

Structural damage evaluation of multi-story timber-steel hybrid structures through shake table tests

Zheng Li, M.ASCE¹; Xijun Wang²; Minjuan He, M.ASCE³; Jiajia Ou⁴;Minghao Li⁵; Qi Luo⁶; Hanlin Dong⁷

Abstract

Timber-based hybrid structures provide a prospective solution for utilizing environmentally friendly timber material in the construction of mid-rise or high-rise structures. This study mainly focuses on structural damage evaluation for a type of timber-steel hybrid structures, which incorporate prefabricated light wood frame shear walls into steel moment-resisting frames (SMRFs). The structural damage of such a hybrid structure was evaluated through shake table tests on a four-story large-scale timber-steel hybrid structure. Four ground motion records (i.e., Wenchuan earthquake, Canterbury earthquake, El-Centro earthquake, and Kobe earthquake) were chosen for the tests, with the consideration of three different probability levels (i.e., minor, moderate and major earthquakes) for each record. During the shake table tests, the hybrid structure performed quite well with visual damage only to wood shear walls. No visual damage in SMRF and the frame-to-wall connections was observed. The correlation

¹ Associate Professor, Dept. of Structural Engineering, Tongji Univ., 1239 Siping Rd., Shanghai 200092, China. E-mail: zhengli@tongji.edu.cn

² PhD Candidate, Dept. of Structural Engineering, Tongji Univ., 1239 Siping Rd., Shanghai 200092, China. E-mail: xijunwang@tongji.edu.cn

³ Professor, Dept. of Structural Engineering, Tongji Univ., 1239 Siping Rd., Shanghai 200092, China (corresponding author). E-mail: hemj@tongji.edu.cn

⁴ Engineer, China Southwest Architectural Design and Research Institute Co. Ltd., No. 866 North Section of Tianfu Ave., Chengdu 610041, China. E-mail: ouyangjia28@126.com

⁵ Senior Lecturer, Dept. of Civil and Natural Resources Engineering, Univ. of Canterbury, 20 Kirkwood Ave., Christchurch 8041, New Zealand. E-mail: minghao.li@canterbury.ac.nz

⁶ PhD Candidate, Dept. of Structural Engineering, Tongji Univ., 1239 Siping Rd., Shanghai 200092, China. E-mail: rocky568@126.com

⁷ PhD Candidate, Dept. of Structural Engineering, Tongji Univ., 1239 Siping Rd., Shanghai 200092, China. E-mail: hanlin.dong@tongji.edu.cn

18 of visual damage to seismic intensity, modal-based damage index and inter-story drift was
19 discussed. The reported work provided a basis of knowledge for performance-based seismic
20 design (PBSD) for such timber-based hybrid structures.

21 **Keywords:** Timber hybrid structure; Shake table test; Damage assessment; Damage index;
22 Inter-story drift.

23 **Introduction**

24 As a renewable structural material, wood has been commonly used in light wood-frame
25 construction for low-rise residential buildings in North America. Recently, with the
26 development of urbanization, many attempts have been made to explore the possibility of
27 building mid-rise or even high-rise structures with wood. One of the attempts is to develop
28 engineered wood products (e.g., cross laminated timber (CLT)) for achieving better material
29 properties and structural performance (Sun et al. 2020, Li et al. 2020a). Another one is
30 hybridization with other construction materials (e.g., concrete and steel). Timber-based
31 hybrid structures can benefit from the advantage of each material if properly designed.

32 Extensive efforts have been made to explore the hybrid timber solution to use wood in
33 the construction of mid-rise or even high-rise structures. For example, in Canada, Stiemer et
34 al. (2012) proposed a timber-based hybrid structural system, in which CLT shear walls are
35 incorporated into a steel moment-resisting frame (SMRF). Seismic assessment was conducted
36 on such a structural system. The result indicated that the seismic vulnerability was reduced as
37 the CLT shear wall was incorporated (Tesfamariam et al. 2014). Green and Karsh (2012)
38 proposed a concept of finding the forest through the trees (FFTT), in which steel link beams
39 are adopted to connect interior timber core shear walls to glulam frames or exterior timber
40 walls. The FFTT system was expected to possibly reach a maximum building height of 30
41 stories, and Zhang et al. (2016) evaluated the seismic response of a 12-story FFTT system.
42 Dagenais and Desjardins (2012) described the design process of a timber-reinforced concrete
43 (RC) hybrid structure, which consists of a RC core and glulam frames, in Quebec, Canada.
44 The study provided a demonstration of using wood in the construction of mid-rise buildings.
45 In Japan, shake table tests were carried out to evaluate the engineering demand parameters
46 (EDPs) of timber-RC core hybrid structures (Isoda et al. 2017). The experimental results

47 demonstrated the effectiveness of the RC shear walls in terms of improving the seismic
48 performance of such structures.

49 Timber-based hybrid structures are also being increasingly studied in China. He et al.
50 (2014) proposed incorporating prefabricated light wood frame shear walls into a SMRF,
51 forming a multi-story timber-steel hybrid structure. The wood shear walls serve as infill walls
52 in the SMRF, aiming to form a cost-effective lateral load resisting system. With the aim to
53 explore the lateral performance of the hybrid structure, reversed cyclic tests were performed
54 on full-scale single-story timber-steel hybrid structures. The experimental results
55 demonstrated that the lateral load-resisting capacity of the hybrid structure was improved as
56 the wood shear walls were incorporated. Verified by the experimental results, numerical
57 models were developed for such hybrid structures (Li et al. 2014). The models served as a
58 robust tool for evaluating the seismic performance of such hybrid structures (Li et al. 2014,
59 2015, 2018a). Besides, further research efforts have been made in the field of steel-to-wood
60 connections, in order to facilitate the on-site fabrication, increase the energy dissipation
61 capacity and provide self-centering capability for such hybrid structures (Li et al. 2017, 2019,
62 2020b).

63 Compared to quasi-static cyclic tests, shake table tests can directly assess the dynamic
64 behavior of structures. Recently, shake table tests were carried out on a four-story
65 timber-steel hybrid structure, and the dynamic responses of the tested structure were reported
66 by He et al. (2018). Although the hybrid structure exhibited excellent seismic performance
67 during the tests, it is still necessary to evaluate the damage of the structure, to better
68 understand the seismic performance and provide further insight into performance-based
69 seismic design (PBSD) for such structures. In this regard, this study focuses on the structural
70 damage evaluation of the timber-steel hybrid structures based on the outcomes of the shake

71 table tests. The visual damage was described with correlation to various seismic hazard levels
72 and was further quantified by a modal-based damage index and inter-story drifts.

73 **Brief Description of Shake Table Tests**

74 *Specimen Design*

75 A four-story timber-steel hybrid structure was constructed and tested. The test specimen was
76 assumed to be one representative bay of a prototype office building, as shown in Fig. 1. The
77 location of the building was taken as Sichuan Province, a region of high seismicity in China.
78 The length, width, and height of the prototype building were respectively 34.2 m, 12 m and
79 13.2 m, and the prototype had 6 bays in length and 3 bays in width. The dead loads were
80 respectively taken as 1.9 kN/m² for the floors (including the office rooms and corridors) and
81 1.8 kN/m² for the roof. According to the provisions in Chinese Load Code for Design of
82 Building Structures (MOHURD 2012), live loads of 2.0, 2.5 and 0.5 kN/m² were considered
83 for the office room, the corridor and the roof, respectively. Besides, snow load was taken as
84 0.5 kN/m². The design procedure proposed by Li et al. (2018a) was adopted for the
85 preliminary design of the prototype building.

86 Due to capacity limitation of the shake table, a length scale factor of 2/3 was considered
87 for the test specimen. As shown in Fig. 1, the plan layout of the specimen was 3.75 × 8 m,
88 and the total height was 8.8 m. Fig. 2 illustrates the basic unit of the test specimen. The
89 SMRF was assembled with hot-rolled H-section members, which were made from mild
90 carbon steel Q235B with a yield strength of 235 MPa, according to Chinese Code for Design
91 of Steel Structures (MOHURD 2017). For beam-to-column connections of the SMRF, a stub
92 beam was welded to the steel column, and the bolted spliced joint was adopted to connect the
93 stub beam and the rest of beam, to avoid brittle failure of the connection. Besides, the panel

94 zone of the steel column was welded with a steel reinforcing plate, in order to enhance the
95 shear yield strength of the zone. The wood shear walls were prefabricated in a factory. 38mm
96 \times 89mm Spruce-Pine-Fir (SPF) No. 2 grade (NLGA 2014) dimension lumber was used as the
97 studs for the wooden frame, and 12-mm thick oriented strand boards (OSBs) were used as the
98 shear wall sheathings. The shear wall sheathing was connected to the wooden frame using
99 nails of 3.3mm diameter and 82.5mm length, and the studs were spaced 406 mm and 305 mm
100 apart for the wood shear walls in x and y directions, respectively. Previous study (Li et al.
101 2018, Ling al. 2019, Yang et al. 2020) showed that connections were of great importance for
102 the performance of timber or timber-based hybrid structures. Considering the reliability of
103 transferring shear force between the SMRF and wood shear walls, two kinds of frame-to-wall
104 connections were adopted for assembling the wood shear walls, as shown in Fig. 2. It should
105 be noted that the arrangement of frame-to-wall connections constrained the movement of the
106 wood shear walls, and the constraint was encouraged for such hybrid structures. It was
107 because the analytical model for the hybrid structure could be simplified if shear deformation
108 dominated the deformation mode of the wood shear walls, which could help comprehend
109 design and facilitate the implementation of such hybrid structures in practical application.
110 Besides, the steel beams could be locally reinforced by the frame-to-wall connections.
111 Further research is needed to quantify the reinforcement of steel beams contributed by
112 frame-to-wall connections. Detailed configurations (i.e., nail spacing and sheathing side for
113 infill light wood shear walls, and cross section for SMRF members) are given in Table 1. It
114 should be mentioned that, in order to investigate the influence of stiffness of infill wood shear
115 walls on the seismic behavior of such hybrid structures, the wood shear walls on the 1st and
116 2nd stories were strengthened by adding more nails during the tests.

117 According to Chinese Code for Seismic Design of Buildings (MOHURD 2016),

118 additional seismic mass of 7.955 ton was attached on each floor, to simulate additional dead
119 loads and 50% of the live loads for the floors. For the roof, 50% of snow load was considered
120 instead of 50% of the live loads, and additional seismic mass of 5.115 ton was attached on the
121 roof. It should be noted that, the additional seismic mass was calculated with the
122 consideration of a mass scale factor of 0.222, which was calculated based on the length scale
123 factor of 2/3.

124 *Data acquisition*

125 During the shake table tests, the acceleration and displacement responses of the specimen
126 were respectively measured by accelerometers and linear voltage displacement transducers
127 (LVDTs) placed at each story. Besides, in order to obtain the lateral load distribution between
128 the SMRF and wood shear walls, strain gauges were attached to the steel columns, by which
129 the variation of internal forces in the SMRF members was measured. Detailed information for
130 accelerometers, LVDTs and strain gages, as well as the calculation method for lateral load
131 carried by the SMRF or wood shear walls, can be found in He et al. (2018).

132 *Ground motions and testing program*

133 Four ground motion records (i.e., Wenchuan earthquake, Canterbury earthquake, El-Centro
134 earthquake and Kobe earthquake) were chosen as the seismic excitations for the shake table
135 tests. The response spectra of unscaled ground motion records are shown in Fig. 3. Three
136 seismic hazard levels were considered in the shake table tests: minor, moderate and major
137 earthquakes. According to the Chinese Code for Seismic Design of Buildings (MOHURD
138 2016), the 50-year exceedance probabilities for minor, moderate and major earthquakes were
139 respectively 63%, 10% and 2%. Considering the acceleration scale factor of 2.0, which was
140 calculated based on the length scale factor of 2/3, the peak ground accelerations (PGAs) of

141 the unidirectional ground motions were scaled to 0.14 g, 0.40 g, and 0.80 g for minor,
142 moderate and major earthquakes respectively. Besides, white noise tests were performed to
143 monitor the variation of modal parameters during the tests, for evaluating the structural
144 damage induced by the seismic excitations. Detailed test schedule is listed in Table 2.

145 **Results and Discussions**

146 The primary objective of this paper is to evaluate the damage of the timber-steel hybrid
147 structure. Therefore, the experimental results with respect to damage assessment are
148 presented herein.

149 *Correlation of Visual damage to Seismic Intensity*

150 Although the hybrid structure exhibited excellent seismic behavior during the tests (He et al.
151 2018), earthquake-induced damage of the test specimen was observed through damage
152 inspection. The visual damage of the test specimen during the tests is listed in Table 2. The
153 visual damage was concentrated in the light wood shear walls, in the forms of OSB sheathing
154 panel corner crushing (or falling off) and nailed connection failure. No visual damage to
155 SMRF or frame-to-wall connections was found.

156 As shown in Table 2, no visual damage was found under seismic excitations with 0.14 g
157 (corresponding to the hazard level of minor earthquakes). The corner crushing of one OSB
158 sheathing panel on the 3rd story was observed after test 25 (shown in Fig. 4), in which the
159 seismic excitation of scaled El-Centro earthquake with the PGA of 0.40 g (corresponding to
160 the hazard level of moderate earthquake) was applied to the test specimen after strengthened.
161 However, for the test specimen before strengthened, no visual damage was found after test 10,
162 the seismic excitation of which was also the scaled El-Centro earthquake with the PGA of
163 0.40 g. It should be noted that the peak acceleration, inter-story drift and contribution of the

164 infill walls on the 3rd story of test specimen after strengthened were respectively smaller than
165 those before strengthened, as shown in Table 3. The contribution of the infill walls was
166 quantified by the contribution factor, R , which was defined as:

$$167 \quad R = 0.5 \times \left(\frac{Q_{w,peak+}}{Q_{peak+}} + \frac{Q_{w,peak-}}{Q_{peak-}} \right) \quad (1)$$

168 where $Q_{w,peak+}$ and Q_{peak+} = positive peak shear forces in the light wood shear wall and the
169 hybrid system, respectively; and $Q_{w,peak-}$ and Q_{peak-} = negative peak shear forces in the light
170 wood shear wall and the hybrid system, respectively. The comparison of response between
171 the test specimen before and after strengthened indicated that the corner crushing of the OSB
172 sheathing panel was probably due to the damage accumulated before test 25. The damage
173 accumulation was detected through the changes in frequencies (Table 2), although no visual
174 damage was observed through damage inspection. After the PGA reached 0.80 g
175 (corresponding to the hazard level of major earthquakes), nailed connection failure was
176 increasingly observed in the light wood shear walls on the 2nd and 3rd stories. Typical failure
177 modes of nailed connections (i.e., flake debonding, local crushing, nail withdrawal and nail
178 head pull-through) are shown in Fig. 5. Besides, corner falling off from the OSB sheathing
179 panel was observed in light wood shear wall on the 1st story, as shown in Fig. 6. It should be
180 mentioned that, the damage levels were different after tests 30, 32, 35 and 39, although the
181 PGAs of the seismic inputs were all about 0.80 g. For example, after the test specimen was
182 subjected to seismic excitation of scaled Wenchuan earthquake with the PGA of 0.80 g (i.e.,
183 test 31), no visual damage was further observed through damage inspection. However, after
184 the seismic excitation of scaled El-Centro earthquake with the PGA of 0.80 g (i.e., test 35),
185 nail withdrawal and local crushing of nailed connections were observed along the edges of
186 the wood shear walls on the 2nd and 3rd stories. This was mainly caused by two reasons. One

187 was the damage accumulation during the tests. The other one was the influence of frequency
 188 content of the seismic excitations on the dynamic response of the test specimen. To quantify
 189 the influence of frequency content, an acceleration amplification factor, β_e , is defined as

$$190 \quad \beta_e = a_e / \text{PGA} \quad (2)$$

$$191 \quad a_e = V_b / m_e \quad (3)$$

$$192 \quad V_b = \sum_{i=1}^n m_i a_i \quad (4)$$

$$193 \quad m_e = \frac{\left(\sum_{i=1}^n m_i \Delta_i \right)^2}{\sum_{i=1}^n m_i \Delta_i^2} \quad (5)$$

194 where a_e and m_e = equivalent acceleration and mass of the hybrid structure, respectively; V_b =
 195 base shear of the hybrid structure; m_i = lumped mass of the i th floor; and Δ_i = displacement of
 196 the i th floor. In this study, the mode shape data, instead of Δ_i , were used for the calculation of
 197 m_e for simplification. As shown in Fig. 7, the mode shapes of the hybrid structure were only
 198 slightly influenced during the tests and therefore, the mode shape data obtained from test 13
 199 was adopted herein for the test specimen after strengthened. The β_e factors under
 200 unidirectional seismic excitations with the hazard level of major earthquakes are illustrated in
 201 Fig. 8. With a larger β_e factor, more nailed connection failures were observed through
 202 damage inspection. The results indicated that it is sometimes insufficient to adopt the
 203 single-parameter, PGA, to quantify the seismic intensity of ground motion records in seismic
 204 assessment or structural design for timber-steel hybrid structures. It is recommended that
 205 multi-parameters be considered for the determination of seismic intensity. For example, as

206 one of the ground motion parameters, frequency content played a significant role in the
207 evaluation on possible conditions for dynamic resonance, which enhanced dynamic response
208 of the hybrid structure. Therefore, it is necessary to consider the frequency content in future
209 research on the seismic performance of timber-steel hybrid structures. The duration of the
210 ground motion should also be taken into account because the hybrid structure may suffer
211 more damage during a long-duration ground motion than a short-duration one even if PGA
212 and the frequency content of these two ground motions were identical.

213 *Correlation of Visual Damage to Damage Index*

214 During past decades, many models were developed to quantify the damage of structures or
215 structural components (Gosain et al. 1977; Bannon and Veneziano 1982; Park and Ang 1985;
216 Kraetzig et al. 1989; Dipasquale et al. 1990; Fajfar and Vidic 1994; Wong and Wang 2001).
217 Change of natural frequencies has a close correlation with global damage of structures and
218 therefore, the damage model based on change of natural frequencies was adopted to quantify
219 the damage of the hybrid structure in this study. The modal-based damage index was
220 calculated using the following formula (Dipasquale et al. 1990)

$$221 \quad \delta_f = 1 - \frac{\omega_{\text{final}}^2}{\omega_{\text{initial}}^2} \quad (6)$$

222 where ω_{initial} and ω_{final} = natural frequencies of the structure before and after earthquake
223 respectively. Fig. 9 shows the damage indices of the test specimen during the test series. For
224 the hybrid structure before and after strengthened, the natural frequencies before earthquake
225 were respectively taken as the frequency measured from tests 1 and 13 (i.e., 3.875 Hz and
226 3.969 Hz). Therefore, the damage accumulation after tests 1 and 13 were respectively
227 considered in damage indices presented in Fig. 9(a) and (b). As shown in Fig. 9(a), the

228 damage to the test specimen before strengthened occurred while no visual damage was
229 observed through damage inspection. For the test specimen after strengthened, the visual
230 damage to the infill light wood shear wall was initially observed at the damage index of about
231 0.077 (shown in Fig. 9(b)). With the increase of damage index, more nailed connection
232 failures were observed. The increase of damage index between two white noise tests
233 corresponded to the damage occurred between these two white noise tests. For example, the
234 increase of damage index between tests 34 and 36 corresponded to the damage occurred
235 during the seismic excitations of scaled El-Centro earthquake with the PGA of 0.80 g (i.e.,
236 test 35). Therefore, the variation of damage index shown in Fig. 9(b) indicated the test
237 specimen was more vulnerable to the seismic excitations of scaled El-Centro and Kobe
238 earthquakes compared to the seismic excitations of scaled Wenchuan and Canterbury
239 earthquakes. The result was consistent with the damage assessment based on β_e factor. It
240 should be noted that, since no visual damage was found in the test specimen before
241 strengthened, no restoring intervention was made to the test specimen during the process of
242 strengthening (i.e., only additional nails were added to the light wood shear walls on the 1st
243 and 2nd stories but the original part of the hybrid structure was not repaired). Therefore, the
244 damage accumulation before strengthening intervention (shown in Fig. 9(a)) was not
245 considered in the damage indices presented in Fig. 9(b), based on which the damage of the
246 test specimen after strengthened was slightly underestimated.

247 *Correlation of Visual Damage to Inter-Story Drift*

248 As an indicator of earthquake-induced structural or non-structural damage, inter-story drift
249 can provide a direct and simple way for damage assessment or structural design. Therefore, it
250 is a common practice to adopt inter-story drift as the performance criteria in PBSO, although
251 it does not consider the fatigue-type damage of structures. An effort was also made to

252 qualitatively correlate structural damage with inter-story drift in this study. Fig. 10(a) shows
253 the peak inter-story drifts for the test specimen before and after strengthened, under the
254 seismic excitations with the PGA of 0.40 g (corresponding to the hazard level of moderate
255 earthquakes). For the test specimen after strengthened, the corner crushing of OSB sheathing
256 panel was observed after test 25, during which the peak inter-story drift of the 3rd story was
257 0.263%. However, with a larger peak inter-story drift of 0.275% measured during test 10, no
258 visual damage was observed through damage inspection. Such a difference was due to the
259 damage accumulation between test 10 and test 25, as mentioned above. This indicated that,
260 due to the lack of consideration of fatigue-type damage, it is sometimes inappropriate to
261 adopt inter-story drift for the damage assessment or structural design for the hybrid structure.
262 Fig. 10(b) shows the peak inter-story drifts for the test specimen after strengthened, under the
263 seismic excitations with the PGA of 0.80 g (corresponding to the hazard level of major
264 earthquake). After the peak inter-story drift of 2nd story reached 0.597% (during test 33), the
265 failure of nailed connection was initially observed through damage inspection. Similarly, the
266 failure of nailed connection was initially observed after the peak inter-story drift of the 3rd
267 story reached 0.608% (during test 35). It should be mentioned that, in quasi-static cyclic tests
268 of timber-steel hybrid structures that were carried out by He et al. (2014), the inter-story drift
269 corresponding to the initial observation of the nailed connection failure was about 0.7%,
270 which was slightly larger than those obtained from the shake table tests. Such a difference
271 was probably because, compared to the quasi-static cyclic tests, more damage accumulated
272 during the shake table tests before the initial observation of nailed connection failure.

273 **Summary and Conclusions**

274 In this study, the structural damage of the timber-steel hybrid structures was evaluated
275 through shake table tests. The correlation of visual damage to seismic intensity, calculated
276 damage index and inter-story drift was discussed. Conclusions are summarized as follows:

- 277 1. During the shake table tests, the hybrid structure performed excellently with no visual
278 damage to SMRF or frame-to-wall connections observed. Only visual damage in wood
279 shear walls was observed, and the visual damage was a consequence of the combination
280 of deformation and fatigue-type damage. The damage in wood shear walls was initially
281 observed under moderate earthquakes.
- 282 2. Not only PGA but also the frequency content of seismic excitations greatly influenced the
283 dynamic response of the timber-steel hybrid structures. It is recommended that
284 multi-parameters (e.g., PGA, frequency content and duration of seismic excitations)
285 should be considered in the damage evaluation and structural design of the timber-steel
286 hybrid structures.
- 287 3. The modal-based damage index was able to capture the damage of the hybrid structures,
288 including the damage that was not visually observed through damage inspection.
- 289 4. The inter-story drifts corresponding to the initial observation of nailed connection failure
290 was about 0.6%, which was slightly smaller than that obtained from quasi-static cyclic
291 tests. This was because the fatigue-type damage was not considered in the inter-story
292 drift.

293 This work presents the structural damage evaluation of the timber-steel hybrid structures,
294 aiming to provide technical evidence to facilitate PBSB for such structures.

295 **Data Availability Statement**

296 All data, models, and code generated or used during the study appear in the submitted article.

297 **Acknowledgement**

298 The authors gratefully acknowledge National Key R&D Program of China (Grant No.
299 2017YFC0703507), National Natural Science Foundation of China (Grant No. 51778460 and
300 51878476), and New Zealand Earthquake Commissions Biennial Grant (Contract No.
301 16/716) for supporting this research.

302 **References**

- 303 Bannon, H., and Veneziano, D. (1982). "Seismic safety of reinforced concrete members and
304 structures." *Earthquake Eng. Struct. Dyn.*, 10(4), 179–193.
- 305 Dagenais, C., and Desjardins, R. (2012). "Cases studied of performance based design for
306 mid-rise wood constructions in Quebec (Canada)." Proc., World Conf. on Timber
307 Engineering 2012 (WCTE 2012), Auckland, New Zealand.
- 308 Dipasquale, E., Ju, J. W., Askar, A., and Cakmak, A. S. (1990). "Relation between Global
309 Damage Indices and Local Stiffness Degradation." *J. Struct. Eng.*, 116(5), 1440-1456.
- 310 Fajfar, P., and Vidic, T. (1994). "Consistent inelastic design spectra: Hysteretic and input
311 energy." *Earthquake Eng. Struct. Dyn.*, 23(5), 523–537.
- 312 Gosain, N. K., Brown, R. H., and Jirsa, J. O. (1977). "Shear requirements for load reversals
313 on rc members." *J. Struct. Eng.*, 103(7), 1461-1476.
- 314 Green, M., and Karsh, J. E. (2012). "Tall wood - the case for tall wood buildings." Wood
315 Enterprise Coalition, Vancouver, Canada.
- 316 He, M., Li, Z., Lam, F., Ma, R., and Ma, Z. (2014). "Experimental investigation on lateral
317 performance of timber-steel hybrid shear wall systems." *J. Struct. Eng.*, 140(6), 04014029.
- 318 He, M., Luo, Q., Li, Z., Dong, H. and Li, M. (2018). "Seismic performance evaluation of
319 timber-steel hybrid structure through large-scale shaking table tests." *Eng. Struct.*, 175,
320 483-500.

321 Isoda, H., Kawai, N., Koshihara, M., Araki, Y., and Tesfamariam, S. (2017).
322 “Timber-reinforced concrete core hybrid system: Shake table experimental test.” *J. Struct.*
323 *Eng.*, 143(1), 04016152.

324 Kraetzig, W., Meyer, I., and Meskouris, K. (1989). “Damage evolution in reinforced concrete
325 members under cyclic loading.” Proc., 5th Int. Conf. on Structural Safety and Reliability,
326 ASCE, Reston, VA, 795–802.

327 Li, Z., He, M., Lam, F., Li, M., Ma, R., and Ma, Z. (2014). “Finite element modelling and
328 parametric analysis of timber-steel hybrid structures.” *Struct. Des. Tall Spec. Build.*,
329 23(14), 1045-1063.

330 Li, Z., He, M., Lam, F, and Li, M. (2015). “Load-sharing mechanism in timber-steel hybrid
331 shear wall systems.” *Front. Struct. Civ. Eng.*, 9(2), 203-214.

332 Li, Z., Dong, H., Wang, X., and He, M. (2017). “Experimental and numerical investigations
333 into seismic performance of timber-steel hybrid structure with supplemental dampers.”
334 *Eng. Struct.*, 151, 33-43.

335 Li, Z., He, M., Wang, X., and Li, M. (2018a). “Seismic performance assessment of steel
336 frame infilled with prefabricated wood shear walls.” *J. Constr. Steel. Res.*, 140, 62-73.

337 Li, Z., He, M., Wang, K. (2018b). “Hysteretic performance of self-centering glulam
338 beam-to-column connections.” *J. Struct. Eng.*, 144(5), 04018031.

339 Li, Z., Wang, X., He, M., Dong, W. and Dong, H. (2019). “Seismic Performance of
340 Timber–Steel Hybrid Structures. I: Subassembly Testing and Numerical Modeling.” *J.*
341 *Struct. Eng.*, 145(10): 0409113.

342 Li, Z., Wang, X., and He, M. (2020a). “Experimental and analytical investigations into lateral
343 performance of cross laminated timber (CLT) shear walls with different construction
344 methods”. *J. Earthqu. Eng.*, DOI: 10.1080/13632469.2020.1815609.

345 Li, Z., Chen, F., He, M., Zhou, R., Cui, Y., Sun, Y., and He, G. (2020b). “Lateral
346 performance of self-centering steel-timber hybrid shear walls with slip friction dampers:
347 experimental investigation and numerical simulation.” *J. Struct. Eng.*, DOI:
348 10.1061/(ASCE)ST.1943-541X.0002850.

349 Ling, Z., Xiang, Z., Liu, W., Yang, H., and Tang, J. (2019) “Load-slip behaviour of glue
350 laminated timber connections with glued-in steel rod parallel to grain.” *Constr. Build.*
351 *Mater.*, 227, 1-13.

352 Ministry of Housing and Urban-Rural Development of the People’s Republic of China
353 (MOHURD) (2012). “Load code for design of building structures.” GB 50009-2012,
354 Beijing, China. (in Chinese).

355 Ministry of Housing and Urban-Rural Development of the People’s Republic of China
356 (MOHURD) (2016). “Code for seismic design of buildings.” GB 50011-2010, Beijing,
357 China. (in Chinese).

358 Ministry of Housing and Urban-Rural Development of the People’s Republic of China
359 (MOHURD) (2017). “Code for design of steel structures.” GB 50017-2017, Beijing,
360 China. (in Chinese).

361 National Lumber Grading Authority (NLGA) (2014). “Standard grading rules for Canadian
362 lumber.” Vancouver, BC, Canada.

363 Park, Y., and Ang, A. (1985). “Mechanistic seismic damage model for reinforced concrete.”
364 *J. Struct. Eng.*, 111(4), 722–739.

365 Stiemer, S. F., Tesfamariam, S., Karacabeyli, E., and Propovski, M. (2012). “Development of
366 steel-wood hybrid systems for buildings under dynamic loads.” Proc., STESSA 2012,
367 Behaviour of Steel Structures in Seismic Areas, 2012, Santiago, Chile.

368 Sun, X., He, M., and Li, Z. (2020). “Novel engineered wood and bamboo composites for
369 structural applications: State-of-art of manufacturing technology and mechanical
370 performance evaluation.” *Constr. Build. Mater.*, 249, 118751.

371 Tesfamariam, S., Stiemer, S., Dickof, C., and Bezabeh, M. (2014). “Seismic vulnerability
372 assessment of hybrid steel-timber structure: steel moment resisting frames with CLT
373 infill.” *J. Earthqu. Eng.*, 18(6), 929-944.

374 Wong, K. K., and Wang, Y. (2001). “Probabilistic structural damage assessment and control
375 based on energy approach.” *Struct. Des. Tall Build.*, 10(4), 283–308.

376 Yang, R., Li, H., Lorenzo, R., Ashraf, M., Sun, Y., and Yuan, Q. (2020). “Mechanical
377 behaviors of steel-timber composite shear connections.” *Constr. Build. Mater.*, 258,
378 119605.

379 Zhang, X., Fairhurst, M., and Tannert, T. (2016). “Ductility estimation for a novel
380 timber-steel hybrid system.” *J. Struct. Eng.*, 142(4), E4015001.

381

382

383 **List of Tables**

384 **Table 1.** Configuration of SMRF and light wood shear wall

Story No.	SMRF ^a		light wood shear wall ^b		
	Section of column	Section of steel beam in <i>x</i> direction	Section of steel beam in <i>y</i> direction	Nail spacing and sheathing pattern in <i>x</i> direction	Nail spacing and sheathing pattern in <i>y</i> direction
1	H-150×150×7×10	H-125×125×6.5×9	H-125×125×6.5×9	150(75 ^c)/150, D	75/150, D
2	H-150×150×7×10	H-125×125×6.5×9	H-125×125×6.5×9	200(100 ^c)/200, D	75/150, D
3	H-150×150×7×10	H-125×125×6.5×9	H-125×125×6.5×9	150/300, D	100/200, D
4	H-125×125×6.5×9	H-125×125×6.5×9	H-100×100×6×8	125/250, S	150/300, D

385 ^aHot-rolled H-section steel members; H-*a* × *b* × *c* × *d* indicates section with height *a*, width *b*, web thickness *c*, and flange
 386 thickness *d*; and all dimensions in millimeters.

387 ^bNumbers indicate nail spacing along panel edges and along intermediate supports, e.g., 75/150 indicates that sheathing panels
 388 are attached to wood frame members with nails spaced at 75 mm on center along panel edges and spaced at 150 mm on center
 389 along intermediate supports; S (D) indicates wood frame sheathed with single-sided (double-sided) OSB panels.

390 ^cNumbers in brackets indicate the nails spacing on center along panel edges after strengthened.

391

392 **Table 2.** Seismic inputs, frequencies, observations and strengthening intervention during
 393 testing

Test	Seismic input			First frequency (Hz)	
	Record	PGA(g) in <i>x</i> direction	PGA(g) in <i>y</i> direction	In <i>x</i> direction	In <i>y</i> direction
1	White noise	0.07	-	3.875	-
2	White noise	-	0.07	-	3.875
3	Wenchuan	0.14	-	-	-
4	Canterbury	0.14	-	-	-
5	El-Centro	0.14	-	-	-
6	Kobe	0.14	-	-	-
7	White noise	0.07	-	3.875	-
8	Wenchuan	0.40	-	-	-
9	Canterbury	0.40	-	-	-
10	El-Centro	0.40	-	-	-
11	Kobe	0.40	-	-	-
12	White noise	0.07	-	3.719	-
Strengthening intervention: On the 1st and 2nd stories, more nails were added on center along panel edges of the light wood shear walls in <i>x</i> direction.					
13	White noise	0.07	-	3.969	-
14	White noise	-	0.07	-	3.875
15	Wenchuan	0.14	-	-	-
16	Canterbury	0.14	-	-	-
17	El-Centro	0.14	-	-	-
18	El-Centro	0.14	0.0595	-	-
19	Kobe	0.14	-	-	-
20	Kobe	0.14	0.0595	-	-
21	White noise	0.07	-	3.922	-
22	White noise	-	0.07	-	3.875
23	Wenchuan	0.40	-	-	-
24	Canterbury	0.40	-	-	-
25	El-Centro	0.40	-	-	-
Observed damage: On the 3rd story, the corner of one OSB sheathing panel was crushed, as shown in Fig. 4.					
26	El-Centro	0.40	0.17	-	-
27	Kobe	0.40	-	-	-
28	Kobe	0.40	0.17	-	-
29	White noise	0.07	-	3.813	-
30	White noise	-	0.07	-	3.875
31	Wenchuan	0.80	-	-	-
32	White noise	0.07	-	3.813	-
33	Canterbury	0.80	-	-	-
Observed damage ^a : On the 2nd story, the damage of nailed connections along the edges of a light wood shear wall was observed in the form of flake debonding.					
34	White noise	0.07	-	3.813	-
35	El-Centro	0.80	-	-	-
Observed damage ^a : On the 2nd and 3rd stories, the damage of nailed connections along the edges of light wood shear walls was observed in the forms of local crushing and nail withdrawal.					
36	White noise	0.07	-	3.719	-
37	Kobe	0.80	-	-	-
Observed damage ^a : On the 1st story, the corner of one OSB sheathing panel was crushed, as shown in Fig. 6. On the 2nd story, the damage of nailed connections around the corner of light wood shear walls was observed in the forms of nail head embedment and nail withdrawal.					
38	White noise	0.07	-	3.625	-
39 ^b	Kobe	0.75	-	-	-
Observed damage ^a : On the 2nd and 3rd stories, the damage of nailed connections along the edge of light wood shear walls was observed in the forms of local crushing, nail head embedment and nail withdrawal.					
40	White noise	0.07	-	3.531	-
41	White noise	-	0.07	-	3.828

394 ^aTypical failure modes of nailed connections (i.e., flake debonding, local crushing, nail withdrawal and nail head embedment)
 395 are shown in Fig. 5.

396 ^bThe PGA of the Kobe earthquake in test 39 was scaled down to 0.75 g because the overturning moment of the test specimen
 397 exceeded the limitation of the shake table during test 37.

398
 399

400 **Table 3.** Response of 3rd story of the test specimen before and after strengthened

Test specimen	Seismic excitation	Story No.	Peak acceleration(g)	Peak inter-story drift	Contribution factor
Before strengthened	El-Centro with PGA of 0.4g in <i>x</i> direction (i.e., test 10)	3	0.6960	0.275%	0.6648
After strengthened	El-Centro with PGA of 0.4g in <i>x</i> direction (i.e., test 25)	3	0.6668	0.263%	0.6483

401

402

403