,21], in which the inhibitory action of pure curvature on the onset of flame instability has been addressed. To meet the increasing demand of widening the practical application of swirl tubular flame, especially the full utilization of a steady and deterministic tubular flame at the extreme lean condition to yield ultralow pollutant emissions, it is indispensable to identify the flame dynamics under forced perturbations, such as acoustics and external electric fields [22], etc.

By introducing external acoustics, plenty of studies have been conducted to examine the combustion instability in a variety of combustion systems. In the swirling turbulent flame produced by a vane swirler, Palies et al. [23,24] investigated the flame characteristics and proposed the flame transfer function (FTF) to describe the linear combustion instability and the flame description function (FDF) for non-linear behavior. In addition, the thermoacoustic oscillations associated with transverse acoustic modes were also systematically examined [25,26]. Under harmonic forcing of upstream mixture velocity, the bluff body stabilized turbulent premixed flames were reported to blow off over a range of forcing frequency between 50 and 400 Hz [27,28], and the mechanism for blow off has been elucidated by employing advanced laser techniques [29]. In the premixed flame stabilized on a heat-conducting bluff-body [30], with employing a fixed longitudinal forcing frequency of 100 Hz in inlet velocity, it was reported that heat exchange between bluff-body and surrounding reacting flow plays a crucial impact on the dynamic response. In lifted non-premixed jet flames [31], high magnitude and middle frequencies (~ 200 Hz) acoustics were employed to successfully change the combustion regime and prevent the flame reattachment. These studies improved the understanding of flame dynamics under various conditions, and provided a valuable reference for the fundamental study of swirl tubular flame dynamics under perturbations.

Different from the flames aforementioned, without a swirler vane or bluff-body at the combustor center, the unique tubular flame is stabilized by swirl induced via tangential jet flow and laminarized through reaction in the swirling flow field, i.e., flame laminarization [1,2,10]. It is a deterministic laminar flame experiencing curvatures and stretches along either direction
independently [1,32]. Therefore, the flame may be susceptible to external perturbations, especially in the direction perpendicular to the tangential jets, i.e., longitudinal excitations. Such a response is of significant interest to predict the flame stability at extreme conditions, such as ultra-lean burning, or pure oxygen combustion with ultrahigh heat release rate [18,19]. Hence, by axially introducing acoustic perturbations, this study experimentally investigated the characteristics of methane/air premixed tubular flame at the equivalence ratio ($\Phi$) of 0.65. The experiments were performed by varying the frequency ($f_0$) and power ($P_0$) of the acoustics, as well as the air flow rate ($Q_A$) or heat output ($P$). The flame structure, flame stability, flow filed, heat release rate and acoustic pressure fluctuations have been investigated to examine the flame responses. And the proper orthogonal decomposition (POD) analysis was carried out to interpret the flame lift-off behaviors.

2. Experimental apparatus

Figure 1 shows a schematic of the experimental setup including the burner, loudspeaker and diagnostics. The burner is made of stainless steel with an inner diameter ($D$) of 24 mm and it has four tangential slits to induce the combustible pre-mixture (A-A section). For each slit, the width is 2.5 mm, and the length is 12 mm. Accordingly, the geometry swirl number is calculated as 3.38 based on $S_w = \pi D^2/4A_t$ [1,10,33], where $A_t$ is the total section area of the tangential slits. A quartz tube with inner diameter of 24 mm and length of 150 mm is connected to the open-end of the burner to permit flame photographing, heat release rate and flow velocity measurements. A coordinate is defined on the burner axis with its origin position parallel to the end of the tangential inlet.
The combustor is then mounted above an acoustics generator with 220 mm in length. Acoustic perturbations longitudinally propagate through a convergent nozzle cooled by water. In order to reduce the fluctuation level of the internal flow and obtain a uniform axial velocity distribution upstream of the swirl burner, a porous ceramic media with 10 PPI (Pores Per inch) is adopted to yield homogeneous acoustic perturbations prior to the combustor. The thickness and diameter of the media are 12 and 148 mm, respectively. Fixed 65 mm upstream of the porous media, the loudspeaker (4Ω, 20 Hz ~ 20 MHz) is excited by a sinusoidal signal (JUNTEK JDS-2900). The signal is amplified by an audio power amplifier (SAST SA-9006) before being input to the speaker. The magnitude of the acoustic wave is adjusted by varying the power of the amplifier ($P_0$). In this work, the forcing power ($0 < P_0 \leq 20$ W) and frequency ($f_0 > 20$ Hz) were varied independently to demonstrate the dependence of flame response on the magnitude and frequency. By sweeping the forcing frequency and finding the maximum acoustic resonance under the cold flow condition ($Q_A = 35$ L/min, $P_0 = 10$ W), the eigenmode frequency of the entire setup was identified as 130 Hz [34].

The high-purity methane and dry air supplied by cylinders were well-premixed and then injected into the combustor through the tangential slits. The flow rates were determined by the volumetric flow rate controllers (D07-9E), which were provided by Beijing Seven-star Flow Company with the uncertainty of ± 2.5% [35] in the range of 0 - 100 L/min.
A high-speed video camera (Phantom v7.3) integrated with F-S NIKKOR 50 MM F/1.4 lens was used to capture the flame structure confined in the quartz tube, with the frame rate of 1000 fps, resolution of 800×600 pixels, aperture of 2.8 and the exposure time of 0.001s. An acoustic pressure sensor (BSWA mpa416) was fixed at a radial distance of 10 mm away from the exit of the quartz tube, and the acoustic pressure data was collected by the capture card (cdaq-9171) together with the LabVIEW software.

To visualize the flow field, a high-speed particle image velocimetry (PIV) system (see Fig.S1 in the supporting information) was employed. The PIV system consists of a high-speed camera (Phantom v311) with a frame rate of 10000 fps, a continuous laser (MGL-W-532nm-18W) and a host computer. The laser emits a fan-shaped area with a thickness of 1 mm and 180 mm effective height of the photographing area. TiO$_2$ particles with diameters of 0.5 µm were seeded into air flow in the PIV measurements. The heat release rate fluctuations were characterized by the variation of OH* chemiluminescence in the premixed flame, which was detected by a photomultiplier tube (PMT, 71D101-CR131) with a sample rate of 10000 Hz.

3. Results

3.1 Effects of acoustic frequency $f_0$

At first, the stability of the swirl tubular flame without acoustic perturbation was examined, as presented in Fig. 2. In the tests, $Q_A$ was increased from 25 to 50 L/min, yielding a mean tangential injection velocity up to 7.42 m/s. A steady laminar flame was established between $\Phi = 0.6$ and 1.15 regardless of $Q_A$. As $\Phi$ further increased, the flame was lifted off and eventually blew out at $\Phi \approx 1.35$. The steady tubular flame range is a bit narrower, compared with our previous studies ($\Phi = 0.5$ - 1.6) [1,15] which used a similar swirl tubular burner without acoustic generator. The hollow structure of the bottom geometry and cooling system may cause additional heat loss, resulting in extinction at the extreme lean or rich conditions.
Then, a lean pre-mixture of $\Phi = 0.65$ was selected to investigate flame responses to the acoustic perturbations. The value of $Q_A$ was increased from 25 to 50 L/min yielding a theoretical heat output of up to 1.88 kW. Firstly, the acoustic power was fixed at $P_0 = 10$ W while the perturbing frequency $f_0$ was varied. Figure 3 illustrates the combustion regime diagram that was mainly determined by the variation of flame structure and verified by the OH* chemiluminescence recorded by PMT. The detailed variations of flame structure to $f_0$ have been recorded, and the corresponding instantaneous flame images are presented in Fig. 4 under the conditions of $Q_A = 35$ L/min and $P_0 = 10$ W. Without acoustics, the swirl tubular flame is a quiescent laminar flame with Reynold number around 2,000.

Starting with a moderate frequency of 250 Hz, $f_0$ was raised to even a few thousands Hz, however, the anchored flame with tubular shape presented noisy (ultra-weak) oscillation; then the perturbing frequency was gradually and carefully decreased from $f_0 = 250$ Hz. As $f_0$ approaching to 200 Hz, the anchored flame started to lift off (■), oscillating inside the confined tube. As $f_0$ was further decreased, the flame continuously lifted off and eventually approached the tube exit around $f_0 = 170$ Hz. Thereafter the flame blew off (●).

Then the pre-mixture was ignited again around $f_0 = 100$ Hz, where the anchored flame exhibited weakened oscillations. As $f_0$ gradually decreased, intense flame oscillations appeared until flame extinction around 50 Hz. In addition, when $f_0$ was raised from 100Hz, the flame started
to blow off again around $f_0 = 150\text{Hz}$ (▲). Concerning the effect of the air flow rate ($Q_A$), when $Q_A$ was increased from 25 to 50 L/min, the critical $f_0$ that dominated the transition from weak oscillation to intense oscillation (▼) gradually decreased. While the critical $f_0$ for flame quenching (♦) was maintained around 50 Hz, resulting in the shrinking of the intense oscillation region and broadening of the weakened oscillation region.

Fig. 3 Combustion regime diagram for the lean premixed swirl tubular flame perturbed by different acoustic frequencies ($P_0 = 10 \text{ W, } \Phi = 0.65$).

Fig. 4 Instantaneous CH$_4$-air premixed flame images indicating flame responses to $f_0$ ($Q_A = 35 \text{ L/min, } P_0 = 10 \text{ W, } \Phi = 0.65$).

The temporal variations of the tubular flame in oscillatory mode are shown in Fig. 5. Several typical perturbing frequencies were selected to illustrate the flame dynamics at different flame regimes. At $f_0 = 180 \text{ Hz}$, the flame was lifted off, wrinking and oscillating in the downstream.
Under $f_0 = 100$ and 60 Hz, the flame was anchored, maintaining almost tubular shape. The flame presented weak oscillations at $f_0 = 100$ Hz, while it oscillated inside the confined tube significantly at $f_0 = 60$ Hz.

By simply calculating the temporal variation of the relative flame surface area ($\tau = \Delta A/(\Delta t \times A)$ $A$: flame surface area, $\Delta t$: interval), the flame stretch rate in a perturbation cycle can be roughly estimated for the two cases of $f_0 = 100$ and 60 Hz. The flame surface area ($A$) was calculated based on the flame images taken by the high-speed camera, following the procedure adopted in literature.

Fig. 5 Temporal variations of CH₄-air premixed flame in a perturbation cycle ($T$) under different perturbation frequencies ($Q_A = 35$ L/min, $P_0 = 10$ W, $\Phi = 0.65$).
Figure 6 shows that the reduction of $f_0$, i.e., from 100 Hz (Fig. 6(b)) to 60 Hz (Fig. 6(a)), will increase the maximum stretch rate ($\dot{\gamma}$). The estimated maximum stretch rate reaches $390 \, \text{s}^{-1}$ at $f_0 = 60$ Hz, which may become higher and cause flame extinction if $f_0$ is close to 50 Hz.

![Fig. 6 Roughly estimated stretch rate based on temporal variation of relative flame surface area observed in the quartz tube ($\Phi = 0.65$, a: $f_0 = 60$ Hz, and b: $f_0 = 100$ Hz, $P_0 = 10$ W).](image)

It was concluded that $f_0$ imposed a significant effect on the tubular flame stability. Acoustic perturbations below $f_0 = 210$ Hz resulted in different flame behaviors, exhibiting a low-pass filter characteristic [37]. The perturbations with higher frequencies could be neglected to some extent. This phenomenon is different from the high frequency thermoacoustic oscillation occurred in premixed propane/air tubular flame [5], and partially premixed oxy-methane [18] and hydrogen/air swirl flames [17].

### 3.2 Effects of acoustic power $P_0$

In addition to the operating frequencies, the power of acoustics was also varied to explore its effects on flame stability. Here, $f_0$ was maintained at 180 Hz while $P_0$ was gradually increased from 1 to 20 W to examine the flame lift-off behaviors. Figure 7 illustrates that the anchored flame was gradually lifted as $P_0$ approached to 6 W, and the lift-off height became larger as $P_0$ further increased. Around $P_0 = 20$ W, the flame was lifted to the exit of the quartz tube. Generally, the acoustic perturbation causes pressure and velocity fluctuations that may destroy the balance between the local flow velocity and flame speed, and subsequently induce the flame lift-off [38].
addition, the extinction strain rate of the lean premixed CH\textsubscript{4}/air swirl flame at $\Phi = 0.65$ is relatively low (around 200 s\textsuperscript{-1}) [39]. Local extinction may occur as the strain rate increases to approach extinction strain rate by increasing the power of acoustic generator. As a result, the increase in the acoustic power enhanced the flame lift-off and may even cause the flame to blow off.

![Image: Instantaneous flame images for the lean premixed CH\textsubscript{4}-air tubular flame forced by varied $P_0$ ($Q_A = 35$ L/min, $f_0 = 180$ Hz, $P_0 = 1$-20 W, $\Phi = 0.65$).](image)

When $P_0$ was below 5 W, the flame was anchored at the bottom and showed stronger heat release rate and pressure fluctuations, as the fast Fourier transform (FFT) of OH* chemiluminescence (Fig. 8(a)) and flame acoustic pressure fluctuations (Fig. 8(b)) illustrated. Here the normalized (divided by its corresponding mean value) OH* chemiluminescence at the forcing frequency ($|\text{FFT}|$) was adopted to quantitatively indicate the effect of $P_0$ (insert picture) [40]. By increasing $P_0$ from 1 to 5 W, the oscillation of OH* chemiluminescence enhanced rapidly. However, as the flame was lifted off, the coupling effect was significantly weakened, probably attributed to the local flame extinction event [41]. The acoustic pressure fluctuation spectra present a similar trend, and therefore OH* chemiluminescence is mainly employed in the rest of the paper.
Fig. 8 OH* fluctuation spectra (a) and acoustic fluctuation spectra (b) for the lean premixed swirl tubular flame under different values of $P_0$ ($Q_A=35$ L/min, $f_0=180$ Hz, $P_0=1-20$ W, $\phi=0.65$).

Flame responses to 60 Hz perturbations were then analyzed using OH* fluctuation spectra. As presented in Fig. 9, the flame oscillation gradually enhanced when $P_0$ was increased from 1 to 20 W which may tend to saturate when $P_0$ exceeded 20 W. However, owing to the limitation of the current apparatus, such test has not yet been conducted.

Fig. 9 OH* fluctuation spectra for the lean premixed swirl tubular flame under varied $P_0$ ($Q_A=35$ L/min, $f_0=60$ Hz, $P_0=1-20$ W, $\phi=0.65$).

These results indicate that acoustic perturbations with ultra-low power, i.e., $P_0=1$ W, can force the tubular flame to oscillate, and increasing $P_0$ generally intensifies the oscillation. On the other hand, the increase of the perturbing frequency will also change the flame dynamics, however, the flame responses may be different under different values of $P_0$. To illustrate it, the
combustion regime diagram with $P_0 = 5$ W has been added as a representative, as shown in Fig. 10. In comparison with that of $P_0 = 10$ W (Fig. 3), the regions where the flame was lifted-off and extinguished in Fig. 3 evolved to a region of anchored flame with intense oscillation in Fig. 10. The critical values of $f_0$ separating different regions also vary slightly in these two cases. Furthermore, our tests illustrated that the flame perturbated by a higher power of $P_0 > 10$ W responses similarly as that of $P_0 = 10$ W.

Fig. 10 Combustion regime diagram for the lean premixed swirl tubular flame under acoustic perturbations of $P_0 = 5$ W ($\Phi = 0.65$).

3.3 Effects of air flow rate $Q_A$

Finally, the air flow rate was varied to examine the flame responses to acoustic perturbations. Two typical conditions at $f_0 = 180$ and 60 Hz were investigated with $P_0 = 10$ W, as indicated in Figs. 11 and 12, respectively. In the case of $f_0 = 180$ Hz, as $Q_A$ increased, the lift-off height decreased. It can be verified by the instantaneous flame images at $Q_A = 25$ and 35 L/min shown in Fig. 11(a) and 11(b), respectively. The bold dash curves indicate the positions of the flame front. Corresponding velocity distribution, which is an average value of 50 instantaneous results at the same periodical instant, has also been illustrated. The velocity distribution indicates that the increase in the flow rate enhances inner gas recirculation and therefore leads to the decrease of lift-off height.

In the case of $f_0 = 60$ Hz, by increasing $Q_A$, the length of the flame increased (Fig. 12(a)). The
interaction between flame and acoustics was intensified when $Q_A$ was increased from 25 to 35 L/min according to OH* fluctuation spectra shown in Fig. 12 (b). However, the OH* fluctuation intensity becomes weakened as $Q_A$ increases from 35 to 50 L/min.

Fig. 11 Instantaneous flame images and corresponding velocity distributions for the lean premixed swirl tubular flame with perturbation at $f_0 = 180$ Hz, $P_0 = 10$ W under different flow rates ($Q_A = 25$ and 35 L/min, $\phi = 0.65$).

Fig. 12 Instantaneous flame images (a) and OH* fluctuation spectra (b) for the lean premixed swirl tubular flame under different flow rates ($f_0 = 60$ Hz, $P_0 = 10$ W, $Q_A = 25$-50 L/min, $\phi = 0.65$).

4. Discussion based on POD analysis

To understand the repeatable acoustics forced flame dynamics in more detail, such as the flame lift-off and intensifying or weakening oscillation under a variety of operation conditions, a
Spatio-temporal POD analysis was applied to the flame images taken by the high-speed video camera (1000 fps). The POD analysis has been explored to investigate either the thermoacoustic instability in a gas turbine model combustor [42-44] or in engine combustion [45,46]. Here a brief mathematical description of the POD theory used in this research is outlined. More details of the general POD technique are available in the literature [47].

The fundamental idea of POD is to decompose a set of scalar quantities distributions \( s^{(k)} = (s)_{i,j} \) into a linear combination of \( m^{th} \) order space-dependent functions and time-dependent coefficients in the form of, for example,

\[
S^{(k)} = \sum_{m=1}^{M} c^{(k)}_{m} \varphi_{m}
\]

where \( \varphi_{m} \) \((m=1,2\ldots M)\) are the basic functions which are orthonormal to each other, \( c^{(k)}_{m} \) is the POD coefficient of \( m^{th} \) mode, \( M \) is the overall number of selected modes. In the present study, the flame luminosity in gray-scale is selected as the scalar quantity distribution \((s)_{i,j}\), in which \( i \) and \( j \) are pixel positions in \( Z \) and \( r \) directions respectively, \( k \) is the snapshot index [48-50]. Here 200 successive gray-scale flame images (more than 10 cycles) were used to analyze the cyclical variation.

The coefficient matrix can be obtained as

\[
C^{(k)} = \begin{bmatrix}
c_{1}^{(1)} & c_{2}^{(1)} & \ldots & c_{M}^{(1)} \\
c_{1}^{(2)} & c_{2}^{(2)} & \ldots & c_{M}^{(2)} \\
\vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\
c_{1}^{(K)} & c_{2}^{(K)} & \ldots & c_{M}^{(K)}
\end{bmatrix}
\]

(2)

The detailed derivation of formula (2) is provided in the supporting information. Each row in matrix \( C^{(k)} \) represents POD coefficients of the same mode at different instants, and each column represents POD coefficients of different modes at the same instant. Then the cumulative energy fraction of the first \( m \) modes \((KE_{m})\) can be expressed as

\[
KE_{m} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{k=1}^{K} \left( c_{m}^{(k)} \right)^{2} , \quad k=1,2,\ldots,200
\]

(3)
The energy fraction of \(m^{th}\) mode \((ke_m)\) can be given as

\[
ke_m = KE_m / \sum_{m=1}^{M} KE_m
\]  

(4)

The energy fraction, as a very important parameter in POD analysis, represents the contribution of each mode to the flame dynamics, and a higher value indicates a greater effect. Theoretically, the first mode of POD represents the ensemble average flame pattern and therefore contains most of the energy in the fluctuating flame. The energy fraction decreases as the mode number increases [45,51-52].

Here POD is employed to mainly investigate the flame lift-off behavior. Under fixed values of \(P_0 = 10\) W and \(Q_A = 35\) L/min, the cumulative energy fractions of the 200 modes for different frequencies are presented in Fig.13. As the locally enlarged curves illustrated, the first six modes contribute over 99% of the total energy, and hence the contributions of the remaining modes can be neglected.

Fig. 13 Cumulative energy fraction for the lean premixed swirl tubular flame perturbed under different frequencies \((P_0 = 10\) W, \(Q_A = 35\) L/min, \(\Phi = 0.65\)).
### Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Mode $f_0$ (HZ)</th>
<th>POM1 (mean)</th>
<th>POM2 (axial)</th>
<th>POM3</th>
<th>POM4</th>
<th>POM5</th>
<th>POM6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>180</td>
<td><img src="image1" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image2" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image3" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image4" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image5" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image6" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>100</td>
<td><img src="image7" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image8" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image9" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image10" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image11" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image12" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>60</td>
<td><img src="image13" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image14" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image15" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image16" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image17" alt="Image" /></td>
<td><img src="image18" alt="Image" /></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

---

**Fig. 14** Contours for the first six POD modes for 200-image sets for the lean premixed swirl tubular flame under different frequencies ($P_0 = 10$ W, $Q_A = 35$ L/min, $\phi = 0.65$).

The first six modes (denoted as POM1 to POM6) are presented in Fig. 14 (256*625 pixels) to identify the variations of flame responses under different acoustic frequencies of $f_0 = 60, 100$ and $180$ Hz. For each case, as the most dominant part, POM1 is assumed to represent the mean flame pattern, i.e., flame scale and shape, and the remaining modes contain the fluctuating part [51,52]. With axisymmetric distribution, POM2 indicates an axial nature of the flame fluctuation. For $f_0 = 180$ Hz, the axial nature (POM2) evolves to the circumferential nature at POM6; while for those of $f_0 = 60$ and $100$ Hz, circumferential nature is firstly observed at POM5 and POM4, respectively. The evolution from POM3 to the mode representing circumferential fluctuation is regarded as the transition part. Hence, four parts, representing mean (POM1), axial (POM2), circumferential and transition can be defined, which is similar to Refs. [53,54].

Figure 15 illustrates the specific contributions of the first six modes to examine flame behaviors under different $f_0$. The contribution of each part representing mean, axial, transition and
circumferential nature respectively is also shown. It should be noted that the energy fraction of the modes after the circumferential part is ultralow, and such contribution has been counted into the circumferential part in Fig. 15. As counted in Fig. 15(a), POM1 contributes 90.2%, 94.5% and 88.5% of the total energy for the cases of $f_0 = 60$, 100 and 180 Hz, respectively. The case of $f_0 = 100$ Hz has the highest mean part (POM1), resulting in the lowest contribution of the fluctuating part and therefore the weakest flame oscillation. At $f_0 = 60$ and 180 Hz, POM2 contributes 4.33% and 5.89% of the total energy, respectively. The higher energy fraction of POM2 in $f_0 = 180$ Hz indicates stronger axial fluctuations, which may lead to the flame lift-off.

**Fig. 15** Energy fractions for POD analysis for the lean premixed swirl tubular flame under different frequencies ($P_0 = 10$ W, $Q_A = 35$ L/min, $\Phi = 0.65$).

The evolutions from mean to circumferential parts are examined in Fig. 15(b) for the cases of $f_0 = 60$ - 250 Hz. For comparison, the result for flame without acoustic perturbation is also illustrated in the last column. Its axial part (POM2) turns to the circumferential part in the 3rd mode (POM3). The mean part occupies 97.9%, indicating a steady tubular flame. In the case of $f_0 = 250$ Hz, the mean part contributes 96.0%, resulting in very weak fluctuations dominated by the axial part. Such perturbation to the flame response is recognized as noisy oscillation. In the case of $f_0 = 200$ Hz, the energy fraction of the mean part reduces to 90.1% and that of the axial part increases to 5.16%, forcing the tubular flame to lift off. As $f_0$ further decreases to 180 Hz, the energy fraction of the axial part increases to 5.89%, for which the flame is located near the tube exit. In the case of $f_0 = 100$ Hz, the mean part of 94.5% and the axial part of 3.31% indicate weakened oscillations.
case of $f_0 = 60$ Hz, the contribution of the axial part (4.32%) is relatively lower compared with that of $f_0 = 180$ Hz, and hence the flame is not lifted off; comparing with that of $f_0 = 100$ Hz, the contributions of the transition (3.56%) and circumferential parts (1.89%) are stronger, presenting intense oscillations.

Following the aforementioned analysis, it is reasonable to propose the hypothesis of a 5.0% energy fraction of axial part (POM2) as the critical indicator for flame lift-off in the present study. To support it, 17 tests have been examined by varying $f_0$, $P_0$ and $Q_A$. The specific conditions are listed in Table 1. The results certify that as the energy fraction of POM2 exceeds 5.0%, the flame is lifted off, and below 5.0%, the flame is anchored regardless of $f_0$, $P_0$, and $Q_A$. Particularly, in Case 9 ($f_0 = 180$ Hz, $P_0 = 5$ W and $Q_A = 35$ L/min) where the flame is anchored, the energy fraction of POM2 is 4.94% that approaches to the critical value. However, when $P_0$ is increased to 6 W and subsequently the energy fraction raises to 5.11%, flame lift-off occurs (Fig. 7), verifying that the 5.0% energy fraction of axial mode (POM 2) is a good criterion to predict the flame lift-off of the lean premixed swirl tubular flame.

Furthermore, when the air flow rate increases from 25 to 50 L/min, the evolution of intense oscillations to weakened ones at $f_0 = 60$ Hz can also be interpreted by the variations of mean, axial and circumferential parts from the POD analysis (Fig. S2).

5. Conclusions

In this study, the response of a lean premixed methane/air (equivalence ratio of 0.65) swirl tubular flame to longitudinal acoustics perturbations was experimentally studied. Detailed measurements on the flame stability, flame structure, OH* chemiluminescence, acoustic pressure fluctuation and flow velocity were carried out to investigate the effects of the acoustic frequency ($f_0$) and power ($P_0$) as well as air flow rate ($Q_A$). POD technique was employed to examine the evolution of fluctuation mode to interpret the flame behaviors. The results indicate that $f_0$ plays a more dominant role over $P_0$ and $Q_A$ in determining the flame responses to acoustic perturbations.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Case</th>
<th>Frequency $f_0$ (Hz)</th>
<th>Power $P_0$ (W)</th>
<th>Air flow rate $Q_A$ (L/min)</th>
<th>Energy Fraction</th>
<th>Lift-off condition (YES or NO)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>2.89%</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>3.64%</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>4.33%</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>4.40%</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>3.63%</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>4.64%</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>3.32%</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>2.58%</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>4.94%</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>5.11%</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>5.89%</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>12</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>6.13%</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>13</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>6.33%</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>5.52%</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>15</td>
<td>200</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>5.16%</td>
<td>YES</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>16</td>
<td>210</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>4.77%</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>17</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>3.26%</td>
<td>NO</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The laminar tubular flame is sensitive to perturbations below $f_0 = 210$ Hz. As $f_0$ approaches to 200 Hz, an intense flame oscillation appears at low $P_0$; such intense oscillations evolve to flame lift-off between $f_0 = 170$ and 200 Hz as $P_0$ increases, and the flame blows off between $f_0 = 150$ and 165 Hz. A weakened oscillation is observed between $f_0 = 100$ and 150 Hz, and such a region gradually widens as $Q_A$ increases. Around $f_0 = 50$ - 100 Hz, an anchored flame with intense oscillation appears, and below $f_0 = 50$ Hz, the flame cannot be sustained even under ultra-weak acoustic perturbations. POD analysis illustrates that the flame response is determined by the contribution of the mean part and the evolution of fluctuations among axial, circumferential and transition modes. A much high energy fraction of the mean part brings noisy or weakened
oscillations, while the axial mode fluctuation dominates the flame responses, and its energy fraction of 5.0% is found to be a critical condition for flame lift-off (above 5.0%). In practical applications, the low frequency perturbations that induce intense oscillation as well as extinction, and the moderate frequency perturbations that result in flame lift-off or blow off, should be carefully treated in the lean swirl tubular flame.
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The optical configuration of the PIV system (Fig. S1); Energy fractions from POD analysis under different air flow rates (Fig. S2).
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